

ORIGINAL RESEARCH

Proportion of diabetic retinopathy (DR) among newly diagnosed type 2 diabetes mellitus patients attending tertiary care centre at Tripura medical college, Agartala: A cross sectional study

¹Dr. Partha Chakma, ²Dr. Anarsh Debbarma, ³Dr. Koushik Chakma,
⁴Dr. Kaushik Tripura, ⁵Dr. Amar Kanti Chakma, ⁶Dr. Avik Chakraborty

¹Associate Professor, ⁵Professor, Department Of Ophthalmology, Tripura Medical College & Dr Bram Teaching Hospital, Hapania, Agartala, Tripura, India

²Assistant Professor, Department Of Surgery, Tripura Medical College & Dr Bram Teaching Hospital, Hapania, Agartala, Tripura, India

³Assistant Professor, ⁶Professor, Department Of Medicine, Tripura Medical College & Dr Bram Teaching Hospital, Hapania, Agartala, Tripura, India

⁴Associate Professor, Department Of Community Medicine, Tripura Medical College & Dr Bram Teaching Hospital, Hapania, Agartala, Tripura, India

Correspondence:

Dr. Koushik Chakma

Assistant Professor, Department Of Medicine, Tripura Medical College & Dr Bram Teaching Hospital, Hapania, Agartala, Tripura, India
koushik.chakma15@gmail.com

Received: 2 November, 2022

Accepted: 28 November, 2022

ABSTRACT

Objective: To estimate the proportion of diabetic retinopathy in newly diagnosed type 2 diabetes mellitus patients attending tertiary care centre at Tripura Medical College, Agartala.

Methods: The current study was a cross-sectional investigation conducted at the Tripura Medical College, Department of Ophthalmology. The study population included adults over the age of 18 with newly diagnosed type 2 diabetes mellitus (less than 6 months after diagnosis) between April 2021 and October 2022. Each patient had a thorough eye examination.

Results: 130 patients participated in the study, with a mean age of 43.2 ± 10.2 years and a 66.9% male patient population. Diabetic retinopathy was discovered in 11.5% (95% CI 14.7, 15.3) of newly diagnosed type 2 diabetes mellitus patients.

Conclusion: In this study, patient with newly discovered type 2 diabetes mellitus had a significant prevalence of retinopathy. This emphasises the significance of conducting a thorough ocular examination on all type 2 diabetes mellitus patients at the time of diagnosis.

Keywords: Diabetes mellitus; retinopathy; prevalence.

INTRODUCTION

Diabetes Mellitus is one of the most commonly seen diseases now a days and India has estimated 77 million people with diabetes [1]. One on six people (17%) in the world with diabetes is from India. Diabetes is a major public health problem mainly because of the morbidity and mortality associated with its complications.

Diabetic retinopathy (DR) is one of the most common chronic micro vascular complications that eventually afflict virtually all patients with diabetes mellitus. Despite decades of research, there is currently no known means of preventing diabetic retinopathy and, despite effective therapies, diabetic retinopathy remains the leading cause of new-onset blindness in working-aged persons in most developed countries of the world [2].

It has been found that the higher prevalence of diabetic retinopathy is associated with various risk factors like duration of the diabetes, age at the diagnosis, poor glycemic control, raised HbA1C, increased BMI, waist circumference, hypertension, dyslipidemia etc [3,4].

However major risk factors with strong association are hyperglycemia and hypertension [5,6], but studies have shown differences in pattern of these factors [7]. Studies also has shown direct relation of DR with prolonged duration of the diabetes [8,9]. High Body mass index (BMI) has a controversial relation in its association with DR [10]. Microalbuminuria was shown as marker of microvascular dysfunction and associated with DR [11,12].

Since north-east India is of multi-ethnic population living together, the aim of the study was to find out the proportion of diabetic retinopathy amongst newly diagnosed type 2 diabetes patients and also to see what the risk factors are and how these factors are related and affecting in development of DR. Hence, the current study was done to estimate the proportion of diabetic retinopathy in newly diagnosed type 2 diabetes mellitus patients attending tertiary care centre at Tripura Medical College, Agartala.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The current study was a cross sectional study done in the Dept of Ophthalmology, Tripura Medical College. The study period was from April 2021 to October 2022 among a newly diagnosed case (less than 6 months) of type 2 diabetes mellitus above 18 years of age. Patient's not willing to give consent, on drugs that cause retinopathy like chloroquine etc, patients with co-morbidities like chronic kidney disease etc., patients with diabetes secondary to any drugs and patients with cataract, uveitis, corneal opacities or any other local conditions of the eye, patients with hypertensive retinopathy, those with narrow angle glaucoma or open angle glaucoma and those patients whose fundus cannot be examined are excluded from the study.

