# FORMULATION AND CHARACTERIZATION OF MUPIROCIN GEL AND EVALUATION OF IN VITRO ANTIMICROBIAL ACTIVITY AGAINST STAPHYLOCOCCUS AUREUS

Alok Pratap Singh<sup>1,2</sup>, Praveen Kumar Gaur<sup>1</sup>, Satish Kumar Sharma<sup>2</sup>, Dinesh Kumar Gupta<sup>3</sup>

1. Department of Pharmaceutics, I.T.S College of Pharmacy, Murad Nagar, Ghaziabad,

Uttar Pradesh 201206, India.

- 2. Glocal School of Pharmacy, Glocal University, Saharanpur, Uttar Pradesh 247121, India.
  - 3. Saras College of Pharmacy, Baghpat, Uttar Pradesh, 250622, India.

### Abstract:

Mupirocin is a broad-spectrum antimicrobial agent available as ointment, and creamin the market.

However, the present investigation aims to formulate Mupirocin gel for topical application for convenient drug delivery. Gels are non-greasy and sustained release formulations possessing better adherence properties. Carbopol 934P was taken as gelling agent for the formulation of gel. The prepared gel was evaluated for its physical appearance, texture, pH, viscosity, spreadabilityand in-vitro performance. A stability study of prepared gel was performed. The formulated gel was compared with marketed Mupirocin ointment Bactroban (brand name) and was found to be effective than marketed preparation.

Keywords: Mupirocin, Gel, Spreadability, Zone of inhibition.

### **Introduction:**

A novel antibacterial agent with peculiar chemical structure, Mupirocin(9-[(E)-4-[(2S,3R,4R,5S)-3,4-dihydroxy-5-[[(2S,3S)-3-[(2S,3S)-3-hydroxybutan-2-yl]oxiran-2 yl] methyl] oxan-2-yl]-3-methylbut-2-enoyl]oxynonanoic acid) (Fig.1) was isolated from Pseudomonas fluorescens in 1971. It has a distinct mode of action than the available antibacterial agents at that time.(1,2) Mupirocin is effective against a wide variety of gram-positive and gram- negative bacteria like Staphylococcus aureus, Staphylococcus epidermidi Streptococcusspyogens, Haemophilusinfluenzae, Neisseria gonorrhea, Branhamellacatarrhalis, and Pasteurellamultocida.(1)



**Figure 1. Structure of Mupirocin** 

It acts by inhibiting the synthesis of bacterial RNA and protein without causing substantial toxicity to the human cell as it shows very less interference with mammalian isoleucyl-transfer-RNA synthetase.(1,3,4).Market existence of Mupirocin is limited totopical formulations as 2% Mupirocin cream and ointmentfor the treatment of skin ailments(5)due to its metabolism to inactive form monic acid A (6).Attempt has been made for developing alternatenanosized carriers loaded with Mupirocin like Polymeric nanocapsule(7), Liposomes for parenteral use(2),Liposomal hydrogel for burn(8)and Nanostructured lipid carriers for intravenous route(9). In the present study, the goal was to develop a topical gel delivery of Mupirocin for improving its transport across the skin. Gel is semisolid dosage form with a three-dimensional matrix of natural or synthetic polymers interpenetrated with liquid. Their biocompatibility, network structure, and molecular stability of the incorporated actives make it suitable vehicle (10). Gels possess better stability and superior application properties in comparison to creams and ointments. Gel systems are less greasy and can facilitate better absorption characteristics.They can provide sustained release with improved patient compliance in comparison to ointment and cream, due to its intermediate behavior between solid and liquid states of matter (11).

### Material and method:

Mupirocin IP(> 99%) was obtained as a gift sample from KawmanPharma,Cuddalore Tamil Nadu, India. Carbopol 934P, Triethanolamine, Propylparaben, Methylparaben and Propylene glycol were purchased from Qualigens Fine Chemicals, A Division of Galaxo India Limited, Mumbai.S. aureus strain was obtained from the Department of Biotechnology,ITS Institute of Health and allied sciences,Muradnagar,Ghaziabad,U.P,India.

