ISSN 2515-8260 Volume 09, Issue 01, 2022

Approach to Multiple Injuries of Foot and Ankle (Single stage procedure for lisfranc injury, pan metatarsal fractures with distal tibia fracture and medial malleolus fracture): A rare case report

Dr.K.Vijayashankar¹, Dr.A.Senthilnathan², Dr.R.Prabhakar³, Dr.M.Chakravarthi⁴

¹Assistant Professor, Department of Orthopaedics, Rajah Muthiah Medical College and Hospital, Annamalai University, Tamilnadu, India

²Professor of Orthopaedics, Rajah Muthiah Medical College and Hospital, Annamalai University, Tamilnadu, India

³Lecturer, Department of Orthopaedics, Rajah Muthiah Medical College and Hospital, Annamalai University, Tamilnadu, India

⁴Postgraduate student, Department of Orthopaedics, Rajah Muthiah Medical College and Hospital, Annamalai University, Tamilnadu, India

ABSTRACT

Objectives:

- Outlines the necessity of early diagnosing and management of lisfranc injury and metatarsal fracture to avoid chronic instability.
- Advantages of plate fixation for (proximal) fifth metatarsal fracture in addition to k-wire.
- To assessing the functional outcome and duration of union using MIPPO technique in the management of distal third tibia fracture.

Materials and Methods:

Case Report: We report a case of 32 year old man presenting with multiple injuries to foot and ankle diagnosed as comminuted distal third tibia fracture (with medial malleolus fracture) with panmetatarsal fracture with lifranc dislocation of right lower limb. A single stage procedure done for fixation of all these injuries.

Result; The patient follow up for one year, mean duration union of all fractures noted radiologically by 11 ± 2 weeks. Patient allowed to weight bear after 3 months, Functional range of motion improved from the end of 3 months to 8 months. Prospective functional evaluation of this patient assessed by American orthopaedic foot and ankle society score (AOFAS) 96/100 at the end of 6 months and Pain relief is evaluated periodically by visual analogue scale.

Conclusion: Best results are obtained by immediate anatomical reduction and fixation of lisfranc injuries. In addition to k-wire, plate fixation for fifth metatarsal provides alignment with high union rate, especially when the fracture is comminuted. MIPPO technique is reliable fixation in fracture of distal tibia preserving most osseous (periosteal) blood supply and haematoma in fracture site and in turn provides more biological repair.

Keywords: Lisfranc injury, pan metatarsal fracture, MIPPO, fifth metatarsal fracture

BACKGROUND AND INTRODUCTION

Forefoot with midfoot (complex) injuries of foot with lisfranc dislocation is relatively uncommon, but it can lead to chronic disability. Lisfranc injuries occur in 1:55000 persons per year in US approximately 0.2% of all fracture^[1]. Metatarsal fracture contributes 3 to 7% of all fractures, MIPPO techniques for distal tibia fracture using LCP is an improving technique than the already existing. Subtle changes in radiographs represents significant ligament injuries. Swelling in the midfoot with the presence of plantar ecchymosis should be

ISSN 2515-8260 Volume 09, Issue 01, 2022

considered as lisfranc injury. Forefoot provides two important purposes during gait. Broad plantar surface for sharing load and its mobility allows head of metatarsals to accommodate uneven ground ^[2]. It is very tough to correct displacement in sagittal plane and excessive shortening in any metatarsals, resulting in metatarsalgia^[3]. The aim of this study to analysis the results and functional outcome of single stage surgical procedures for this rare multiple injury to foot and ankle.

MATERIALS AND METHODS CASE REPORT

A 32 year old man had admitted in the emergency ward, Rajah Muthiah Medical College Hospital, Chidambaram on 17/6/2020 with alleged history of fall from height (from tree approximately around 8 feet) had sustained injury to right lower limb with swelling, deformity and abnormal mobility of right ankle, mid and forefoot, presented with plantar ecchymosis, necessary radiographs taken and diagnosed as comminuted distal one third tibia fracture (with medial malleolus fracture) with pan metatarsal fracture with lisfranc dislocation of right lower limb. We wait until the edema regresses for surgery for two weeks, in order to reduce the risk of developing compartment syndrome.

