NOVEL APPROACH ON STRESS MANAGEMENT AMONG FACULTIES IN EDUCATIONAL INSTITUTIONS USING DATA MINING TECHNIQUES

¹Dr.J.Adamkani, ²*Dr. M.Tawarish, ³V.Porkodi

 ¹Assistant Professor, P.G Department of Computer Science, The New College, Chennai, India
 ²Assistant Professor, P.G Department of Computer Science, The New College, Chennai, India
 ³Assistant Professor, Department of Computer Science, Sri Sarada College for Women, Tirunelveli, India

ABSTRACT

Now a day's college Professor faces lot of stress problems in their job. They have been fit prepared for every day work. Professor are affected by various stress factors like age, increased workloads, need to hit deadlines, long working hour, increase in temporary contracts, lone working, travelling time, lack of regular breaks and monthly income are dependent to the level of stress. The present study was conducted to clarify the Professor observation towards job-related stress using well-known questions and data that are collected from different colleges. To evaluate the opinion and find out the level of stress which the faculties are highly affected among the three levels of stress types like acute stress, episodic stress, and chronic stress using data mining techniques.

Keywords: Stress, lecturer, Stressors.

INTRODUCTION

Stress happen to an important part of Professor Job. The claim of Professor Job has better the level of stress among Professor in Tamil Nadu College. A possible level of stress can be a organization of positive motivation to be success. Still, a lot stress can cause physical and psychological health problem. The generally hit embarked by the educational organization Union of University which lasted for over five month is partly caused by stress related demands by the university lecturers termed "earned allowance" which includes over load allowance. Stress is the condition that results when person/environment communication guide the individual to observe a difference, whether real or imagined between the claim of a situation and the resource of the person's genetic and social system. Stress as a condition in which an individual experiences challenges to physical or exciting wellbeing that overpower their coping capacity.

Stress is a part of the normal fabrics of human life. Every individual regardless of race or educational surroundings, social and job-related status and even children experience stress in many ways (Oyerinde, 2004). It is an expected part of challenge that prompts mastery of new skills and behaviour pattern. However, when stress becomes excessive, difficulties occur and the sufferer experiences disrupted emotional, cognitive and physiological functioning. Stress may be acute or chronic in life (Akinboye et al., 2002). Chronic stress is associated with the development of physical illness including such leading causes of death. The cost of stress in conditions of human being distress, social and occupational impairment and mental illness is enormous (Crider, Goethal, Kavanaugh and Solomon, 2003).

Stress is a common experience. People may feel stress when they are very busy, have important deadlines to meet, or have too little time to finish all of their tasks. Often some people may be particularly vulnerable to stress in situations involving the threat of failure or personal humiliation. Khan (2005) observed that others have extreme fears of objects or things associated with physical threats such as snakes, illness, storms, or flying in an airplane and become stressed when they meet or believe about these supposed threats. Most important life dealings, such as the death of a loved one, can cause severe stress. There are physical stresses such as extreme cold, heat, the attack

of micro- organisms, physical injuries etc. Certain environmental social conditions on the other hand can also be damaging these are called Psycho-social stresses e.g. loss of job, death of a loved one. Stress depends not only on extreme condition but also on susceptibility of the individual and the adequacy of his/her system of defences. Examples of worldwide stresses include war, imprisonment, natural disaster such as fire burst, terror earth quack, disabling injuries and terminal illness. People react to the same stressor in diverse ways, in some who appear comparatively undisturbed and act an effective manner in spite of difficult situation. In difference, others become disorganized, dazed, panicky and generally displaying the signs of severe emotional disturbances.

Stress can have both positive and negative things. Stress is a usual, adaptive feedback to threat. It signals hazard and prepares students to take defensive action. Fear of things that pose realistic threats motivates workers to deal with them or avoid them. Stress also motivates staff to attain and fuel creativity.