### **Preformulation study of Drug:**

### Infrared (IR) Spectrum:

IR Spectrum of Mupirocin was obtained using FTIR (Jasco FTIR -4100, Japan). One mg of the drug was mixed with 100 mg of potassium bromide (KBr) in a mortar by triturating and the mixture was pressed to  $10 \text{ ton/cm}^2$  into a pellet. The sample was then scanned at  $4000-400 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  (Figure 2).



Figure 2.IR Spectra of Mupirocin

## Melting Point:

The melting point of Mupirocin was determined using the capillary melt method. The appropriate amount of drug was filled through the open end of a glass capillary (sealed at one end), by gentle tapping on the soft surface of a table. The filled capillary was placed in the Melting point apparatus (PERFIT, INDIA) and the temperature at which melting occurs was noted. (12)

## Formulation of the Gel loaded with Mupirocin:

The gel-type drug reservoir was prepared by dissolving Carbopol 934 P in distilled water in three different concentrations (0.5%, 0.75% and 1%) (Table 1). Carbopol 934P was weighed and was kept in deionized water (quantity sufficient to make 100 gram) ina cool and dark place for 24 hrs. A 0.02% w/w mixture of methyl and propylparaben (in a ratio 2:1) was dissolved in propylene glycol followed by the addition of Mupirocin (2% w/w) with continuous magnetic stirring at 50 rpm for 2 minutes (13). The prepared gel was neutralized by the addition of 0.1 ml triethanolamine and stirred again to get clear transparent gel.

Table 1:	Compo	sition (	of Muj	oirocin	Gel

Formulation	Ingredients				
	Carbopol	Mupirocin	Parabens (Methyl and Propyl	Triethanolamine	
	934P	_	in a ratio of 2:1)		
F1	0.5% w/w	2% w/w	0.02% w/w	1.0 ml	
F2	0.75% w/w	2% w/w	0.02% w/w	1.0 ml	
F3	1.0% w/w	2% w/w	0.02% w/w	1.0 ml	

**Characterization of Mupirocin loaded Gel** 

### **Physical observation:**

All the gels were visually observed for physical appearance and texture.

## pH Determination:

the pH of all three gel formulations was determined by using a digital pH meter (HI-2213 bench pH Meter; Hanna Instruments). For pH determination, 0.5 gm of formulation was taken and dispersedin 20 ml of distilled water by stirring on a magnetic stirrer for 20 minutes at room temperature and measurement was done by immersing the pH sensor probe in dispersion. All readings were taken in triplicates.(14)

## **Viscosity Study:**

The viscosity measurement of Mupirocin gel was performed with Brookfield Viscometer with T bar mounted on helipath drive motor with special coupling (Brookfield LVDV-II+Pro). 100 gm gel was taken for viscosity measurement at room temperature and normal atmospheric pressure. T bar spindle with helipath was rotated for 1 minute at 4 rpm moving up and down during rotation to determine the percentage torque at multiple points. Viscosity was calculated in centipoises (cp) and average value was calculated (Table 2)(15)

## Spreadability:

The spreadability of the formulations was determined by measuring the spreading diameter of 1 g of gel sample between two horizontal glass plates ( $10 \text{ cm} \times 20 \text{ cm}$ ) after oneminute. The standard weight applied to the upper plate was25 g. Each formulation was tested thrice (13).

