

ORIGINAL RESEARCH**STUDY ON EVALUATION OF MET FOR MINVERSUSINSULIN IN THERAPY IN THE MANAGEMENT OF GESTATIONAL DIABETES****Dr. Divya Saraswat¹, Dr. Kavita Chhabra²**^{1,2}Assistant Professor, Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Adesh Medical College & Hospital, Ambala.**Corresponding Author:** Dr. Kavita Chhabra, Assistant Professor, Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Adesh Medical College and Hospital, Ambala**ABSTRACT****BACKGROUND:** Gestational Diabetes mellitus (GDM) is defined as Glucose Intolerance, the values of plasma glucose falling in the range of Diabetes which is observed and detected for the first time during second or third trimester of pregnancy. GDM is quite

often associated with higher maternal and neonatal morbidities in the short and long-term and predisposes both women and child to later development of type 2 Diabetes

OBJECTIVE OF THE STUDY: the objective of our study is to compare maternal and neonatal outcomes in GDM patients who are on metformin and insulin.**MATERIALS & METHODS:** the study on evaluation of metformin versus insulin therapy in the management of gestational diabetes was conducted in dept. of OBG Adesh Institute of

Medical Sciences, Ambala after obtaining institutional ethical committee clearance for a period of one year from January 2021 to December 2021 in the age group of 26-35 years.

Maternal and neonatal outcomes recorded include: maternal: incidence of pre-eclampsia, PIH, neonatal outcomes include: macrosomia, birth weight, the incidence of small for gestational age, prematurity, Apgar score at the age of 5 min, hypoglycaemia. We also compared the mode of delivery (spontaneous, assisted or caesarean section) between the two groups. **RESULTS & CONCLUSIONS:** It is quite evident from in our study that there were no statistically significant differences in both the groups with respect to maternal complications, mode of delivery and neonatal complications. In our study, we found that the oral antidiabetic medication metformin is equally effective as insulin in the treatment of GDM patients and without higher risks for maternal or neonatal complications.

However, further randomized clinical studies with large number of patients and with long-term follow-up of children is needed to determine the role of Metformin as an alternative treatment to insulin in GDM patients.

KEYWORDS: gestational diabetes mellitus, metformin, insulin, pre-eclampsia, hypoglycemia, macrosomia and pre-maturity.**INTRODUCTION:** Gestational Diabetes mellitus (GDM) is defined as Glucose Intolerance, the values of plasma glucose falling in the range of Diabetes which is observed and detected for the first time during second or third trimester of pregnancy. GDM is quite often associated with higher maternal and neonatal morbidities in the short and long-term and predisposes both women

and child to later development of type 2 Diabetes.¹⁻³

The prevalence of GDM is rising globally and if left untreated, the condition is associated with an increased risk of foetal and maternal complications such as preeclampsia and large-for-gestational age (LGA) infants. Screening followed by treatment of GDM reduces the risk of complications. American Diabetes Association (ADA) and World Health Organization (WHO) recommend to screen for overt diabetes at first prenatal visit, since these women have (untreated) a very high risk for pregnancy complications and need treatment with insulin. Shortly after delivery, the glucose values generally normalize, but women with GDM and their offspring are at increased risk to develop type 2 diabetes (T2DM) later in life.⁴⁻⁷ Two large randomized controlled trials (RCTs) conducted in the past have confirmed that treatment of GDM between 24 and 28 weeks of pregnancy results in less perinatal complications, mainly in the frequency of LGA and preeclampsia.

However, controversy exists regarding the optimal screening and diagnostic approach for GDM.³⁻⁵

Therapeutic approach for GDM started with exercise and diet control, pharmacological intervention (Insulin) is quite needed to achieve glycaemic control further to prevent pregnancy associated complications. 20% to 60% of GDM patients require additional treatment. Multiple injections, hypoglycemia and weight gain are disadvantage of insulin therapy. Hence there is a need for alternate pharmacological approach, one such anti-diabetic medication is Metformin.⁶⁻¹⁰ Metformin reduces hepatic gluconeogenesis and improves peripheral glucose uptake without causing hypoglycemia and weight gain. Metformin in pregnancy is also used in patients with polycystic ovary syndrome (PCOS). Infertility secondary to PCOS is also treated with Metformin. It has no adverse effect on foetus as it crosses placenta. Reduction in spontaneous abortion by treatment with metformin is reported in the first trimester. There are few studies regarding usage and comparison of metformin versus insulin in the progressive management of GDM. Hence we have undertaken this study to evaluate metformin versus insulin in the management of GDM.¹¹⁻¹⁴

OBJECTIVE OF THE STUDY: The objective of our study is to compare maternal and neonatal outcomes in GDM patients who are on metformin and insulin.

MATERIALS AND METHODS: The study on evaluation of metformin versus insulin therapy in the management of gestational diabetes was conducted in dept. of OBG Adesh Institute of

Medical Sciences, Ambala after obtaining institutional ethical committee clearance for a period of one year from January 2021 to December 2021 in the age group of 26-35 years.

