

## Case Series

# A Staged Surgical Treatment Outcome of Type 3 Open Tibial Fractures Case Series

**First author 1: - Dr Rushikesh Abhyankar**, Resident, Department of Orthopedics, Dr D Y Patil Medical College, Hospital and Research Centre, Dr D Y Patil Vidyapeeth, Pimpri, Pune, Maharashtra, India.

**Second author 2: - Dr Vishal Patil**, Associate Professor, Department of Orthopedics, Dr D Y Patil Medical College, Hospital and Research Centre, Dr D Y Patil Vidyapeeth, Pimpri, Pune, Maharashtra, India.

**Third author 3: - Dr Swaroop Solunke**, Assistant Professor, Department of Orthopedics, Dr D Y Patil Medical College, Hospital and Research Centre, Dr D Y Patil Vidyapeeth, Pimpri, Pune, Maharashtra, India.

**Fourth author 4: - Dr Vinod Nair**, Associate Professor, Dr D Y Patil Medical College, Hospital and Research Centre, Dr D Y Patil Vidyapeeth, Pimpri, Pune, Maharashtra, India.

**Corresponding Author: - Dr Vinod Nair**, Vishrantwadi, Pune 411018

**Designation: - Associate Professor**, Department of Orthopedics, Dr D Y Patil Medical College, Hospital and Research Centre, Dr D Y Patil Vidyapeeth, Pimpri, Pune, Maharashtra, India.

**Email: - dr\_vinair@gmail.com**

## 1. Background

Tibial fractures, consist of approximately 15% of all adult fractures are frequently caused by direct or indirect traumas due to less of cutaneous and subcutaneous tissues which are anterior of tibial shaft. Epidemiologic studies show that compound fractures are 23.5% of all tibial shaft fractures. In treatment of compound tibial fractures, rate of encountering complications like infection, delayed union, or nonunion is high because of weak perfusion and high density of cortical bone material [1–4]. Especially Gustillo-Anderson type 3 open tibial fractures are caused by high energy traumas and are frequently accompanied by serious complications like amputation, infection, nonunion, malunion, and soft tissue losses. Use of new generation antibiotics, adequate irrigation and debridement, and new methods on fixation techniques has reduced these complications.

As most of are a result of high energy traumas and may be associated with neurovascular involvement or vast soft tissue damages, management of type 3 open fractures has still been challenging for orthopedicians and prognosis can be affected by complications like compartment syndrome, osteomyelitis, nonunion, or even amputation [5– 7].

Treatment options for open tibial fractures depend on characteristics of fracture, age, general condition of patient, situation of surrounding soft tissue, and circulatory properties. The method which will be preferred has to allow maximum functional restoration for extremities and has to enable optimal bone alignment and length. Most important elements affecting prognosis positively in compound tibial fractures are early treatment, providing enclosure on circulation and soft tissue, infection prophylaxis, fixation of the fracture with an optimal surgical technique, and effective rehabilitation

program. In treatment of compound tibial fractures which are classified according to current soft tissue damage, maintaining reliable fixation of fracture with minimal soft tissue damage, after providing integrity of damaged soft tissue, will affect outcome positively [8–12].

In light of various scoring systems, along with extremity saving interventions, primary amputation is an option in especially types 3B and 3C fractures; however, it attracts attention to that, nowadays, most of discussions focus on type of fixation material. Many authors have applied external or internal fixation methods in type 3 compound tibial fractures. While treatment with external fixation in type 3 compound tibia fractures is generally a recognized technique, nowadays, there are reports which suggests internal fixation in these types of fractures [13–17].

In these case series which are about type 3 open tibial fractures formed with three different high energy trauma etiologies in different parts of tibia (proximal, medial, and distal), we aimed to assess our three-stage treatment approach and discuss final results of our elective surgery management with three different fixation methods.

## 2. Patients and Methods

We assessed 5 patients with type 3 open tibial fractures between 2019 and 2021. Fractures are classified according to AO/OTA and open fractures are typed according to Gustilo-Anderson classification.