A single stage procedure done for all these complex injuries by fixing the distal tibia fracture and medial malleolus fracture by distal tibia locking plate. Lisfranc dislocation fixed with 4mm CC screws from medial side of medial cuneiform towards the base of second metatarsal , base of first metatarsal fixed with mini (2.7 system) L plate, second to fifth metatarsal fracture fixed with k-wire, fifth metatarsal found highly comminuted so augment with 3.5 system recon plate, patient discharged after one week with short leg cast with window , advised with strict non weight bearing. Serial radiographs were taken, look for evidence of callus formation, union, sagittal and coronal plane angulation of metatarsal fracture.

RESULTS

After 8 weeks of surgery, x-ray foot shows callus formation, we removed the k-wires and patient allowed to weight bear after 3 months. Prospective evaluation of outcomes through American orthopaedic foot and ankle society score (AOFAS) at the end of 6months (approx 96/100).Pain is evaluated periodically by VAS. Duration of union for all fractures 11+_2 weeks. Functional range of motion improved from the end of 3 months to 8 months. There is no complication like superficial or deep infection, ankle stiffness, hardware irritation, malleolar skin irritation or foot paresthesia.

Pre op x-rays



ISSN 2515-8260 Volume 09, Issue 01, 2022

Pre op Images



Post op X-Ray



Follow up x-ray at 1year



European Journal of Molecular & Clinical Medicine ISSN 2515-8260 Volume 09, Issue 01, 2022

Clinical images



DISCUSSION:

The injuries to the tarsometatarsl joint are rather infrequent ,but causes severe pain and permanent disability becaue of their location and high demand when standing and walking^[4,5,6,7]. Occasionally present as less radiological significance, but injury to lisfranc ligament produces different degree of dislocation and radiographic diastasis.both of which bring about severe disability^[8,6,9,10,11,12]. Longer the period of delay the lower the functional outcome .people with associated injuries chiefly in lower limb also present a more unfavourable prognosis due to long period of immobilisation^[10], non weight bearing and longer rehabilitation delay, the important prognostic factor is proportional to attaining anatomical reduction. Adequate anatomic reduction obtain a high score in AOFAS functional scale and present a low prevalence of post traumatic arthritis ^[13]. To achieve this aim we perform surgical procedure with open reduction and internal fixation with 2.7 system mini plate and 4 mm cannulated cancellous screw for fixing lisfranc injury. Osteoarthritis occurs even in patients with anatomic reduction and more common among in those were reduction not attained.

ISSN 2515-8260 Volume 09, Issue 01, 2022

Anatomic reduction with respect to lisfranc fracture dislocation 2 mm for meyerson ^[14,15] and 1.5 mm for hardcastle. Though it has been widely agreed that a diastasis of over 5 mm in between first and second metatarsals associated with sinking plantar arches bring about unfavourable outcome, we obtined the most favourable functional results in this case anatomical reduction obtained through open method and screw placement.

Management of distal tibia pilon fracture remains debate due to the limiting factors like sparse covering with soft tissues, subcutaneous on location and with decreased blood supply^[16,17,18]. The cross sectional area of distal tibia is circular with thin cortex when compared to triangular in diaphysis with thick cortical bone. So, IMIL nail designed for diaphysis cannot give same and adequate stability to distal fractures.other potential advere outcome of IMIL nailing like malunion and implant failure can occur.ORIF with onventional plating needs striping of periosteum, it Is not ideal for subcutaneous bone which depends 2/3rd of its blood supply from periosteum non union and infection are reported with ORIF with emerging of technique of MIPPO with LCP which preserve extra osseous blood supply, respect the osteogenic fracture haematoma. Biological friendly and stable fixation method is available for distal tibia fracture.indirect reduction method and subcutaneous tunneling of plate and application of locking screws ,with small skin incision in MIPPO technique prevent iatrogenic injury to vascular supply . LCP is a friction independent self stable construct which provide both angular and axial stability and minimize the risk of secondary loss of reduction^[19].

Fixation of pan metatarsal fracture anatomically is very important to maintain the plantar arches and prevent the complication like flat foot, metatarslgia etc, fracture of fifth metatarsal fracture compose of 70% of all metatarsals fracture^[20], 80% of which are proximal the main complication of casting is nonunion, but there are other sequale need to be considered such as refracture, joint stiffness and muscular atrohy.

In this study that plate fixation can be best used for proximal, displaced, multifragmentary fracture of fifth metatarsal fracture for achieving anatomical reduction and stable fixation provides high union rate^[21].care should taken to avoid injury to sural nerve.