After taking voluntary consent from all the patients enrolled in the study, we included age

matched, BMI matched GDM patients who were diagnosed based on standard 2-hour 75 grams OGTT performed at 11-32 weeks because of high risk duration. The diagnosis of GDM was confirmed based on

at least two out of three abnormally high glucose (in the range of Diabetes) as laid down by WHO, that is fasting >120 mg/dL, 1 hour >180 mg/dL and 2 hours >160 mg/dL following oral 75 grams of glucose dissolved in 300 mL of water. All patients were evaluated on OPD basis. Dietary and exercise counselling were done. Self-monitoring of plasma glucose was thought to all the patients. We included a total of 100 patients diagnosed with GDM and were randomly divided into two groups. Group 1 patients were given tab Metformin 500 mg to 1000 mg OD or BD. Group 2 were given regular insulin TID. We excluded type 1 diabetes patients, multiple gestations,

gestational age > 20 weeks, known foetal and chromosomal defects, contraindications to metformin use, on insulin at the start of pregnancy, and HbA1c > 9%. Maternal and neonatal outcomes recorded include: maternal: incidence of pre-eclampsia (elevated BP > 140/90 mmHg and proteinuria > 0.3 gr/24 hours of urine), PIH (pregnancy induced hypertension: elevated blood pressure detected for the first time during pregnancy without proteinuria), neonatal outcomes include: macrosomia (birth weight > 4500 g and/or > 2 SD), birth weight (grams and SD for gestational weeks), the incidence of small for gestational age (SGA; birth weight < 2 SD), prematurity (birth < 37 weeks of gestation), Apgar score at the age of 5 min, hypoglycaemia (serum glucose < 45 mg/dL measured during the first two hours postpartum). We also compared the mode of delivery (spontaneous, assisted or caesarean section) between the two groups.¹⁵ All the data was entered in the excel sheet and the statistical analysis was done using SPSS software for the comparison between the groups using student 't' test and p value.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION: We included a total of 100 GDM patients in our study, divided into two groups. Group 1 patients were given tablet metformin and Group 2 were given regular insulin therapy. The mean age in years and BMI in group 1 and group 2 were 29.62 ± 6 years, $32.45 \pm 6.3 \text{ kg/m}^2$ and 29.58 ± 6.1 years, $32.23 \pm 6.89 \text{ kg/m}^2$ respectively. There were no statistically significant differences in age and BMI between the two groups. The p value was not significant.

Table 1: Show the incidence of Maternal Complications and mode of delivery in all patients

	Group 1 (number 50)	Group 2 (number 50)	P value
PIH	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	NS
Pre-eclampsia	2 (4%)	1 (2%)	NS
Induction of labor	25 (50%)	29 (58%)	NS
Spontaneous delivery	29 (58%)	31 (62%)	NS
Assisted delivery	6 (12%)	5 (10%)	NS
Caesarean section	15 (30%)	14 (28%)	NS

It is quite evident from the above table that there were no statistically significant differences in both the groups with respect to maternal complications and mode of delivery.

Table 2: Show the incidence of Neonatal Complications

	Group 1 (number 50)	Group 2 (number 50)	P value
Birth weight	2832 \pm 400	2900 \pm 389	NS
APGAR at 5 minutes	8.8 \pm 0.3	8.4 \pm 0.7	NS
Macrosomia	10 (20%)	12 (24%)	NS
Hypoglycemia	14 (28%)	24 (48%)	NS
Pre-mature	6 (12%)	5 (10%)	NS

It is quite evident from the above table that there were no statistically significant differences in both the groups with respect to birth weight, APGAR score and neonatal complications.

In our study, we evaluated maternal and neonatal complications in GDM patients with metformin and insulin therapy. In meta-analysis 3 studies measured FBS, PPBS and HbA1C levels to check the efficacy of metformin, the results were similar to our study, metformin was equally effective as insulin

in achieving glycaemic control in GDM patients. Metformin reduces hyperglycemia by suppressing hepatic gluconeogenesis, increases insulin sensitivity and peripheral uptake of glucose. These effects are potentially useful in especially in GDM, when glucose control deteriorates with changes to insulin resistance. Moreover, our findings, in accordance with the results of previous reviews, suggest that neonatal outcomes don't deteriorate with the use of metformin as compared with insulin in short term. At the same time, the results of studies for the long-term impact of metformin use are encouraging. A study followed the neonates whose mothers received metformin and found that they displayed normal weight and social and motor skills at 6 months and there were no differences in height, weight, motor, or social skills between the neonatal groups at 18 months. Moreover, the results of Rowan et al. on this issue are both encouraging and reassuring which intrigue the possibility of benefit in children and adolescents with intrauterine exposure to metformin.¹⁶⁻¹⁸ The study conducted by Hellmuth et al on GDM and T2DM conclude that in his study, GDM patients had increased

incidence of preeclampsia and perinatal loss in mother treated with metformin. In our study, we did not find this difference. The reason of difference might be because of inadequately matched control groups in the study. The metformin group had other increased risk factors for preeclampsia unrelated to metformin use. In addition, their study the antidiabetic medication was started seven weeks later than in the women treated with insulin. In our study, control patients for metformin patients were matched for pre-pregnancy BMI and age. Therefore, there were no significant differences in BMI or age of the patients between the groups. The disturbance in glucose metabolism was slightly more severe in insulin group. That is why neonates of insulin group have more incidences of hypoglycemia.

