

# On Some Coefficient Inequalities For Certain Classes Of Regular And Multivalent Functions With Differential Operator

Elumalai Muthaiyan<sup>1,\*</sup>, Radhika Subramani<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1,\*</sup>Department of Mathematics, St. Joseph's Institute of Technology, OMR, Chennai – 600 119, Tamilnadu, India.

<sup>2</sup> Department of Mathematics, Chennai Academy of Architecture and Design, Thiruvallur – 601102, Tamilnadu, India.

\*1988malai@gmail.com

## Abstract

*In this paper the author establishes the new result corresponds to the generalized differential operator  $E_{\eta,s}^{\delta,m} \mathfrak{F}(z)$ , related to multivalent regular functions. Also compute the Fekete szegő coefficient estimates are obtained for  $|a_{s+2} - \lambda a_{s+1}^2|$  when  $\lambda \geq 1$ , with sharpness for the operator, and also point out, as particular cases, the results obtained earlier by various authors.*

**Keywords:** Multivalent and regular functions, coefficient estimates, multivalent starlike and convex function, Fekete-Szegő problem.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $A_s$  be the category of functions  $\mathfrak{F}(z)$  and the expansion

$$\mathfrak{F}(z) = z^s + \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} a_{r+s} z^{r+s} \quad (s \in \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}) \quad (1)$$

which are holomorphic within the unit disk  $\Theta = \{z : |z| < 1\}$  and let  $A = A_1$ . A function  $\mathfrak{F} \in A_s$  observe by way of equ (1) is said to be multivalently starlike if

$$\Re \left( \frac{z \mathfrak{F}'(z)}{s \mathfrak{F}(z)} \right) > 0, \quad (z \in \Theta).$$

We denote this category of functions by means of  $S_s^*$ . Note that the category  $S_s^*$  decrease to  $S_1^* := S^*$ , the category of starlike in  $\Theta$ , introduced by Robertson<sup>[10]</sup>.

A function  $\mathfrak{F} \in A_s$  is multivalently convex if

$$\Re \frac{1}{s} \left( \frac{z \mathfrak{F}'(z) + z^2 \mathfrak{F}''(z)}{z \mathfrak{F}'(z)} \right) > 0, \quad (z \in \Theta).$$

We denote with the aid of  $C_s$  the familiar subcategory of  $A_s$ . In case  $s = 1$ ,  $C_1 := C$  the category of convex functions in  $\Theta$ , brought by way of Robertson<sup>[10]</sup> (also see<sup>[2]</sup>).

A function  $\mathfrak{F}(z)$  belonging to  $A_s$  is strongly starlike of order  $\eta$  in  $\Theta$ , and denote with the aid of  $SS^*(K)$  if

$$\left| \arg \left( \frac{z \mathfrak{F}'(z)}{\mathfrak{F}(z)} \right) \right| < \frac{\pi}{2} \gamma \quad (0 < \gamma \leq s, \quad z \in \Theta). \quad (2)$$

If  $\mathfrak{Z}(z) \in A_s$  satisfies

$$\left| \arg \left( 1 + \frac{z\mathfrak{Z}'(z)}{\mathfrak{Z}(z)} \right) \right| < \frac{\pi}{2} \gamma \quad (0 < \gamma \leq s, \quad z \in \Theta) \quad (3)$$

Let  $M_s(m, \delta, \eta, \gamma)$  be the category of regular,  $\mathfrak{Z}$  defined within the open unit disk  $\Theta$

$$\Re \left( \frac{E_{\eta,s}^{\delta,m+1} \mathfrak{Z}(z)}{E_{\eta,s}^{\delta,m} g(z)} \right) > 0 \quad (\delta \in \mathbb{N}, \delta, m, s \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\})$$

for some  $g \in R_s^s(m, \delta, \eta, \gamma)$ .