## In-vitro drug release

The *in-vitro* drug release studies of all three gel formulations of Mupirocin were carried out using Franz diffusion cell. Dialysis membrane (Hi-Media, Mumbai, India) having molecular weight cut-off between 12,000–14,000 was soaked in double-distilled water for 12 h before mounting in Franz diffusion cell. Gel was placed in the donor compartment and phosphate buffer (pH 7.4)was kept in the acceptor compartment. The temperature of the receptor compartment was maintained at  $37\pm0.5$  °C with the help of a circulating water bath.Buffer in the acceptor compartment was stirred continuously (100 rpm) using amagnetic bead. The sample (1.0 ml) was withdrawn at 0, 0.5, 1, 12 hrsandreplaced with an equal amount of fresh media to maintain the sink condition. The samples were analyzed spectrophotometrically (UV-1800, Shimadzu, Japan) at 220 nm. Calculations for cumulative% drug releasewas performed.(16)

## **Release kinetic study:**

Release data of Mupirocin from gels were fitted to differentmathematical models (17) like zeroorder kinetics (concentration-independent kinetics), first-order (concentration-dependent kinetics), and Higuchi model.

## **Stability study:**

Stability study of gel formulation F2 was carried out at 25<sup>o</sup>C with 60%RH and 40<sup>o</sup>C with 75% RH for 90 days, sampling was done at 0,30,60 and 90 days for any change in physical appearance, texture,pH change, viscosity, and spreadability (18).

## Antimicrobial activity of Mupirocin gel:

A zone of inhibition test was carried out against clinical Staphylococcus aureus strain by using the agar diffusion method. The zone of inhibition of formulation F2 was compared with blank gel (without drug) as control and a marketed Mupirocin ointment preparation(Bactroban). A sterile cotton swab was dipped into the bacterial suspension and swabbed over the surface of the agar plates. Three cups were bored ineach Petri dish with the help of a sterile steel borer of 6mm and an amount equivalent to 2% Mupirocin gel,Bactroban ointment and blank gel were placed in the cup and incubated for 48 hrs at 37<sup>o</sup>C. The zone of inhibition of all three were calculated as diameter (in millimeter).(19)

### **Results and Discussion:**

IR spectra of Mupirocin (Figure 2) revealed peaks corresponding to the major functional group in the range of 1721.3 cm<sup>-1</sup> which may be due to carbonyl stretching (C=O) of the ester group present in the molecule. The peak corresponding to 2922.33 cm<sup>-1</sup> indicates the possible acidic hydroxyl group (R-COOH).Peak at 3770.02 cm<sup>-1</sup>may be indicative of free hydroxyl (R-OH) group present terminally in the molecule (Figure 1). Hence, the molecule is interpreted as Mupirocin due to the presence of the above-stated peaks.The melting of the drug was found to be  $78^{\circ}$ C, which is similar to the reported one ( $77^{\circ}$ C to  $78^{\circ}$ C).(20)

Three gel formulations were prepared which contains 2% w/w Mupirocin as API, 0.02% w/w mixture of methyl and propylparaben (in a ratio of2:1) as preservative with three different concentration(0.5%,0.75% and1.0% w/w) of Carbopol 934P(Table 1). The method of preparation was mixing the ingredients witha magnetic stirrer at 50 rpm to avoid air bubble entrapment. All the three gels were transparent and smooth. Table 2 displays the observations for physical appearance and texture. The pH is a very important parameter for any formulation as it affects the stability of API as well as patient compliance. The formulation with a neutral pH range is necessary to avoid any skin irritation after application (21). The pH of three gel formulations was found in a neutral range for formulation. The values are mentioned in Table 2. Since all the pH belongs to the neutral range, the gel may supposed to be nonirritant to the skin. It may be because of the same concentration of triethanolamine used in all three formulations. The slight difference in pH of all three formulations may be due to different concentrations of carbopol 934P.

Viscosity is an important criterion for topical gel preparation. The value of viscosity was found to be $80465 \pm 97.34$  cp, $92054 \pm 89.73$  cp,  $105400 \pm 94.19$ cp for F1, F2 & F3 respectively.(Table 2,Figure 3)It was observed during the study that the concentration of Carbopol 934P has major impact on viscosity. As the concentration of Carbopol was increased from 0.5 % to 1.5 % the viscosity increases. The possible reason forthis increase may be high network crosslink density at higher concentrations. The swelling of carbopol depends on the concentration and solvent used, which ultimately governs the viscosity(15).

