Original research article

## Comparative Study Between Modes of Non-Invasive Ventilation for The Management of Type 2 Respiratory Failure in Acute Exacerbation of COPD Patient at National Institute of Medical Sciences, Jaipur

Dr Kunal Vaghela<sup>1</sup>, Dr. Pradeep Kumar Soothwal<sup>2</sup>, Dr. R. C. Meena<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Postgraduate Resident, Department of Respiratory Medicine, National Institute of Medical Sciences & Research, Jaipur (NIMS UNIVERSITY)

<sup>1</sup>Assistant Professor, Department of Respiratory Medicine, National Institute of Medical Sciences & Research, Jaipur (NIMS UNIVERSITY)

<sup>3</sup>Professor, Department of Respiratory Medicine, National Institute of Medical Sciences & Research, Jaipur (NIMS UNIVERSITY)

#### **Corresponding Author: Dr. Pradeep Kumar Soothwal**

#### Abstract

INTRODUCTION: Chronic respiratory failure due to chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) contributes a significant social and economic burden to individuals, families and the healthcare system. One of the limitations of traditional NIV is altered levels of consciousness. However, under certain circumstances, especially those produced by hypercapnic conditions, traditional NIV has produced very favorable results, even in patients with hypercapnic coma.

METHODOLOGY: A hospital based, prospective, observational study done on 176 patients of AECOPD with type 2 respiratory failure admitted at Institute of Respiratory Diseases, National Institute of Medical sciences, Jaipur. Necessary permission was taken from Ethical Committee and Research Review Board of National Institute of Medical science, Jaipur.

RESULTS: Our study showed that the comparison of mean age was statistically non-significant (=0.5979 NS) and duration of disease was statistically significant (P=0.0004\*). Our study showed that the mean value of pH, PaCO2, PaO2 and SaO2 was statistically non-significant at admission and after 3 hours in between groups, but HCO3- & Respiratory Rate was statistically significant at admission and after 3 hours in between groups. pH and PaCO2 was statistically significant after 6 hours in between groups.

CONCLUSION: We concluded that NPPV should be the first line intervention in addition to usual medical care to manage respiratory failure secondary to an acute exacerbation of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease in all suitable patients. NPPV should be tried early in the course of respiratory failure and before severe acidosis, to reduce mortality, avoid endo-tracheal intubation, and decrease treatment.

Keyword: type 2 respiratory failure, Chronic respiratory failure, Noninvasive mechanical ventilation (NIV)

#### Introduction

Chronic respiratory failure due to chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) contributes a significant social and economic burden to individuals, families and the healthcare system.

The incidences of COPD, in terms of its combined mortality and disability, was the 12th highest for diseases worldwide in 1990 and is expected to become the fifth highest by 2020, with

ISSN: 2515-8260

Volume 08, Issue 02, 2021

mortality expected to increase fivefold by 2015. Reduced alveolar ventilation in chronic respiratory failure due to COPD results in nocturnal and daytime gas exchange abnormalities, sleep-disordered breathing, dyspnea and increased work of breathing, which in turn lead to significant functional impairment, morbidity and mortality.

The eventual development of chronic respiratory failure is characterized by varying degrees of ventilation–perfusion mismatch, hypoxia and hypercapnia. Noninvasive mechanical ventilation (NIV) is used in patients with acute respiratory failure for several different etiologies. The heterogeneity of different patient groups leads to varying levels of success, with the best results produced in patients with infectious exacerbations of COPD and congestive heart failure. When NIV is initiated in patients with acute respiratory failure due to infectious exacerbations of COPD, ventilator parameters are typically determined based on clinical assessment and changes in blood gases.

One of the limitations of traditional NIV is altered levels of consciousness. However, under certain circumstances, especially those produced by hypercapnic conditions, traditional NIV has produced very favorable results, even in patients with hypercapnic coma.

#### **MATERIAL & METHODS:**

A hospital based, prospective, observational study done on 176 patients of AECOPD with type 2 respiratory failure admitted at Institute of Respiratory Diseases, National Institute of Medical sciences, Jaipur. Necessary permission was taken from Ethical Committee and Research Review Board of National Institute of Medical science, Jaipur.

#### **INCLUSION CRITERIA:**

1. All cases of acute exacerbation of COPD with type 2 respiratory failure as per GOLD guidelines 2018.

Respiratory acidosis (PaCO2 > 45 mm of Hg and arterial pH < 7.35).

Severe dyspnea with clinical signs suggesting of respiratory muscle fatigue, increased work of breathing, or both, such as use of respiratory accessory muscles, paradoxical motion of abdomen, or retraction of costal spaces.

Persistent hypoxemia despite supplemental oxygen therapy.

2. Those who give written informed consent.

#### **EXCLUSION CRITERIA:**

1. Indication for endotracheal intubation,

2. Hypotension defines systolic blood pressure <90 and diastolic blood pressure <60 mm of Hg,

3. Presence of ventricular or atrial arrhythmia 4. Inability to co-operate with the fitting and wearing of the face mask, 5. Presence of upper airway obstruction or facial trauma or presence of tracheostomy 6. Unconscious patient, 7.Un-drained pneumothorax, 8. Vomiting, 9. Patient declines treatment, 10. Severe co-morbidities.