The author define the following differential operator  $E_{\eta,s}^{\delta,m} : A_s \rightarrow A_s$  by

$$E_{\eta,s}^{\delta,m} \mathfrak{Z}(z) = z^s + \sum_{\tau=1}^{\infty} \Gamma_{\tau} (\tau + s)^m a_{\tau+s} z^{\tau+s} \quad (4)$$

$$\text{where } \Gamma_{\tau} = \frac{(\tau + s + \delta - 1)!(\eta - 1)!}{\delta!(\tau + s + \eta - 2)!},$$

with the assist of the differential operator  $E_{\eta,s}^{\delta,m}$ , we say that

$$\left| \arg \left( \frac{E_{\eta,s}^{\delta,m+1} \mathfrak{Z}(z)}{E_{\eta,s}^{\delta,m} \mathfrak{Z}(z)} \right) \right| < \frac{\pi}{2} \gamma \quad (\delta \in \mathbb{N}, \delta, m, s \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\})$$

for some  $\gamma (0 < \gamma \leq s)$  and for all  $z \in \Theta$ .

Note that

$$L_K^s(0, 0, 1, \gamma, 1) = SS_s^*(K)$$

and

$$L_K^s(1, 0, 1, \gamma, 1) = SC_s(K).$$

If  $g(z)$  follow to  $A_s$  is said to be in the category  $R_s(m, \delta, \eta, \gamma)$  denoted the category of regular function  $g(z)$  by

$$\left| \arg \left( \frac{E_{\eta,s}^{\delta,m+1} g(z)}{E_{\eta,s}^{\delta,m} g(z)} \right) \right| < \frac{\pi}{2} \gamma \quad (0 \leq \gamma \leq s)$$

and for all  $z \in \Theta$ .

For the category  $S$  of multivalent regular function, [2] obtained the higher then point of  $|a_{s+2} - \lambda a_{s+1}^2|$  when  $\lambda$  is non-imaginary. The upper bounded for  $|a_{s+2} - \lambda a_{s+1}^2|$  is developed independent by many various authors. In this present work the author achive a sharp upper bounded for  $|a_{s+2} - \lambda a_{s+1}^2|$  when  $\lambda \geq 1$ ,  $\mathfrak{Z}(z)$  belongs to the category of functions as follows:

**Definition 1:**

Let  $\mathfrak{Z}(z) \in A_s$  and then  $\mathfrak{Z} \in M_s(m, \delta, \eta, \gamma)$  iff there exist  $g \in R_{\tau,s}(m, \delta, \eta, \gamma)$  so that

$$\Re \left( \frac{E_{\eta,s}^{\delta,m+1} \mathfrak{Z}(z)}{E_{\eta,s}^{\delta,m} g(z)} \right) > 0 \quad (5)$$

where  $g(z) = z^s + b_{s+1}z^{s+1} + b_{s+2}z^{s+2} + \dots$

Note that  $M_s(0, 0, 1, \gamma) = K_s(\gamma)$  the category of multivalent close – to – convex.

**2. Main Result**

**Lemma 2** Let  $\xi \in P$ ,  $\xi$  be regular in  $\Theta$  and follow by  $\xi(z) = 1 + \nu_1 z + \nu_2 z^2 + \dots$  and  $\Re(\xi(z)) > 0$  for  $z \in \Theta$ . Then

$$\left| \nu_2 - \frac{\nu_1^2}{2} \right| \leq 2 - \frac{|\nu_1|^2}{2}. \quad (6)$$

**Theorem 3** Let  $\mathfrak{S}(z) \in M_s(m, \delta, \eta, \gamma)$  and observe by way of (1.1). Then for  $\gamma \geq 1$  and  $\lambda \geq 1$  we have that sharp disparity

$$\left| a_{s+2} - \lambda a_{s+1}^2 \right| \leq 4 \left[ \frac{\gamma^2 \left[ \lambda \Gamma_2(s+2)^m - \frac{\gamma}{2} \Gamma_1^2(s+1)^{2m+1} \right]}{s^2 \Gamma_1^2 \Gamma_2(s+1)^{2m+2} (s+2)^m} \right] + \frac{4s\lambda \Gamma_2(s+2)^{m+1} (1+2\gamma) - 2\Gamma_1^2(s+1)^{2m+2} (s+2\gamma)}{s \Gamma_1^2 \Gamma_2(s+1)^{2m+2} (s+2)^{m+1}}.$$

(7)