CONCLUSION

- Best results are obtained by immediate anatomical reduction and fixation of lisfranc injuries.
- In addition to k-wire, plate fixation for fifth metatarsal provides alignment with high union rate , especially when the fracture is comminuted.
- MIPPO technique is reliable fixation in fracture of distal tibia preserving most osseous (periosteal) blood supply and haematoma in fracture site and turns provides more biological repair.

REFERENCES

- 1. Mantas JP, Burks RT. Lisfranc injuries in the athlete. Clin Sports Med. Oct 1994;13(4):719-730.
- 2. Koval KJ, Zuckerman JD. Handbook of fractures. 3rd ed. Philadelphia: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins; 2006.
- 3. Schenck RC, Jr., Heckman JD. Fractures and Dislocations of the Forefoot: Operative and Nonoperative Treatment. J Am Acad Orthop Surg. Mar 1995; 3(2):70-78.
- 4. Arntz G, Veith R, Hansen S. Fractures and fractures-dislocations of the tarsometatarsal joint. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 1988; 70A:173-81.

ISSN 2515-8260 Volume 09, Issue 01, 2022

- 5. Ly TV, Coetzee JC. Treatment of primarily ligamentous Lisfranc joint injuries: primary arthrodesis compared with open reduction and internal fixation: a prospective, randomized study. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 2006; 88:514-20.
- 6. Curtis MJ, Myerson M, Szura B. Tarsometatarsal joint injuries in the athlete. Am J Sports Med. 1993;21:497-502.
- 7. Escribano I, Larraínzar R, De Lorenzo J, Albín J, Vila J. Fractura-luxación de Lisfranc: nuestra experiencia en 20 años. Rev Med Cir Pie. 1999; XII:21-8.
- 8. Faciszewski T, Burks RT, Manaster BJ. Subtle injuries of the Lisfranc joint. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 1990; 72A:1519-43.
- 9. Mantas JP, Burks RT. Lisfranc injuries in the athlete. Clin Sports Med. 1994;13:719-30.
- 10. Ribera J, Baquero F, Santos A, Montilla F, Fernández M, Carranza A. Fractura-luxación de Lisfranc. Rev Ortop Traumatol. 2001;1:23-9.
- 11. Vuori JP, Aro HT. Lisfranc joint injuries: trauma mechanism and associated injuries. J Trauma. 1993;35:40-5.
- 12. Leenen LPH, Van Der Werken C. Fracture-dislocations of the tarsometatarsal joint, a combined anatomical and computed tomographic study. Injury. 1992;23:51-5.
- 13. Goossens M, De Stoop N. Lisfranc's fracture-dislocations: etiology, radiology, and resuts of treatment. Clin Orthop. 1983;(176):154-216.
- 14. Myerson M. The diagnosis and treatment of injuries to the Lisfranc joint complex. Orthop Clin North Am. 1989;20:655-64.
- 15. Myerson M, Fisher R, Burgess A, Kenzora J. Dislocations of the tarsometatarsal joint. Foot Ankle. 1986;6:225-42.
- Ronga M, Longo UG, Maffulli N. Minimally invasive locked plating of distal tibia fractures is safe and effective. Clin Orthop Relat Res. 2010;468(4):975–82. doi: 10.1007/s11999-009-0991-7.
- 17. Bahari S, Lenehan B, Khan H, McElwain JP. Minimally invasive percutaneous plate fixation of distal tibia fractures. Acta Orthop Belg. 2007;73(5):635–40.
- 18. Bedi A, Le TT, Karunakar MA. Surgical treatment of nonarticular distal tibia fractures. J Am Acad Orthop Surg. 2006;14(7):406–16.
- 19. Mitkovic MB, Bumbasirevic MZ, Lesic A, Golubovic Z. Dynamic external fixation of comminuted intra-articular fractures of the distal tibia (type C pilon fractures). Acta Orthop Belg. 2002;68(5):508–14.
- 20. PETRISOR BA, EKROL I, COURT-BROWN C: The epidemiology of metatarsal fractures. Foot Ankle Int 27: 172, 2006.
- 21. Glasgow MT, Naranja RJ, Glasgow SG, et al: Analysis of failed surgical management of fractures of the base of the fifth metatarsal distal to the tuberosity: the Jones fracture. Foot Ankle Int 17: 449, 1996.