#### **PROCEDURE:**

Patients were classified into 2 groups:

1. Group 1 - S/T Mode BiPAP group

2. Group 2 – AVAPS Mode group

Arterial blood gases were measured at admission, 3 hours and 6 hours after applying NIV; the

ISSN: 2515-8260 Volume 08, Issue 02, 2021

patient was assessed by a respiratory therapist under close supervision of a physician trained in NIV. Mask use, complications, and tolerance were also assessed.

Disease severity was assessed using the Encephalopathy Score (EC) to determine the patient's level of consciousness.

Respiratory rate, heart rate, systolic blood pressure, diastolic blood pressure were measured upon hospitalization, 3 hours, and 6 hours during NIV.

#### OUTCOME VARIABLES:

Comparison of pH, PaO2, PaCO2, HCO3, SaO2, Respiratory rate and Consciousness Level (ENCEPHALOPATHY SCORE) on admission and after 3 and 6 hour of applying Non Invasive Ventilation (NIV).

#### STATISTICAL ANALYSIS:

The mean values were compared using Student's t-test. For categorical variables  $\chi^2$  or fisher's exact tests were used as appropriate. We used student paired t- test to compare the ability of different variables to predict the outcome of therapy in experimental and control patients.

A P-value < .05 was considered statistically significant.

#### **RESULTS:**

Our study showed that the comparison of mean age was statistically non-significant (=0.5979 NS) and duration of disease was statistically significant (P= $0.0004^{***}$ ) shown in table 1 and table 2 respectively.

The comparison of mean value of pH, PaCO2, PaO2, SaO2, HCO3- & Respiratory Rate at admission, after 3 hours and after 6 hours was shown in table 3, 4 & 5 respectively.

Our study showed that the mean value of pH, PaCO2, PaO2 and SaO2 was statistically nonsignificant at admission and after 3 hours in between groups, but HCO3- & Respiratory Rate was statistically significant at admission and after 3 hours in between groups. pH and PaCO2 was statistically significant after 6 hours in between groups.

Our study showed that the mean value of consciousness level according to ES score was 1.609  $\pm 0.6534$  in group 1 & 1.552  $\pm 0.6779$  in group 2 at admission, after 3 hours was 0.5930  $\pm 0.6576$  in group 1 & 0.5647  $\pm 0.6805$  in group 2 and after 6 hours was 0.1149  $\pm 0.3552$  in group 1 & 0.2529  $\pm 0.487$  in group 2.

The comparison of mean value of consciousness level was statistically non-significant at admission and after 3 hours (P=0.5698 & P=0.7823 respectively), but statistically significant after 6 hours (P= $0.0343^*$ ) in between groups (table 6).

## Table 1: Comparison between Group 1 (S/T Mode Bi PAP) & Group 2 (AVAPS Mode) regarding age

| Age (yrs) | Group 1 (S/T<br>Mode Bi PAP) | Group 2 (AVAPS<br>Mode) | P- value |
|-----------|------------------------------|-------------------------|----------|
| Mean      | 59.78 yrs                    | 58.90 yrs               | 0.5979   |
| SD        | 10.81                        | 11.28                   |          |
| Range     | 40-86 yrs                    | 39-83 yrs               |          |

# Table 2: Comparison between Group 1 (S/T Mode Bi PAP) & Group 2 (AVAPS Mode)regarding duration of disease

| Duration of<br>disease (yrs) | Group 1 (S/T<br>Mode Bi PAP) | Group 2 (AVAPS<br>Mode) | P- value  |
|------------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------|
| Mean                         | 6.011 γrs                    | 7.540 γrs               | 0.0004*** |
| SD                           | 2.197                        | 3.298                   |           |
| Range                        | 3.0-14.0 yrs                 | 3.0-17 yrs              |           |

# Table 3: Comparison between Group 1 (S/T Mode Bi PAP) & Group 2 (AVAPS Mode) regarding arterial blood gas analysis at admission of patients

| Arterial blood gas<br>analysis (ABG) | Group 1 (S/T Mode Bi<br>PAP) | Group 2 (AVAPS Mode) | P-value |
|--------------------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------|---------|
| рН                                   | 7.309±0.03395                | 7.311±0.04060        | 0.7173  |
| PaCO2                                | 72.83±53.69                  | 65.01±12.63          | 0.1877  |
| PaO2                                 | 58.74±9.952                  | 60.57±9.273          | 0.2113  |
| SaO2                                 | 68.44±10.31                  | 70.93±9.214          | 0.0943  |
| HCO3-                                | 27.02±5.774                  | 25.21±4.607          | 0.0235* |
| Respiratory Rate                     | 34.10±4.327                  | 32.52±4.234          | 0.0155* |