For diabetic retinopathy the early treatment diabetic retinopathy study (ETDRS) grading system was used to grade the diabetic retinopathy [12-14]. Newly diagnosed with type 2 Diabetes Mellitus patients were recruited in the study after taking informed consent. A detailed history, thorough general and systemic examination and required investigations was done and filled up in a predesigned Performa. After that patient was informed about the eye examination which requires checking of visual acuity and intraocular pressure. After that dilatation of pupil was done with dilating drops and examination was done in supine position with the help of indirect ophthalmoscope and +90 D convex lens.

Data was entered in SPSS software version 24 and continuous variables were assessed with the unpaired Student's t test, and categorical variables with the chi-square test. A P value of ≤ 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

The study population comprised of 130 consecutive patients. The overall mean age of the study population was 43.2 ± 10.2 years, 67% of them were males. The overall frequency of retinopathy in patients was 11.5%.

Table displays the demographic and clinical traits of patients with newly diagnosed diabetes mellitus. There was a statistically significant difference in the characteristics of patients with retinopathy compared to those without it in the univariate analysis. In comparison to people without retinopathy, those with the condition were older, more likely to smoke, and had

considerably higher levels of fasting blood sugar, HbA1c, cholesterol, triglycerides, LDL, BMI, and serum creatinine (Table). When compared to patient groups with lower levels of HbA1c and BMI, those with greater levels had a significantly higher prevalence of retinopathy.

Table 1: Comparison of Characteristics of Newly Diagnosed Type II Diabetes Mellitus Patients with and without Retinopathy

Patient Characteristics	Newly diagnosed with retinopathy (n=15)	Newly diagnosed with no retinopathy (n= 115)	P value
Age (Y)	47.2± 10.2	42.3 ± 10.6	0.06
Gender			0.75
Male	70%	66%	
Female	30%	44%	
Co-morbid conditions			
HTN	60%	43%	0.15
CAD	20%	10%	0.19
CVD	0	2%	-
Smoker (Overall) %	50%	30%	
Male	100%	100%	
Female	0	0	
Blood pressure (mmHg)			
Systolic (recumbent)	121 ± 18.2	119 ± 17.8	0.67
Diastolic (recumbent)	88 ± 16.4	83.4 ± 11.7	0.18
Systolic (erect)	126.7 ± 18	122.5 ± 16.8	0.31
Diastolic (erect)	89.7 ± 15.4	84.2 ± 13.4	0.11
Waist Hip Ratio (WHR) - overall	0.85 ± 0.36	0.9 ± 0.30	0.51
Male	1.01 ± 0.12	1.00 ± 0.14	
Female	0.86 ± 0.02	0.85 ± 0.03	
BMI - overall	32.5 ± 5.5	30.1 ± 5.3	0.03
Male	35.5 ± 5.4	32.6 ± 5.3	
Female	29.5 ± 5.2	27.6 ± 5.1	
Laboratory tests			
FBS	138 ± 45.3	129.8 ± 57.9	0.0002
PPBS	182 ± 51.1	127.6 ± 51.5	0.0005
HbA1C	9.1 ± 1.3	7 ± 1.3	<0.0001
Cholesterol	198.5 ± 36.3	174.3 ± 34.2	0.004
Triglyceride	174.3 ± 27.5	142.6 ± 38	0.0005
LDL	140.4 ± 32	129 ± 33.9	0.009
HDL	39.1 ± 3.7	40.3 ± 4.2	0.20
Serum creatinine	1.1 ± 0.2	0.8 ± 0.1	<0.0001

All the patients with diabetic retinopathy were of mild NPDR.