STRESS

Professors are affecting or physical tension. It is caused by any event or thought that makes one feel frustrated, angry or nervous.Common stress reactions include tension, irritability, inability to concentrate, and a variety of physical symptoms that include headache and a fast heartbeat.

Type of Stress 1. Acute Stress 2. Episodic Acute Stress 3. Chronic Stress

Acute Stress: It is a short-term stress called "fight or flight" response. Symptoms- Sleep problems, Fear, Negative Emotions Episodic Acute Stress.

Episodic stress: lasts longer than acute stress, but most people are able to manage it without major problems. Symptoms- over Motivation, Headache, Hypertension, Chest pains

Chronic Stress: Chronic Stress for continues over a long period of time. Symptoms- Poverty, Unhappy Marriage Life, Stroke

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

The proposed strategy is k means and delegate show based bunching is utilized here. The principle objective is to identify which system is best to anticipate the worry in workplace or territory. The information is given to the framework is informational collection, the accumulation of information in view of representative looked on the issue in the workplace.

European Journal of Molecular & Clinical Medicine ISSN 2515-8260 Volume 7, Issue 11, 2020



INPUT DATA SET

Sample Data set from Prof Employees related to stress problems are collected as primary data through Google online survey and offline Questionnaire .Secondary data set are collect from websites, and conferences. Identification of Attribute from factor PROFESSOR age, increased workloads, need to hit deadlines, long working hour, increase in temporary contracts, lone working, travelling time, lack of regular breaks and monthly income are dependent to the level of stress. The collected data are preprocessed using WEKA Tool.

PREPROCESSING

Improving the raw data that can be efficient and ease for mining process. It is concerned with the removal of articles, connectors, prepositions and pronouns.

This step is to weed out the unwanted terms, so as to make the clustering process effective.

Stemming

- Stop Word Removal
- ✤ Term Weighting

CLUSTERING THE DATA USING HYBRID K-MEANS AND ARTIFICIAL BEECOLONY (PHKABC) ALGORITHM

A combination of Artificial Bee Colony (ABC) and K-Means Algorithm is proposed for clustering the web texts. ABC colony algorithm is an efficient population based optimization algorithm and it imitates the behavior of real bees. The K-Means Algorithm is efficient and faster, and used to find the initial cluster point. This work proposes to locate the initial cluster point with the help of bees and these clusters are refined by the K-Means Algorithm. Both ABC and K-Means Algorithm are combined to inherit the merits of both the algorithms. ABC is efficient but consumes more time for convergence. The K-Means Algorithm is also known for its faster convergence but struggles in locating the initial cluster point.

The algorithm is presented for improving the efficiency and reducing the execution time.

- 1. Initialize the algorithm parameters
- 2. Randomly assign the population of food sources

- 3. Determine the fitness of the population by (Step 6)
- 4. Do Step-6 thru Step-16 while (termination condition not met)
- 5. For each employed bee
- 6. Produce new food source
- 7. Calculate fitness of the food source
- 8. Employ k-means and greedy selection

9. Calculate the probability of food source by (8)

- 10. For each onlooker bee
- 11. Choose the food source w.r.t step 11
- 12. Produce new food source and compute its fitness by (6)
- 13. Apply k-means and greedy selection
- 14. Compare and swap the solutions if new source is better
- 15. Save the best food source

| Prediction | | Stress | |
|------------|---|----------|----------|
| | | + | - |
| Test | + | True | False |
| | | Positive | Positive |
| | | (TP) | (FP) |
| | - | False | True |
| | | Negative | Negative |
| | | | |
| | | (FN) | (TN) |

ACCURACY PREDICTION USING CONFUSION MATRIX

RESULT ANALYSIS ACCURACY PREDICTION

In this approach, the content grouping exactness rates for the datasets were measured. For instance, in the

grouping issue with two-classes, positive and negative, a solitary forecast has four potential outcomes. TP + TN

 $Accuracy = \frac{1}{TP + FP + FN + TN}$

The True Positive rate (TP) and True Negative rate (TN) are right groups. A False Positive (FP) happens when the result is inaccurately anticipated as positive when it is really negative. A False Negative (FN) happens when the result is inaccurately anticipated as negative when it is really positive.