First interventions to patients were debridement, irrigation, and splint casting in emergency conditions. This first stage was performed immediately in the emergency room. All patients were given tetanus prophylaxis and infection prophylaxis with antibiotics for type 3 compound fractures. Cefazolin 1 g three times a day and gentamycin 80 mg two times a day were used for antibiotic prophylaxis during 72 hours. After that in early period, radical debridement-irrigation with plenty of SF and temporary external fixation with external fixator were achieved in operating room conditions. The second-stage procedure was performed during the initiation after 48 hours. If vascular repairs or closure of soft tissue was necessary, it would be performed. In the third stage, during the first 15 days (3–15 days, mean 9 days), patients would undergo surgery with internal fixation (IMN/plate- screw) or external fixation (Ilizarov) unless a sign of infection was seen clinically or with laboratory results or discharging in the bottom of shanz pins. Patients were allowed to walk with 2 crutches without enforce heavy on lower extremities in early periods. Patients were followed up for at least a year. Last conditions of all our cases were evaluated according to modified Johner and Wruhs' [18] criteria.

## 3. Results

The mean age was 35.3 years. Three patients were male and two were female, and three of fractures were in right and two of them were in left tibia. Cases according to fracture classification (AO/OTA) are shown in Table 1. Two cases were type 3A, two were type 3B, and one case was type 3C

in terms of fracture types. Results according to the type of fracture were shown in Table 2. All patients were followed up for at least a year and mean follow-up time was fifteen months. In terms of functionality and clinical outcome, three cases were evaluated as excellent, one case as good, one case as fair (Table 1).

In third stage of treatment; all the five cases treated with plate-screw. Applied in the third stage of definitive treatment results were shown in Table 2. Examples from our cases are shown in Figures 1, 2, and 3.

## 4. Discussion

Open fractures are an important reason for mortality and morbidity among all musculoskeletal injuries and a socio-economical problem for society. Behrens and Searls [19] emphasized that every year two cases of 1000 injuries were compound tibial fractures and this rate was greater than 0.2% in developing countries. Although treatment approaches for compound fractures have shown progression in recent years, especially Gustilo-Anderson, type 3 open fractures remain to be one of the important problems in orthopedic surgery because of high energy trauma, vasculoneural lesions, and vast soft tissue injuries resulting in treatment challenges and complications. AO/OTA classification is used frequently in classification of tibial shaft fractures. On the other hand, Gustilo-Anderson classification is preferred in open fractures [1–9]. We included both in this study.

There is a consensus in literature that, after patients' vital functions are restored and irrigation, debridement, and infection prophylaxis are achieved, primary stabilization of fracture and closing the wound as soon as possible had to be carried out. Sufficient and effective irrigation and debridement and appropriate antibiotic treatment are first steps of infection prophylaxis. While Gustilo suggests combination of first generation cephalosporins and aminoglycosides for type 3 open fractures, Zalavras et al. [20] suggest this regimen for all compound fracture types. There is not a consensus in debridement method; however, first debridement is the best chance to protect from infection and should be applied in the first six hours to keep infection rate minimum [21]. Removal of all lifeless tissues beginning from superficial layers to deeper layers is accepted as basis in open tibial fracture debridement, and Gustilo added that there should be second and even third debridement one to three days after the first. In our series, we gave combination of cephalosporin and aminoglycoside to all patients. We applied first debridement

TABLE 1: Patients demographics and staged treatment outcome.

Number	Age	Gender	Side	Injury	AO/OTA classification	Gustilo-Anderson grade	Comorbidity or other injuries	1st stage of treatment	2nd stage of treatment	3rd stage of treatment	Complication on followup	Outcome
1	21	F	R	FA	41A2	3B	—	DI+S	DI+EF	PLATING	—	Excellent
2	33	M	R	TA	41A2	3A	_	DI+S	DI+EF	PLATING	—	Excellent
3	15	M	R	TA	41A3	3C	_	DI+S	DI+EF	PLATING	_	Fair
4	28	M	L	TA	42C2	3B	—	DI+S	DI+EF	PLATING	—	Good
5	50	F	L	TA	42A3	3A	_	DI+S	DI+EF	PLATING	—	Excellent



FIGURE 1: Case 1: AO/OTA: 42B2, GA: 3A, 28-year-old male, traffic accident, elective surgery (third stage): plate-screw, 14-month followup,



X-ray: full union.

FIGURE 2: Case 1: AO/OTA: 42B2, GA: 3A, 28-year-old male, traffic accident, elective surgery (third stage): Plating, 10-month followup, X-ray: there is adequate callus.

TABLE 2: The outcome: according to Gustilo-Anderson type or definitive surgery treatment option.