Formulation	Physical appearance	Texture	рН	Viscosity(cp)	Spreadability (mm)
F1	Transparent	Smooth	$7.6\pm0.33$	$80465 \pm 97.34$	$25 \pm 5.3$

### **Table 2: Properties of Gel formulations**

European Journal of Molecular & Clinical Medicine

	ISSN 2515-8260 Volume 07, ISSUE 11, 2020					
F2	Transparent	Smooth	$7.4 \pm 0.28$	92054 ± 89.73	$23 \pm 3.4$	
F3	Transparent	Smooth	$7.3 \pm 0.31$	$105400 \pm 94.19$	$18 \pm 1.9$	



**Figure 3: Viscosity of gel formulations** 

Spreadability of gel is the extent of the area to which it spreads on application to the site and playsan important role in the overall performance of gel formulation. It ensures an even layer of gel to deliver a standard dose to the site of application.Spreadability of prepared gels were  $25 \pm 5.3 \text{ mm}$ ,  $23 \pm 3.4 \text{ mm}$  and  $18 \pm 1.9 \text{ mm}$  for F1,F2 and F3 respectively(Table2,Figure 4). A decrease in spreadabilitywas found on increasing carbopol concentration. It may be due to increase in crosslinking and consequently increase in repulsion between the chains of carbopol 934P (22).



Figure 4: Spreadability of gel formulations

European Journal of Molecular & Clinical Medicine ISSN 2515-8260 Volume 07, Issue 11, 2020

In-vitro release of formulation is important forpredicting the in vivo fate of formulation. An invitro release study was performed for all the three gel formulations in phosphate buffer pH 7.4. All the formulations released more than 98 % of the drug in 12 hrs. F1 showed 98.1 $\pm$ 2.29 %, F2 showed 99.2 $\pm$ 3.41, while 99.1  $\pm$  3.22 % drug release was found for F3 in 12 hrs. No significant difference in the release of all three formulations was observed (Figure 5). Amongst three formulations GelF2 was selected because of the high percentageof drug release. For release kinetics of gel F2, the models of release were fitted. The value of correlation (r<sup>2</sup>) for zero-order kinetics was found to be 0.872 followed by 0.739 for the Higuchi model and 0.724 for the firstorder kinetics (Table3). The value of correlation(r<sup>2</sup>) was highest for zero-order (0.872) but not equal to one and it reveals that the release profile is close to zero-order than first order.



Figure 5. In-vitro release profile of Gel F1,F2, and F3

Table 3: Release kinetic study of Gel F2

Formulation	Release kinetic models			
	Zero-order	First-order	Higuchi model	
Gel F2	$r^2$	$r^2$	$r^2$	
	0.872	0.739	0.724	

The formulation gelF2 was evaluated for stability in terms of physical appearance,texture,pH, viscosity and spreadability for 90 days(Table 4). This shows very slight change in the above parameters which is not significant and hence the gel F2 was found to be stable for 90 days in terms of the above-listed parameters.

Table 4: Stability studies of Gel F2

Storage	Dava of	Parameters evaluated				
condition	sampling	Physical appearance,	Texture	pH	Viscosity(cP)	Spreadability(mm)
25°C/60%RH	0	Transparent	Smooth	$7.4\pm0.28$	$92054\pm9.73$	$23 \pm 3.4$