CONCLUSION: In our study, we found that the oral anti diabetic medication metformin is equally effective as insulin in the treatment of GDM patients and without higher risks for maternal or neonatal complications. Metformin could be used in women with GDM in view of the comparative glycaemic control and neonatal outcomes, especially for those mild GDM patients. However, the risk of preterm birth could not be ignored. Clinicians should weigh in practice according to the condition of the patients. Further studies with larger sample sizes must be completely designed to assess maternal and neonatal complications and to evaluate long-term follow-up of children for the safety of metformin as a universal treatment in GDM patients.

REFERENCES:

1. American Diabetes Association. 2. Classification and diagnosis of diabetes: standards of medical Care in Diabetes—2018. *Diabetes Care*. 2018;41(Supplement 1):S13–27
2. Song C, Lyu Y, Li C, Liu P, Li J, Ma RC, et al. Long-term risk of diabetes in women at varying durations after gestational diabetes: a systematic review and meta-analysis with more than 2 million women. *Obes Rev*. 2018;19(3):421–9
3. Crowther CA, Hiller JE, Moss JR, McPhee AJ, Jeffries WS, Robinson JS, et al. Effect of treatment of gestational diabetes mellitus on pregnancy outcomes. *New Engl J Med*. 2005;352(24):2477–86
4. Landon MB, Spong CY, Thom E, et al. A multicenter, randomized trial of treatment for mild gestational diabetes.
5. Benhalima K, Lens K, Bosteels J, Chantal M. The risk for glucose intolerance after

- gestational diabetes mellitus since the introduction of the IADPSG criteria: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Clin Med*. 2019;**8**(9):1431.
6. Bellamy L, Casas JP, Hingorani AD, Williams D. Type 2 diabetes mellitus after gestational diabetes: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Lancet*. 2009;**373**(9677):1773–1779.
 7. Grunnet LG, Hansen S, Hjort L, et al. Adiposity, dysmetabolic traits, and earlier onset of female puberty in adolescent offspring of women with gestational diabetes mellitus: a clinical study within the Danish national birth cohort. *Diabetes Care*. 2017;**40**(12):1746–1755
 8. Ben-Haroush A, Yogeve Y, Hod M. Epidemiology of gestational diabetes mellitus and its association with type 2 diabetes. *Diabet Med*. 2004;**21**:103-13.
 9. Langer O. From educated guess to accepted practice: the use of oral antidiabetic agents in pregnancy. *Clin Obst Gyn*. 2007;**4**:959-71.
 10. Kirpichnikov D, McFarlane S, Sowers J. Metformin: an update. *Ann Intern Med*. 2002;**137**:25-33.
 11. McCarthy E, Walker S, McLachlan K, Boyle J, Permezel M. Metformin in obstetric and gynaecologic practice: a review. *Obst Gyn Surv*. 2004;**59**:118-27.
 12. Gilbert C, Valois M, Koren G. Pregnancy outcome after first-trimester exposure to metformin: a meta-analysis. *Fertil Steril*. 2006;**86**:658-63.
 13. Glueck C, Phillips H, Cameron D, Sieve-Smith L, Wang P. Continuing metformin throughout pregnancy in women with polycystic ovary syndrome appears to safely reduce first-trimester spontaneous abortion: a pilot study. *Fertile Steril*. 2001;**75**:46-52.
 14. Jacubowicz D, Iuorno M, Jacubowicz S, Roberts K, Nestler J. Effects of metformin on early pregnancy loss in the polycystic ovary syndrome. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*. 2002;**87**:524-9.
 15. Gifford R, August P, Cunningham G. Report of the national high blood pressure education program working group on high blood pressure in pregnancy. *Am J Obst Gyn*. 2000;**183**:S1-22.
 16. Nicholson W, Baptiste-Roberts K (2011) Oral hypoglycaemic agents during pregnancy: The evidence for effectiveness and safety. *Best Pract Res Clin Obstet Gynaecol* **25**:51–63
 17. Glueck CJ, Goldenberg N, Pranikoff J, Loftspring M, Sieve L, et al. (2004) Height, weight, and motor-social development during the first 18 months of life in 126 infants born to 109 mothers with polycystic ovary syndrome who conceived on and continued metformin through pregnancy. *Hum Reprod* **19**:1323–1330.
 18. Viollet B, Guigas B, Sanz Garcia N, Leclerc J, Foretz M, et al. (2012) Cellular and molecular mechanisms of metformin: an overview. *Clin Sci (Lond)* **122**:253–270.