	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor
Gustilo-Anderson Type				
Type 3A	2	—	—	—
Type 3B	1	1	—	—
Type 3C	—	—	1	—
Definitive surgery treatment				
IMN	0	—	—	—
Plate-screw	3	1	1	—
Ilizarov circular fixation	—	0	0	0

in emergency room and second debridement and soft tissue closure in operating room. Zalavras et al. [9] pointed out that wound could be closed in a way that allows drainage in type 1 and type 2 open fractures but late closure after second and even a third debridement was appropriate in type 3 fractures. In late primary closure, there are vast contamination and anaerobic infection risks in wound site. If the wound could not be closed primarily, skin graft or flap could be needed; in fact, closure has been provided better in muscle flaps and better outcomes have been achieved [22].

different types of fixators are used in surgical treatment of tibial compound fractures. Regardless of which treatment

method is used, objective should be to obtain maximum functionality to the fractured extremity and to maintain patients' life quality with minimum damage or complication [2–4].

Use of external fixators in multiparted, defective, and contaminated open fractures, especially Gustilo-Anderson types 3B and 3C open fractures, is routinely accepted in these days [12, 23]. External fixators are frequently preferred because they are feasible, allow soft tissue treatment, provide rigid fixation, allow active axial dynamization above fracture line in early periods, and their removal is convenient. However, pin loosening rates are high and complications like malunion or nonunion are frequently seen in external fixators [23]. Gustilo-Anderson type 3B

fractures may be treated with engraving locked nails too. Because these nails harm

endosteal circulation least while not affecting periosteal circulation. That is why infection rates in this treatment option are found to be relatively low, but studies indicate high circulatory deficiency rates [1]. Another alternative surgical management is internal fixation following the removal of external fixator. In their meta-analysis study Bhandari et al. [10] indicated that nailing was found to have lower reoperation numbers and less superficial infection and malunion rates than external fixators and engraving nails in open tibial fractures. Gopal et al. [24] reported satisfying results when they applied minimal invasive internal fixation with biological plating in compound tibial fractures. In their review, including 11 studies, Giannoudis et al. [1] indicated that union rates were between 62 and 95%, duration of unions was between 13 and 42 weeks, reoperation rates were between 8 and 69%, and progression rate of deep infection was 11% in 492 patients who undergone plating operation for open tibial fractures.

In current studies, staged treatment options which we emphasized in our study have begun to be applied to reduce developing complications. Ma et al. [13] followed up 16 patients with compound tibial fracture (12 of them with type 3 and four of them with type 2) at least one year after two-staged protocol which comprised first stage of debridement, plating with low profile lock, and temporary external fixator and second stage of plating with definitive lock accompanied by minimal invasive percutaneous osteosynthesis and reported good results in 15 patients and bad result in one patient. In their staged treatment protocol for 10 patients with open distal tibial fractures (three with type 2, one with type 3A, and six with type 3B according to Gustilo-Anderson classification), Sohn and Kang [14] applied lateral minimally invasive plate osteosynthesis (MIPO) in second stage. Beginning treatments were debridement in first 24 hours and external fixation for six to fifty-two days. Three patients undergone bone graft after eight-week followup and no nonunion was seen after one-year followup. Superficial infections were seen in two patients and restrictions in ankle movements were seen in two patients. As a result, they indicated that their staged protocol with second stage comprising lateral MIPO was an alternative surgical option in open distal tibial fractures with its high functional recovery and low complication rate. Tong et al.'s [25] retrospective study, in which they applied two-staged treatment protocol including MIPO to 29 patients with complex pylon fractures, comprised second stage of MIPO treatment following first