*Proof.* Let  $\mathfrak{S}(z) \in M_s(m, \delta, \eta, \gamma)$  It observe by way of (1) that

$$E_{\mu,s}^{\delta,m+1} \mathfrak{S}(z) = E_{\mu,s}^{\delta,m} g(z) \varphi(z), \quad (8)$$

for  $z \in \Theta$ , with  $\varphi \in P$  observe by way of  $\varphi(z) = 1 + \varphi_1 z + \varphi_2 z^2 + \varphi_3 z^3 + \dots$  Equating coefficients, we obtain

$$\Gamma_1(s+1)^{m+1} a_{s+1} = \Gamma_1(s+1)^m b_{s+1} + \varphi_1 \quad (9)$$

and

$$\Gamma_2(s+2)^{m+2} a_{s+2} = \Gamma_2(s+2)^m b_{s+2} + \Gamma_1(s+1)^m b_{s+1} \varphi_1 + \varphi_2. \quad (10)$$

Also, it follows form equ (4) that

$$E_{\mu,s}^{\delta,m+1} g(z) = E_{\mu,s}^{\delta,m} g(z) [\mathfrak{S}(z)]^\gamma,$$

where for  $z \in \Theta$ ,  $h \in P$  and

$$h(z) = 1 + h_1 z + h_2 z^2 + h_3 z^3 + \dots$$

Thus equating coefficients, we obtain

$$\Gamma_1 s (s+1)^m b_{s+1} = \gamma h_1, \quad (11)$$

and

$$\Gamma_2(s+1)(s+2)^m b_{s+1} = \gamma \left[ h_2 + \left( \frac{\gamma}{s} + \frac{\gamma-1}{2} \right) h_1 \right], \quad (12)$$

From equ (9), (10), (11) and (12) we have

$$\begin{aligned} a_{s+2} - \lambda a_{s+1}^2 &= \frac{1}{\Gamma_2(s+2)^{m+1}} \left( \varphi_2 - \frac{1}{2} \varphi_1^2 \right) \\ &+ \frac{\gamma}{\Gamma_2(s+1)(s+2)^{m+1}} \left[ h_2 - \frac{1}{2} h_1^2 \right] \\ &+ \left[ \frac{\Gamma_1^2(s+1)^{2m+2} - 2\lambda \Gamma_2(s+2)^{m+1}}{2\Gamma_1^2 \Gamma_2(s+1)^{2m+2} (s+2)^{m+1}} \right] \varphi_1^2 + \left[ \frac{\gamma \Gamma_1^2(s+1)^{2m+2} - 2s\lambda \gamma \Gamma_2(s+2)^{m+1}}{s \Gamma_1^2 \Gamma_2(s+1)^{2m+2} (s+2)^{m+1}} \right] \mathfrak{S}_1 h_1 \quad (13) \\ &+ \left[ \frac{\gamma \left( \frac{\gamma}{s} + \frac{\gamma}{2} \right) \Gamma_1^2 s^2 (s+1)^{2m+1} - \lambda \gamma^2 \Gamma_2(s+2)^{m+1}}{s^2 \Gamma_1^2 \Gamma_2(s+1)^{2m+2} (s+2)^{m+1}} \right] h_1^2 \end{aligned}$$