DISCUSSION

In this investigation, retinopathy was found to be substantially more common in patients with newly diagnosed Type 2 diabetes mellitus compared to data from other countries. In the diabetes preventive programme, Nathan [15] reported a prevalence of retinopathy of 12.6% in patients with recently developed diabetes. Abdollahi et al. [16] from Iran reported a prevalence of 13.8%, Agarwal et al. [17] a prevalence of 11.7%, Rema and associates a prevalence of 5.1%, and Rema and associates a prevalence of 7.3%, respectively [18,19].In

the Beaver Dam Eye Study, Klein et al. [20] reported that the prevalence of newly diagnosed type 2 diabetic patients was 10.2%. In the United Kingdom Prospective Diabetes Study, Kohar and colleagues [21] observed that the prevalence of retinopathy was 39% for men and 35% for women, respectively. The variations in the interval between the development and identification of diabetes may be the cause of the reported prevalence of retinopathy among patients with newly diagnosed type 2 diabetes. This may be the result of socioeconomic circumstances, which affect the availability and accessibility of medical treatment, the behaviour of the particular group investigated while seeking medical attention, and variations in the classifications used to identify the presence of diabetes.

This study showed that, compared to diabetics without retinopathy, diabetic patients with retinopathy were older, had higher levels of fasting plasma glucose, HbA1c, cholesterol, triglycerides, LDL, BMI, and serum creatinine. Additionally, smoking was observed in people with retinopathy. In the worldwide literature, Nathan [15] has noted that participants with retinopathy who were diabetic had baseline values for HbA1c and systolic blood pressure that were higher. Age, disease duration, fasting plasma glucose, HbA1c, and systolic blood pressure were all considerably higher in retinopathy patients, according to a study by Abdollahi et al [16] conducted in Iran. In a study conducted in India, Agarwal et al. [17] have solely discussed systolic blood pressure in relation to diabetic retinopathy. In a population-based investigation carried out in urban India, Rema and colleagues [19] found a correlation between retinopathy and age, high fasting plasma glucose levels, and HbA1c. High levels of systolic blood pressure, fasting plasma glucose, and HbA1c have been demonstrated in western studies to be crucial factors in the development of retinopathy, such as the United Kingdom Prospective Diabetes Study [21] and the United States Beaver Dam Eye Study [20]. According to a Danish study, there is a direct association between the degree of retinopathy and the length of diabetes, the HbA1c level, and systolic blood pressure.[22] The intriguing aspects of this study are the significant association of high cholesterol, triglycerides, LDL, and smoking with retinopathy and the absence of any significant correlation between blood pressure and the prevalence of retinopathy, which is quite contrary to the published work in the international literature. Basit et al. [23] have shown a relationship between hypertriglyceridemia and hypertension with poor glycemic control in research from a tertiary care facility in Karachi. This could be interpreted as an indirect link between severe retinopathy and high triglyceride levels.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, this study indicated that the prevalence of retinopathy was quite high in patients with newly diagnosed type 2 diabetes mellitus. This emphasises the significance of thoroughly examining every patient's eyes at the time of diagnosis.

REFERENCES

1. Kannan, Ramya. "India is home to 77million diabetes, second highest in the world". The Hindu. ISSN 0971-751X. Retrieved 2020-04-29.
2. National Diabetes Data Group: Diabetes in America, 2nd ed. Bethesda, Maryland, National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases, 1995.
3. J W Y Yau, S L Rogers, R Kawasaki, E L Lamoureux, J W Kowalski, T Bek, S J Chen et al. Global Prevalence and Major Risk Factors of Diabetic Retinopathy. Diabetes Care 2012; 35:556–564.
4. D S W Ting, Cheung GCM, T Y W. Diabetic retinopathy: global prevalence, major risk factors, screening practices and public health challenges: a review. Clinical and Experimental Ophthalmology 2016; 44: 260–277.
5. The Royal College of Ophthalmologists. Diabetic Retinopathy Guidelines. London; 2012.