stage with open reduction, internal fixation, fibular fixation, and an external fixation to ankle in the first eight to twenty-four hours and soft tissue recovery for 24 to 38 days. As a result of this two-staged treatment protocol, no superficial or profound infection or problem in wound healing was seen and normal ankle function was achieved in all patients suggesting that two-staged protocol with MIPO played a key role in reduction of infection rates. As a different approach, Kim et al. [15] treated 30 patients with open proximal tibial fracture (18 patients with primary MIPO and 12 patients with staged treatment protocol including MIPO) and assessed clinical status of patients after one year of followup. Eleven patients had type 1, six patient had type 2, and 13 patients were type 3 (six were type 3A, six were type 3B, and one was type 3C). Primary union was achieved in 24 patients, and six patients undergone early bone graft. Perfect outcome was achieved in 23, and good outcome was achieved in seven patients. Three patients were superficially infected and five patients were profoundly infected but none required implant removal. As a result, infection rates were significantly lower in primary MIPO group than in staged treatment protocol group, but similar results were obtained in both groups in terms of bone union rate and functionality. Differing from others by using three-staged treatment protocol which had a first stage including debridement, antibiotherapy, and bone stabilization with external fixator, second stage of wound closure with local flap surgery and last stage of surgical bone reconstruction including bone grafting, plating, locked nailing, hybrid, monolateral external fixator, and bone dislocation with Ilizarov; Yusof and Halim [5] treated 11 patients with infected type 3B open tibial fracture. After three years of followup period, infection signs were regressed in all patients, union was achieved in nine patients, and two other patients with nonunion rejected application of other surgical treatment options. Three-staged treatment protocol was applied in our study too. First stage was debridement, second stage included second debridement, soft tissue closure, and temporary monolateral external fixation, and third stage included circular external fixation, IMN, or definitive treatment with plating. In terms of functionality and clinical outcome, six cases were assessed as perfect, eight cases as good, two cases as medium, and three cases were assessed as bad. Results of circular external fixation were worse than results plating or internal fixation with IMN. In our study, nonunion developed in one case, delayed union developed in

another case, and osteomyelitis developed in two cases and revision surgeries were applied to these cases.

## 5. Limitations

Retrospective nature of study, small case number, and non-homogeneity of last stage of staged treatment protocol can be assessed as restrictions on the study.

## 6. Conclusion

Staged treatment option in type 3 open tibial fractures seems to be a good method in reducing complication and achieving the best result. In this protocol, temporarily monolateral external fixation and definitively plating, IMN, or Ilizarov application is usually used. Our staged treatment results were satisfactory in last stage including plating and IMN, but results of external fixation were poor. These results may be based on accompanying morbidities or properties of fractures. However, we think that definitive staged treatment protocol including internal fixation with plating or IMN of bone as soon as possible is a reliable method, especially to avoid complications as a result of external fixator and to provide patient rapport.

## Conflict of Interests

The authors declare no conflict of interests.

## Acknowledgments

The authors thank Dr Rahul Salunkhe, Head of Department, Department of Orthopedics, Dr D Y Patil Medical College and Hospital, Pune.