Assume that  $a_{s+2} - \lambda a_{s+1}^2$  is non-negative. Hence we now estimate  $\Re(a_{s+2} - \lambda a_{s+1}^2)$ , the above and by applying the Lemma 2 and let  $h_1 = 2re^{i\theta}$ ,  $\varphi_1 = 2Re^{i\phi}$ ,  $r, R \in [0, 1]$ ,  $\theta, \phi \in [0, 2\pi]$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 (s+2)^{m+1} \Re[a_{s+2} - \lambda a_{s+1}^2] &= \frac{1}{\Gamma_2} \Re\left(\varphi_2 - \frac{1}{2}\varphi_1^2\right) \\
 &\quad + \frac{\gamma}{\Gamma_2(s+1)} \Re\left[h_2 - \frac{1}{2}h_1^2\right] \\
 + \left[ \frac{\gamma\Gamma_1^2(s+1)^{2m+2} - 2s\lambda\gamma\Gamma_2(s+2)^{m+1}}{s\Gamma_1^2\Gamma_2(s+1)^{2m+2}} \right] \Re(\psi_1 h_1) &+ \left[ \frac{\gamma\left(\frac{\gamma}{s} + \frac{\gamma}{2}\right)\Gamma_1^2 s^2 (s+1)^{2m+1} - \lambda\gamma^2\Gamma_2(s+2)^{m+1}}{s^2\Gamma_1^2\Gamma_2(s+1)^{2m+2}} \right] \Re(h_1^2) \quad (14) \\
 &\leq \frac{2}{\Gamma_2} (1-R^2) \\
 &\quad + \left[ \frac{\Gamma_1^2(s+1)^{2m+2} - 2\lambda\Gamma_2(s+2)^{m+1}}{2\Gamma_1^2\Gamma_2(s+1)^{2m+2}} \right] 4R^2 \cos 2\phi + \frac{2\gamma}{\Gamma_2(s+1)} (1-r^2) \\
 &\quad + \left[ \frac{\gamma\Gamma_1^2(s+1)^{2m+2} - 2s\lambda\gamma\Gamma_2(s+2)^{m+1}}{s\Gamma_1^2\Gamma_2(s+1)^{2m+2}} \right] \\
 &\quad 4rR \cos(\theta + \phi) \\
 &\quad + \left[ \frac{\gamma\left(\frac{\gamma}{s} + \frac{\gamma}{2}\right)\Gamma_1^2 s^2 (s+1)^{2m+1} - \lambda\gamma^2\Gamma_2(s+2)^{m+1}}{s^2\Gamma_1^2\Gamma_2(s+1)^{2m+2}} \right] \\
 &\quad 4r^2 \cos 2\theta \\
 &\leq \left[ \frac{4\lambda\Gamma_2(s+2)^{m+1} - 4\Gamma_1^2(s+1)^{2m+2}}{\Gamma_1^2\Gamma_2(s+1)^{2m+2}} \right] R^2 + \left[ \frac{4\gamma\left[2s\lambda\Gamma_2(s+2)^{m+1} - \Gamma_1^2(s+1)^{2m+2}\right]}{s\Gamma_1^2\Gamma_2(s+1)^{2m+2}} \right] rR \\
 &\quad + \gamma \left[ \frac{4\gamma\left[\lambda\Gamma_2(s+2)^{m+1} - \left(\frac{1}{s} + \frac{1}{2}\right)\Gamma_1^2 s^2 (s+1)^{2m+1}\right]}{s^2\Gamma_1^2\Gamma_2(s+1)^{2m+2}} - \frac{2}{\Gamma_2(s+1)} \right] r^2 + \frac{2}{\Gamma_2(s+1)} (\gamma + s + 1) \quad (15) \\
 &= \Psi(r, R).
 \end{aligned}$$

Derivative partially above when  $(\delta, \gamma, \lambda) \geq 1$  and  $\eta \geq 0$ , we study that  $\Psi_{rr} \Psi_{RR} - (\Psi_{rR})^2 < 0$  therefore, the most of  $\Psi(r, R)$  occurs on the limitations, hence the favored inequality follows by observing that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Psi(r, R) &\leq \Psi(1, 1) \\
 &= 4 \left[ \frac{\gamma^2(s+2) \left[ \lambda\Gamma_2(s+2)^m - \frac{s}{2}\Gamma_1^2(s+1)^{2m+1} \right]}{s^2\Gamma_1^2\Gamma_2(s+1)^{2m+2}} \right] + \frac{4s\lambda\Gamma_2(s+2)^{m+1}(1+2\gamma) - 2\Gamma_1^2(s+1)^{2m+2}(s+2\gamma)}{s\Gamma_1^2\Gamma_2(s+1)^{2m+2}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

If (7) is attained when  $h_1 = \varphi_1 = 2i$  and  $h_2 = \varphi_2 = -2$

Choosing  $m = \delta = 0$  and  $\eta = 1$  we get,

**Corollary 4** Let  $\Im(z) \in L(\gamma)$  and observe by way of (1). Then for  $(\gamma, \lambda) \geq 1$  we have got the sharp disparity

$$|a_{s+2} - \lambda a_{s+1}^2| \leq \frac{2\gamma^2 [2\lambda - s(s+1)]}{s^2 (s+1)^2} + \frac{4s\lambda(s+2)(2\gamma+1) - 2(s+1)^2(2\gamma+s)}{s(s+1)^2(s+2)}. \quad (16)$$