1. Precision - It alludes to the aggregate number of records that are accurately bunched by the content group.

2. True Positive Rate (TP): It relates to the quantity of positive illustrations that have been effectively anticipated by the bunching model.

3.False Positive Rate (FP): It relates to the quantity of negative illustrations that have been wrongly anticipated by the grouping model.

4.Kappa Statistics - A measure of the level of nonrandom understanding between onlookers or estimations of the same absolute variable.

5. Precision - is the part of recovered occurrences that are pertinent.

$$Precision = \frac{TP}{TP + FP}$$

7. Review is the part of applicable cases that are p[krecovered.

 $\text{Recall} = \frac{\text{TP}}{\text{TP} + \text{FN}}$

CATEGORIZED STRESS ATTRIBUTES USING PHKABC ALGORTHIM



COMPARISON BETWEEN K-MEANS TEXT CLUSTERING ALGORITHM AND PROPOSED HYBRID K-MEANS AND ARTIFICIAL BEE COLONY ALGORITHM

| Parameters | K-Means Text Clustering Algorithm | Proposed Hybrid K-means and Artificial Bee Colony Algorithm |
|----------------|--------------------------------------|--|
| Accuracy (%) | 78.21 | 80.21 |
| True Positive | | |
| Rate | 0.407 | 0.206 |
| False Positive | | |
| Rate | 0.320 | 0.105 |
| | | |
| Error Rate (%) | 43.34 | 20.52 |
| ExecutionTime | | |
| (Sec) | 0.07 | 0.02 |

IMPLEMENTATION IMPLEMENTATION PHKABC ALGORITHM USING WEKA TOOL ACUTE STRESS

| 0 | Weka Explorer | - 0 × |
|---|---|-----------|
| Preprocess Classify Cluster Associate | Select attributes Visualize | |
| Attribute Evaluator | | |
| Choose InfoGainAttributeEval | | |
| Search Method | | |
| Choose Ranker -T -1.79769313486231 | 57E308-N -1 | |
| Attribute Selection Mode | Attribute selection output | |
| Use full training set Cross-validation Folds 10 Beed 1 Nom) Lack of Appreciation • Start 9top Result liss (right-click for options) 22331-6 ReseFirst - CrossboetEval 2334-6 Ranker - Info@abitMitotdE 2344-Ranker - Info@abitMitotdE 2346-Ranker - Info@abitMitotdE 2380-7 Ranker - Info@abitMitotdE 3 | Search Method: Best first. Dars method stirlbutes Search direction: forward Total number of subsets evaluated: 112 Method for subsets evaluated: 112 Method for the subset for the subset of subsets (cominal): 15 Lack of Appreciation): CFS Subset Evaluation: Including locally predictive attributes Selected attributes: Selected Type: 1 Acom Stream | |
| Status | | |
| ок | | Log 🛷 x0 |
| | | 10.40.014 |