## References

- [1] P. V. Giannoudis, C. Papakostidis, G. Kouvidis, and N. K. Kanakaris, "The role of plating in the operative treatment of severe open tibial fractures: A systematic review," *International Orthopaedics*, vol. 33, no. 1, pp. 19–26, 2009.
- [2] V. Batta, A. J. Dwyer, A. Gulati, J. Prakash, M. K. Mam, and B. John, "No difference in the long term final functional outcome after nailing or cast bracing of high energy displaced tibial shaft fractures," *Journal of Trauma Management & Outcomes*, vol. 6, no. 1, article 5, 2012.
- [3] H. Ağuş, Y. Kiranyaz, E. Mavi, A. Reisoğlu, and G. Eryanılmaz, "Treatment of open Tibial Fractures with early biological internal fixation," *Eklemler Hastalıkları Cerrahisi*, vol. 11, pp. 24–31, 2000 (Turkish).
- [4] S. Rajasekaran, J. Dheenadhayalan, J. N. Babu, S. R. Sundararajan, H. Venkatramani, and S. R. Sabapathy, "Immediate primary skin closure in type-III A and B open fractures: results after a minimum of five years," *Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery B*, vol. 91, no. 2, pp. 217–224, 2009.
- [5] N. M. Yusof and A. S. Halim, "Outcomes of infected grade IIIB open tibial fractures," *Singapore Medical Journal*, vol. 53, no. 9, pp. 591–594, 2012.
- [6] R. B. Gustilo, R. M. Mendoza, and D. N. Williams, "Problems in the management of type III (severe) open fractures: a new classification of type III open fractures," *Journal of Trauma*, vol. 24, no. 8, pp. 742–746, 1984.
- [7] S. S. Blick, R. J. Brumback, and A. Poka, "Compartment syndrome in open tibial fractures," *Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery A*, vol. 68, no. 9, pp. 1348–1353, 1986.
- [8] J. B. Kamath, M. S. Shetty, T. V. Joshua, A. Kumar, Harshvardhan, and D. M. Naik, "Soft tissue coverage in open fractures of tibia," *Indian Journal of Orthopaedics*, vol. 46, no. 4, pp. 462–469, 2012.
- [9] C. G. Zalavras, R. E. Marcus, L. S. Levin, and M. J. Patzakis, "Management of open fractures and subsequent complications," *Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery A*, vol. 89, no. 4, pp. 883–895, 2007.
- [10] M. Bhandari, G. H. Guyatt, M. F. Swiontkowski, and E. H. Schemitsch, "Treatment of open fractures of the shaft of the tibia," *Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery B*, vol. 83, no. 1, pp. 62–68, 2001.
- [11] Y. Tropet, P. Garbuio, L. Obert, L. Jeunet, and B. Elias, "One-stage emergency treatment of open grade IIIB tibial shaft fractures with bone loss," *Annals of Plastic Surgery*, vol. 46, no. 2, pp. 113–119, 2001.
- [12] M. D. McKee, D. J. Yoo, R. Zdero et al., "Combined single-stage osseous and soft tissue reconstruction of the tibia with the Ilizarov method and tissue transfer," *Journal of Orthopaedic Trauma*, vol. 22, no. 3, pp. 183–189, 2008.
- [13] C. H. Ma, S. W. Yu, Y. K. Tu, C. Y. Yen, J. J. H. Yeh, and C. H. Wu, "Staged external and internal locked plating for open distal tibial fractures: A retrospective study of 16 patients," *Acta Orthopaedica*, vol. 81, no. 3, pp. 382–386, 2010.
- [14] O. J. Sohn and D. H. Kang, "Staged protocol in treatment of open distal tibia fracture: using lateral MPO," *Clinics in orthopedic surgery*, vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 69–76, 2011.
- [15] J. W. Kim, C. W. Oh, W. J. Jung, and J. S. Kim, "Minimally invasive plate osteosynthesis for open fractures of the proximal tibia," *Clinics in Orthopedic Surgery*, vol. 4, no. 4, pp. 313–320, 2012.
- [16] D. Saddawi-Konefha, H. M. Kim, and K. C. Chung, "A systematic review of outcomes and complications of reconstruction and amputation for type IIIB and IIIC fractures of the tibia," *Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery*, vol. 122, no. 6, pp. 1796–1805, 2008.
- [17] J. Stannard, C. Finkemeier, J. Lee, and P. Kregor, "Utilization of the less-invasive stabilization system internal fixator for open fractures of the proximal tibia: a multi-center evaluation," *Indian Journal of Orthopaedics*, vol. 42, no. 4, pp. 426–430, 2008.
- [18] R. Johnner and O. Wruhs, "Classification of tibial shaft fractures and correlation with results after rigid internal fixation," *Clinical Orthopaedics and Related Research*, vol. 178, pp. 7–25, 1983.
- [19] F. Behrens and K. Searls, "External fixation of the tibia. Basic concepts and prospective evaluation," *Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery B*, vol. 68, no. 2, pp. 246–254, 1986.
- [20] C. G. Zalavras, M. J. Patzakis, and P. Holtom, "Local antibiotic therapy in the treatment of open fractures and osteomyelitis," *Clinical Orthopaedics and Related Research*, no. 427, pp. 86–93, 2004.
- [21] K. Kindsfater and E. A. Jonassen, "Osteomyelitis in grade II and III open tibia fractures with late debridement," *Journal of orthopaedic trauma*, vol. 9, no. 2, pp. 121–127, 1995.
- [22] S. Gopal, P. V. Giannoudis, A. Murray, S. J. Matthews, and R. M. Smith, "The functional outcome of severe, open tibial fractures managed with early fixation and flap coverage," *Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery B*, vol. 86, no. 6, pp. 861–867, 2004.

- [23] H. Kataria, N. Sharma, and R. K. Kanojia, "Small wire external fixation for high-energy tibial plateau fractures," *Journal of Orthopaedic Surgery*, vol. 15, no. 2, pp. 137–143, 2007.
- [24] S. Gopal, S. Majumder, A. G. B. Batchelor, S. L. Knight, P. de Boer, and R. M. Smith, "Fix and flap: The radical orthopaedic and plastic treatment of severe open fractures of the tibia," *Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery B*, vol. 82, no. 7, pp. 959–966, 2000.
- [25] D. Tong, F. Ji, H. Zhang et al., "Two-stage procedure protocol for minimally invasive plate osteosynthesis technique in the treatment of the complex pilon fracture," *International Orthopaedics*, vol. 36, no. 4, pp. 833–837, 2012.