Letting  $m = \eta = 1$  and  $\delta = 0$  we get,

**Corollary 5** Let  $\mathfrak{S}(z) \in L(1, 0, 1, \gamma)$  and observe by way of (1). Then for  $(\gamma, \lambda) \geq 1$  we have got the sharp disparity

$$|a_{s+2} - \lambda a_{s+1}^2| \leq \frac{1}{s^2 (s+1)^4 (s+2)^2} \left[ \frac{2(s+2)\gamma^2 [2(s+2)\lambda - s(s+1)^2]}{+ 4s^2\lambda(s+2)^2(1+2\gamma) - 2s(s+1)^4(s+2\gamma)} \right]. \quad (17)$$

Chooosen  $s = 1$  we get following

**Corollary 6**<sup>[1]</sup> Let  $\mathfrak{S}(z) \in L(m, \delta, \eta, \gamma)$  and observe by way of (1). Then for  $\gamma \geq 1$  and  $\lambda \geq 1$  we have got the sharp disparity

$$|a_3 - \lambda a_2^2| \leq \frac{\gamma^2 [3^m \lambda \eta^2 (\delta + 2) - 2^{2m} \eta (\eta + 1) (\delta + 1)]}{2^{2m} 3^m (\delta + 2) (\delta + 1)^2} + \frac{3^{m+1} \lambda^2 (\delta + 2) - 2^{2m+1} \eta (\eta + 1) (\delta + 1) (2\gamma + 1)}{2^{2m} 3^{m+1} (\delta + 2) (\delta + 1)^2}. \quad (18)$$

**Corollary 7**<sup>[1]</sup> Let  $\mathfrak{S}(z) \in K(\gamma)$  and observe by way of (1). Then for  $(\gamma, \lambda) \geq 1$  we have got the sharp disparity

$$|a_3 - \lambda a_2^2| \leq \gamma^2 (\lambda - 1) + \frac{(2\gamma + 1)(3\lambda - 2)}{3} \quad (19)$$

**Corollary 8**<sup>[1]</sup> Let  $\mathfrak{S}(z) \in L(1, 0, 1, \gamma)$  and observe by way of (1). Then for  $\gamma \geq 1$  and  $\lambda \geq 1$  we have got the sharp disparity

$$|a_3 - \lambda a_2^2| \leq \frac{1}{36} [3\gamma^2 (3\lambda - 4) + (9\lambda - 8)(2\gamma + 1)]. \quad (20)$$

## REFERENCES

1. Al-Hawary, T., Frasin, B. A. and Darus, M., 2016. Coefficient Inequality for Certain Classes of Analytic Functions, Miskolc Mathematical Notes, 17(1), pp. 29-34.
2. Duren, P.L., 1983. Univalent Functions, Springer, New York.
3. Fekete, M., and Szegö, G., 1933. Eine Bemerkung über ungrade schlicht Funktionen, J. London Math. Soc., 8, pp. 85-89.
4. Goel, R., and Mehrotra, B., 1991. A coefficient inequality for certain classes of analytic functions, Tamkang J. Math., 22(2), pp. 153-163.
5. Jahangiri, M., 1995. A coefficient inequality for a class of close-to-convex functions, Math. Japon., 41(3), pp. 557-559.
6. Keogh, F., and Merkes, E., 1969. A coefficient inequality for certain classes of analytic functions, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 20, pp. 8-12.
7. London, R., 1993. Fekete-Szegö inequalities for close-to-convex functions, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 117, pp. 947-950.
8. Nasr, M., and Abdel-Gawad, H., 1991. On the Fekete-Szegö problem for close-to-convex functions of order p, New Trends in Geometric Functions Theory and Applications (Madaras 1990), World Sci. Publ. Co., River Edge, N.J., pp. 66-74.
9. Pommerenke, C., 1975. Univalent functions, 1st ed. Göttingen: Vandenhoeck and Ruprecht.
10. Robertson, M.S., 1953. Multivalently starlike function, Duke Math. J. 20, pp. 539-550.