6. American Academy of Ophthalmology Retina Panel. Preferred Practice Pattern® Guidelines. Diabetic Retinopathy. San Francisco, CA: American Academy of Ophthalmology; 2008 (4th ed. printing 2012).
7. Yau JWY, Rogers SL, Kawasaki R, Lamoureux EL, Kowalski JW, Bek T, et al. Global prevalence and major risk factors of diabetic retinopathy. *Diabetes Care*. 2012;35:556-64.
8. Zhang X, Saaddine JB, Chou CF, Cotch MF, Cheng YJ, Geiss LS, et al. Prevalence of diabetic retinopathy in the United States, 2005–2008. *JAMA*. 2010;304(6):649–56.
9. Xu J, Wei WB, Yuan MX, Yuan SY, Wan G, Zheng YY, et al. Prevalence and risk factors for diabetic retinopathy: the Beijing Communities Diabetes Study 6. *Retina*. 2012; 32:322–9.
10. Esteves J, Laranjeira AF, Roggia MF, Dalpizol M, Scocco C, Kramer CK, et al. Fatores de Riscopara Retinopatia Diabética. *Arq Bras Endocrinol Metab*. 2008;52(3):431–41.
11. Gunnlaugsdottir E, Halldorsdottir S, Klein R, Eiriksdottir G, Klein BE, Benediktsson R, et al. Retinopathy in old persons with and without diabetes mellitus: the Age, Gene/environment susceptibility-Reykjavik Study (AGES-R). *Diabetologia*. 2012; 55:671–80.
12. Classification of diabetic retinopathy: detailed grading of stereo-color photographs. In: Early treatment of diabetic retinopathy study manual of operations. Baltimore, MD: Early Treatment of Diabetic Retinopathy Study Coordinating Centre, Department of Epidemiology and Preventive Medicine, University of Maryland, 1985:18.
13. Early Treatment of Diabetic Retinopathy Study Research Group. Grading diabetic retinopathy from stereoscopic colour fundus photographs: an extension of the modified Airlie House classification. ETDRS Report. *Ophthalmology* 1991; 98:786–806.
14. Early Treatment Diabetic Retinopathy Study Research Group. Fundus photographic risk factors for progression of diabetic retinopathy: ETDRS report no. 12. *Ophthalmology* 1991;98[Suppl 5]:823–833.
15. Diabetes Prevention Program Research Group. The prevalence of retinopathy in impaired glucose tolerance and recent-onset diabetes in the Diabetes Prevention Program. *Diabetic Med* 2007; 24: 137-44.
16. Abdollahi A, Malekmadani M H, Mansoori M R, Bostak A, Abbaszadeh MR, Mirshahi A. Prevalence of diabetic retinopathy in patients with newly diagnosed type II diabetes mellitus. *ActaMedicaIranica* 2006; 44:415-9.
17. Agarwal S, Raman R, Kumari RP, Deshmukh H, Paul PG, Gnanamoorthy P, et al. Diabetic retinopathy in type II diabetics detected by targeted screening versus newly diagnosed in generalpractice. *Ann Acad Med Singapore* 2006; 35: 531-5.
18. Rema M, Premkumar S, Anitha B, Deepa R, Pradeepa R, Mohan V. Prevalence of diabetic retinopathy in urban India: the Chennai Urban Rural Epidemiology Study (CURES) eye study, I. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* 2005; 46:2328-2333.
19. Rema M, Deepa R, Mohan V. Prevalence of retinopathy at diagnosis among type 2 diabetic patients attending a diabetic center in South India. *Br J Ophthalmol* 2000; 84: 1058-60.
20. Klein R, Klein BE, Moss SE, Linton KL. The Beaver Dam Eye Study. Retinopathy in adults with newly discovered and previously diagnosed diabetes mellitus. *Ophthalmology* 1992; 99: 58-62.
21. Kohner EM, Aldington SJ, Stratton IM, Manley SE, Holman RR, Mathews DR, et al. United Kingdom Prospective Diabetes Study, 30: diabetic retinopathy at diagnosis of non-insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus and associated risk factors. *Arch Ophthalmol* 1998; 116:297-303.

22. Hove MN, Kristensen JK, Lauritzen T, Bek T. The prevalence of retinopathy in an unselected population of type 2 diabetes patients from Arthus County, Denmark. *Acta Ophthalmol Scand* 2004; 82: 443-8.
23. Basit A, Hydrie MZ, Hakeem R, Ahmedani MY, Waseem M. Glycemic control, hypertension and chronic complications in type 2 diabetic subjects attending a tertiary care center. *J Ayub Med Coll Abbottabad* 2005; 17: 63-8.