			10011	2010 0200 10		2020
	30	Transparent	Smooth	$7.38 \pm$	$91840 \pm$	$23.9 \pm 2.1$
				0.80	10.31	
	60	Transparent	Smooth	7.39 ±	$91875\pm3.38$	$23.6 \pm 5.2$
				0.47		
	90	Transparent	Smooth	$7.3\pm0.24$	92009 ±	$22.8\pm5.9$
					11.79	
	0	Transparent	Smooth	$7.4 \pm 0.28$	$92054\pm9.73$	$23 \pm 3.4$
	30	Transparent	Smooth	7.31 ±	$91989\pm9.98$	$23.4 \pm 1.1$
40 <sup>0</sup> C/75% DU				0.39		
40 C/75%KH	60	Transparent	Smooth	$7.52 \pm$	92435 ±	$22.6 \pm 6.1$
				0.12	11.90	
	90	Transparent	Smooth	$7.8 \pm 0.81$	$92289 \pm 9.73$	$22.9 \pm 7.3$

European Journal of Molecular & Clinical Medicine

In-vitroantimicrobial activity of gel F2 was performed by using bacterial strain of Staphylococcus aureus and compared with blank gel (without Mupirocin) and marketed Bactroban ointment. To determine the zone of inhibition of all three formulation experiments was performed by agar diffusion method. Zone of inhibition was measured as diameter in millimeter (mm) where no bacterial growth was observed. In the present study, the zone of inhibition of blank was found to 5 mm, for Bactroban it was 18 mm and 20 mm for gel F2 (Table 5, Figure 6&7).

 Table 5: Zone of inhibition of prepared gel formulation, Marketed formulation and Blank against Staphylococcus aureus

Formulation	Zone of inhibition(mm)
Blank Gel	5
Marketed ointment	18
Gel F2	20







### Figure 7: Zone of inhibition

The zone of inhibition reveals that the prepared gel has good antibacterial activity than marketed preparation. It might be due to the incorporation of Mupirocin in gel form which consequently enables the release of the drug in a sustained manner and was able to create an optimum concentration for a longer duration of time hence having good antimicrobial activity than conventional ointment.

### **Conclusion:**

Mupirocin gel was successfully prepared by using Carbopol 934P as gelling agent. All three gel formulations have a similar physical appearance and smooth independent of the concentration of gelling agent. the pH of all the three gel formulations lies in the neutral range. Viscosity and spreadability were found to be affected by the concentration of gelling agent. Gelling agent affects the release of Mupirocin from gel and it was highest for gel F2, which showed a release pattern close to zero-order kinetics. Gel F2 was stable upto 90 days and hada larger zone of inhibition than marketed ointment Bactroban.In view of the above findings, drug delivery carrier gel was found to be a better alternative for the delivery of mupirocin in comparison to available market formulation.