# Table 4: Comparison between Group 1 (S/T Mode Bi PAP) & Group 2 (AVAPS Mode)regarding arterial blood gas analysis after 3 hours

| Arterial blood<br>gas analysis (ABG) | Group 1<br>(S/T Mode Bi<br>PAP) | Group 2<br>(AVAPS Mode) | P-value  |
|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------|----------|
| рН                                   | 7.345±0.04851                   | 7.338±0.04367           | 0.3099   |
| PaCO2                                | 56.36±8.363                     | 56.77±9.836             | 0.7698   |
| PaO2                                 | 68.52±9.876                     | 66.60±10.75             | 0.2217   |
| SaO2                                 | 80.09±7.80                      | 78.31±9.817             | 0.1885   |
| HCO3-                                | 26.92±5.648                     | 25.34±4.526             | 0.0432*  |
| Respiratory Rate                     | 24.92±3.807                     | 23.20±4.326             | 0.0059** |

## Table 5: Comparison between Group 1 (S/T Mode Bi PAP) & Group 2 (AVAPS Mode)regarding arterial blood gas analysis after 6 hours

| U                                       | 0                               | 0                       |           |
|---|---------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------|
| Arterial blood<br>gas analysis<br>(ABG) | Group 1<br>(S/T Mode Bi<br>PAP) | Group 2<br>(AVAPS Mode) | P-value   |
| рН                                      | 7.370±0.04861                   | 7.355±0.03950           | 0.0311*   |
| PaCO2                                   | 46.03±7.163                     | 50.05±8.543             | 0.0010*** |
| PaO2                                    | 76.09±10.73                     | 73.54±11.96             | 0.1403    |
| SaO2                                    | 101.9±9.639                     | 87.53±8.356             | 0.1669    |
| HCO3-                                   | 26.41±5.310                     | 25.26±4.811             | 0.1372    |
| Respiratory Rate                        | 16.09±2.165                     | 16.29±2.282             | 0.5631    |

# Table 6: Comparison between Group 1 (S/T Mode Bi PAP) & Group 2 (AVAPS Mode) regarding Consciousness level at various interval

| Consciousness<br>level (GLASGOW<br>COMA SCALE) | Group 1<br>(S/T Mode Bi<br>PAP) | Group 2<br>(AVAPS Mode) | P-value |
|--|---------------------------------|-------------------------|---------|
| At admission                                   | 1.609±0.6534                    | 1.552±0.6779            | 0.5698  |
| After 3 hours                                  | 0.5930±0.6576                   | 0.5647±0.6805           | 0.7823  |
| After 6 hours                                  | 0.1149±0.3552                   | 0.2529±0.487            | 0.0343* |

### **DISCUSSION:**

Non invasive ventilation (NIV) is the first option for ventilatory support in acute respiratory failure of COPD exacerbations or acute cardiogenic pulmonary edema and should be considered in immunocompromised patients, prevention of post extubation failure and in difficult weaning. It can also be used in the postoperative period and in cases of pneumonia and asthma or as a palliative treatment. NIV is currently used in a wide range of settings, from the ICU to home care.

### **CONCLUSION:**

We concluded that NPPV should be the first line intervention in addition to usual medical care to manage respiratory failure secondary to an acute exacerbation of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease in all suitable patients. NPPV should be tried early in the course of respiratory failure and before severe acidosis, to reduce mortality, avoid endo-tracheal intubation, and decrease treatment.

### **REFERENCES:**

- 1. Ait-Kaled N, Enarson D, Bousquet J. Chronic respiratory diseases in developing countries: the burden and strategies for prevention and management. Bull World Health Organ 2001; 79: 971–979.
- 2. Anto JM, Vermiere P, Vestbo J, Sunyer J. Epidemiology of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Eur Respir J 2001;17: 982–994.
- 3. Murray CJL, Lopez AD, eds. The Global Burden of Disease: A Comprehensive Assessment of Mortality and Disability from Diseases, Injuries and Risk Factors in 1990 and Projected to 2020. Cambridge, Harvard University Press, for the WHO and the World Bank, 1996.
- 4. Standards for the diagnosis and care of patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. American Thoracic Society. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 1995; 152: S77–S121.
- 5. McNicholas WT. Impact of sleep in respiratory failure. Eur Respir J 1997; 10: 920–933.
- 6. Murata GH, Kapsner CO, Lium DJ, Busby HK. Time course of respiratory decompensation in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: a prospective, double-blind study of peak flow changes prior to emergency department visits. Respir Med 1998; 92: 936–941.
- 7. Clark HE, Wilcox PG. Noninvasive positive pressure ventilation in acute respiratory

ISSN: 2515-8260

Volume 08, Issue 02, 2021

failure of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Lung 1997; 175: 143–154.

8. Meduri GH, Turner RE, Abou-Shala N, Wunderink R, Tolley E: Noninvasive positive pressure ventilation via face mask. First-line intervention in patients with acute hypercapnic and hypoxemic respiratory failure. Chest 1996, 109:179–193.