EPISODIC ACUTE STRESS

| Preprocess Classify Cluster Associate Select attributes. Visualize Attribute Evaluator Choose InfoGainAttributeEval |
|--|
| Attribute Evaluator Choose InfoGainAttributeEval |
| Choose InfoGainAttributeEval |
| |
| |
| Search Method |
| Choose Ranker -T -1.7976931340823157E308 -N -1 |
| Attribute Selection Mode Attribute selection output |
| Use full training set |
| Cross-validation Folds 10 Search Nethods |
| Best first. |
| Seed 1 Start set: no attributes |
| Search direction: forward |
| (Nom) Lack of Appreciation * Total number of subset evaluated: 112 |
| Merit of best subset found: 0.128 |
| Start Stop |
| Result Bid (right.clck for options) Attribute Subset Evaluator (supervised, Class (nominal): 15 Lack of Appreciation): |
| Cr3 double training and training attributes |
| 22:32:22 - BesFirst + CfsSubsetEval A Selected attributes 1, 3, 4, 6, 7, 9, 10, 12, 13, 1 (a) |
| 22:33:16-BesFirst+CfsSubsetEval |
| 22:33:50 - BesFirst + ClassifierSubse Job Task |
| 22:34:24 - Ranker + CorrelationAthibut Laste Night Shift |
| 22:34:46 - Ranker + InfoGainAttributeE Work Load |
| 22:37:12 - Ranker + InfoGainAttributeE Insufficient Holidays |
| 223801 - Ranker + InfoGainAttroute Tables Internet Pressure |
| 2238/02 - Kanker + InfoGainAttrobute Salary |
| 223807 - Nahitef = InfoGainAtholotic Less Incentives |
| Beleficial Type : 2 Enclosed a charter of Stream |
| Contraction of the second seco |
| Status |
| |
| |
| |

CHRONIC STRESS

RESULTS OBTAINED USING PHKABC ALGORITHM

| 0 | Weka Explorer | - 0 × |
|---|--|----------|
| Preprocess Classify Cluster Associate | Select attributes Visualize | |
| Attribute Evaluator | | |
| Choose InfoGainAttributeEval | | |
| | | |
| Search Method | | |
| Choose Ranker -T -1.797693134862315 | 57E308-N-1 | |
| Attribute Selection Mode | Attribute selection output | |
| Use full training set | | |
| O Cross-validation Folds 10 | Search Methods | |
| Paul 1 | Best first. | |
| | Start dello dell'Indiana | |
| Č. SA | Stale search after 5 node expansions | |
| (Nom) Lack of Appreciation | Total number of subsets evaluated: 112 | |
| Stat Sho | Merit of Dest subset found: 0.128 | |
| Cont Coop | Attribute Subset Evaluator (supervised, Class (nominal): 15 Lack of Appreciation): | |
| Result list (right-click for options) | CFS Subset Evaluator | |
| 22:32:22 - BestFirst + CfsSubsetEval 🔺 | Including locally predictive attributes | |
| 22:33:16 - BestFirst + CfsSubsetEval | Selected attradutes: 8, 71, 14, 15 : 4 | |
| 22:33:59 - BestFirst + ClassifierSubse | Repetitive Nature of Work | |
| 22:34:24 - Ranker + CorrelationAttribut | Role Collict | |
| 22:34:46 - Ranker + InfoGainAthibuteE | Target | |
| 22.37.12 - Ranker + InfoGainAtributeE | Exected Times (9 | |
| 22-38.02 - Ranker + InfoGainAttributeE | Generation type : a Chronic Stream | |
| 22:38:07 - Ranker + InfoGainAttributeE | | |
| 22:38:23 - Ranker + InfoGainAttributeE | | |
| | | Ŧ |
| Status | | |
| ок | Log | .x0 |
| | | |
| | | 10-40 PM |

| ISSUE FACTORS | RESPONDENTS (1000) | | |
|------------------------------------|--------------------|--------------|-------------|
| | AGREE (%) | DISAGREE (%) | NEUTRAL (%) |
| Age | 840 | 130 | 30 |
| Workload | 790 | 160 | 50 |
| Work Environment | 690 | 200 | 110 |
| Colleagues | 650 | 300 | 50 |
| Need to hit Deadlines | 950 | 40 | 10 |
| Long Working Hour | 560 | 290 | 150 |
| Increase In Temporary Contracts | 910 | 50 | 40 |
| Lone Working | 620 | 350 | 30 |
| Travelling Time | 930 | 10 | 60 |
| Lack Of Regular Breaks | 820 | 150 | 30 |
| Monthly Income | 780 | 150 | 70 |

RESPONDENTS (1000) AGREE (%)