### **Reference:**

- 1. Pappa K. A, The clinical development of Mupirocin, Journal of the American Academy of Dermatology, Volume 22 Number 5, Part I May1990,873-879.
- 2. CernA, GayegoA. M, BavliY, KorenE, GoldblumA, MosesA E, XiongY Q. and BarenholzY, Nano-mupirocin: enabling the parenteral activity of Mupirocin, European Journal of Nanomedicine ,2016, Volume 8 Issue 3,139-149.
- 3. Kumar Pharmacology of Specific Drug Groups: Antibiotic Therapy, (chapter 33) inPharmacology and Therapeutics for Dentistry 2017 (Seventh Edition), Pages 457-487.
- 4. Barker S.A, Antibiotics, Chapter(17) in Journal of Chromatography Library , 1998, Volume 60Pages 737-777.
- 5. <u>https://www.mayoclinic.org/drugs-supplements/mupirocin-topical-route/proper-use/drg-20064924?p</u>=
- Sutherland R,BoonRJ,GriffinK E, Masters P J , Slocombe B, And White AR,AntibacterialActivity of Mupirocin (Pseudomonic Acid), a New Antibiotic for Topical Use,AntimicrobialAgents And Chemotherapy, Apr. 1985, Vol. 27, No. 4, p. 495-498.
- J. B. Rubenick, A. M. Rubim, F. Bellé, D. R. Nogueira-Librelotto, C. M. B. Rolim, Preparation of mupirocin-loaded polymeric nanocapsulesusingessential oil of rosemary, Braz. J. Pharm. Sci. 2017;53(1):e16101.
- 8. Berg O.A, Advanced delivery system for skin and burns therapy: mupirocin as an antibacterial model drug. Norway, 2011. 90p. Master Thesis University of Tromso.
- Alcantara K.P., Zulfakar M.H. and Castillo A.L., Development, characterization andpharmacokinetics of mupirocin-loaded nanostructured lipid carriers (NLCs) for intravascularadministration, International journal of Pharmaceutics, 2019, Vol 75, DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpharm.2019.118705</u>.
- 10. KaurL. P, GargR, and Gupta G.D, Topical Gels: A Review, Research J. Pharm. and Tech. 3(1): Jan.-Mar. 2010,17-24.
- VermaA, Singh S, KaurR, Jain U.K, Topical Gels as Drug Delivery Systems: A Review, International Journal of Dec 2013; 60, 374-382.
- 12. Young J. C. O'C., True Melting Point Determination, Chem. Educator, 2013, 18, 203-208.
- 13. Baki G, Chen M. X, and Alexander K.S, Formulation and Evaluation of Antibacterial Creams and Gels Containing Metal Ions for Topical Application, Journal of Pharmaceutics, Volume 2016, 5754349,1-10http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2016/5754349
- 14. Kashyap A, Das A, Ahmed AB, Formulation and Evaluation of Transdermal Topical Gel of Ibuprofen, Journal of Drug Delivery and Therapeutics. 2020; 10(2):20-25 <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.22270/jddt.v10i2.3902</u>.
- 15. Mendes R,VargesP. R., Costa C. M, Fonseca B. S, and NaccacheM. F, Rheological Characterization of Carbopol® Dispersionsin Water and in Water/Glycerol Solutions,Fluids 2019, 4, 3; doi:10.3390/fluids4010003.
- 16. Khanvilkar V.V, Bageshwar D. V, Pawar A S, Kadam V. J. Quantitative Estimation of Mupirocin Calcium From Pharmaceutical Ointment Formulation By UV Spectrophotometry, International Journal of Pharmacy and Pharmaceutical Sciences, 2010,Vol 2, Issue 3,86-88.

European Journal of Molecular & Clinical Medicine ISSN 2515-8260 Volume 07, Issue 11, 2020

- 17. Singh AP, Saraf SK, Saraf SA. SLN approach for nose-to-brain delivery of Alprazolam, Drug Delivery and Translational Research, 2012; 2:498-507.
- Acharya A, Dhakal P and Khadka D: Formulation and Evaluation of Transdermal Gel of Lornoxicam and its Delivery by Passive and Inotophoresis Method: A Comparative Study. Int J Pharm Sci Res 2016; 7(2): 810-18.doi: 10.13040/IJPSR.0975-8232.7(2).810-18.
- 19. Mahalakshmi S and Sankar V: In-vitro antibacterial effect of mupirocin in combination with three essential oils against Staphylococcus aureus. Int J Pharm Sci& Res 2020; 11(2): 705-09. doi: 10.13040/IJPSR.0975-8232.11(2).705-09.
- 20. https://www.chemsrc.com/en/cas/12650-69-0\_1026808.html.
- 21. Aiyalu R, Govindarjan A, Ramasamy A, Formulation and evaluation of topical herbal gel for the treatment of arthritis in animal model, Brazilian Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences,2016,52(3),http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/S1984-82502016000300015
- 22. MagboolF. F, ElnimaE. I, Shayoub M. E. and HamedelnielE. I, design, formulation, and evaluation of carbopol 940 and xanthan gum as gel bases for oral local drug delivery for oral mucosal infectious diseases, European Journal of Biomedical and Pharmaceutical sciences, 2018, Volume 5, Issue 10, 09-21.