RESULTS OBTAINED USING PHKABC ALGORITHM



CONCLUSION

Work pressure can be dignified by several pointers. As a result, some can be used to find out the pressure. It is been concluded that as the competitive situation, technical advancements, Stress can be predicted in Professor which is faced by employee. This research is mainly concerned with the data mining and data clustering algorithm,

to predict the issues in PROFESSOR . This research is concerned with the study and analysis of Clustering algorithms, analyzing the existing methods for predicting Issue in the Professor. Then the issue analysis are evaluated and compared with K Mean clustering algorithm. The results achieved by the proposed Hybrid K – Means and Artificial Bee Colony Algorithm are Age, Workload, Need to hit Deadlines, Long Working Hour, Increase In Temporary Contracts, Lone Working, Travelling Time, Lack Of Regular Breaks, Monthly Income, Work Environment, Colleagues . According to the result obtained from PHKABC Algorithm the Stressors achieved belong to Episodic Stress. It is found that maximum of the Professor employees are affected by Episodic Acute Stress. Episodic Acute Stress is corrected by practicing accurate Stress Management Measure. PHKABC Algorithm has less execution time and higher efficiency in comparison with K Mean Clustering Algorithm. Accuracy rate is increase.

FUTURE WORK

Requires new fitness tests on new algorithm parameters .Higher number of objective function evaluation. To find more factors that influence stress among Professor .

REFERENCE

1.Borg, M. G., & Riding, R. J. (2013). Occupational stress and satisfaction in teaching. Retrieved October 2, 2013, from

http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1080/0141192910170306/abstract

2.Eastchance.com. (2013). Solusi University. Retrieved July 17, 2013, from http://www.eastchance.com/uni.asp?id=8133

Fowler, J. (2011). 7 signs of job burnout. Retrieved August 7, 2013, from http://www.investopedia.com/financial-edge/0311/7-

signs-of-job-burnout.aspx

3. Gerry, L. M. (2013). 10 signs you're burning out and what to do about it. Retrieved October 19, 2013, from http://www.forbes.com/sites/learnvest/2013/04/01/10-signs-youre-burning-out-and-what-to-do-about-it

4. Gibbons, C. (1998). An investigation into the effects of organisational change on occupational stress in further education lecturers. Journal of Further and Higher Eduction, 22(3), 315-328.

5.Hammer, L. B. (2013). Total worker health and work-life stress. Retrieved from http://journals.lww.com/joem/Fulltext/2013/12 001/Total_Worker_Health_and_Work_Life_Stress.5.aspx

6. Harris, I. (2007). 13th annual "Attitudes in the American Workplace" poll conducted by Harris Interactive for
the MarlinCompany. Retrieved September 7, 2013, from
http://www.prweb.com/releases/2007/10/prweb558259.htm

7. Healthline. (2010). Causes of stress. Retrieved May 27, 2014, from <u>http://www.healthline.com/health/stress-</u> <u>causes#1</u> Kikknos, C. M. (2007). Job stress, personality and burnout in primary school teachers. British Journal of Educational Psychology,

77(1), 229-243.

8. Ahsan, N. & Abdullahi, Z. & Yong Gunfle, D & Alam, S.S (2009). A Study of Job Stress on Jobs Satisfaction Among University staff in Malaysia: Empirical Study. European Journal of Social Science Vol. 8. No. 1 pp 21-130

9. Beehr & Newman, (1978) Job Stress, Employee Health, and Organizational effectives: Facet Analysis, Model and Literature Review, Personal Psychology, 31, (4) 665-669.

10. Blackburn, R.T., & Bentley, R.J (1993): Faculty Research Productivity: Some Moderators of Associated Stressors. Research in High Education. 34, 725-745.

11. Brush (1993). Everyday Philosophy (n.d) Dealing With Stress and Challenges/Body mine Awakening. Retrieve December, 2013 from <u>http://www.bodymineawakening.com/everyday-philosphy</u>

12. Cai and Lin (2006). Theory and Practice on Teacher Performance evaluation, Frontier of Education in China 1(1), 29-39.