

## Original research article

**The Study of clinical and pathological factors of gastric cancer****Dr. Rajeev Ranjan Kumar<sup>1</sup>, Dr. Seema Kumari<sup>2</sup>, Dr. Binay Kumar<sup>2</sup>****<sup>1</sup>PG, Department of General Surgery, Rajendra Institute of Medical Sciences, Ranchi, Jharkhand, India.****<sup>2</sup>PG, Department of Pathology, Patna Medical College and Hospital, Patna, Bihar, India.****<sup>2</sup>Assistant Professor, Department of General Surgery, Rajendra Institute of Medical Sciences, Ranchi, Jharkhand, India.****Corresponding Author: Dr. Seema Kumari****Email id:seema.rekha26@gmail.com****Abstract****Aim:** to study clinical and pathological factors of gastric cancer in Ranchi region, India.**Material and methods:** This study was carried out in the Department of General Surgery, Rajendra Institute of Medical Sciences, Ranchi, Jharkhand, India, for 3 years (1 July 2012, 30 June 2015) Total 650 patients were included in this study.**Results:** Of these 650 patients, 460 (70.77%) male and 190 (29.23%) female, 395 (60.77%) underwent distal gastrectomy, 30 (4.62%) proximal gastrectomy via abdomen and 157 (24.15%) via thorax, and 68 (10.46%) underwent total gastrectomy. Distal and total gastrectomy had more numbers of clearances of lymph nodes than the other operational approaches. The postoperative complications occurred in 55 patients 55/600, 8.46%, including gastric retention in 14(14/55, 25.45%), anastomotic leakage in 10(10/55,18.18%), infection of incision in 9(9/55, 16.36%), disruption of wound in 5(5/55, 9.09%), and thoracic cavity effusion in 5(5/55,9.09%). The complication was most common in proximal gastrectomy via abdomen (12/55,21.81% patients). The overall mortality was 0.77% (5/650). The diameter of the neoplasm was positively correlated with the depth of infiltration and lymphatic metastasis rate while hemoglobin was the opposite. 95(14.61%) of 650 were early gastric carcinoma (EGC) with metastasis of lymph nodes in 14 patients (14/95, 14.74%). The frequency of positive lymph nodes in these patients was 4%-5% less than in advanced gastric cancer. In linear regression analysis, age and diameter of the tumor were negatively correlated with the preoperative hemoglobin ( $P < 0.001$ ).**Conclusion:** This retrospective study has shown that clinico-pathological characters in gastric cancer varied with sex, location, and diameter of the tumor.**Keywords:** pathology, gastric cancer, gastrectomy**Introduction**

Despite a worldwide decline in incidence and mortality in the last 60 years, gastric cancer remains the fourth most common type of cancer and the second most frequent cause of cancer mortality. Gastric cancer continues to be a major health concern due to the slow decrease in incidence in Asia and the high mortality from diagnosed gastric carcinomas in the West, even though advanced diagnostic and operative techniques are widely applied in clinical practice.<sup>1,2</sup> Increased understanding of the proliferative and apoptotic changes in gastric cancer, particularly the identification of novel biomarkers for cancer diagnosis and targets for treatment, may result in the improvement of diagnosis, treatment and prevention. According to previous reports, ~0.7 million people died because of gastric cancer each year,<sup>3</sup> and about

70% of the gastric cancer cases had high fatality, significantly higher than other cancers such as the liver and breast cancers.<sup>4</sup> However, the incidence and mortality of gastric carcinoma vary geographically; they were dramatically different between Western and Eastern countries.<sup>3</sup>

The epidemiological and clinicopathological characteristics of gastric cancer still largely remain uncertain, although some risk factors have been identified in the study. It has been reported that the survival rates were lower among smokers, alcohol drinkers, obesity and people who have the symptom of esophageal acid reflux and consume pickled, salty and smoked food.<sup>5-6</sup> Studies also suggested that the incidence rate of gastric cancer was highly correlated with age, especially among patients aged between 50 and 70 years old.<sup>7-8</sup> It has been reported that gastric carcinoma is one of the heaviest burdens of cancer-related cost, the absolute numbers of gastric cancer cases and the prognosis remain big issues in the health programmes.<sup>9</sup>

The current most popular therapy for gastric cancer is surgery combined with chemotherapy. Surgery is the most preferred treatment for gastric carcinoma, but the survival rate of patients undergoing surgery remains very low. Previous studies have revealed that the average survival time of patients with advanced gastric cancer is <12 months.<sup>10,11</sup> Therefore, how to timely assess the condition, judge the prognosis risk after therapy and develop a reasonable postoperative care programme becomes a vital part of gastric cancer treatment.<sup>12,13</sup> Many clinicopathological factors, including clinical stage, tumour size, infiltration depth, Lauren classification and lymph node metastasis rate, might jointly influence the prognosis in patients with gastric carcinoma.<sup>14,15</sup> It is important but challenging to identify the most significant and independent factors associated with prognosis since many factors are highly correlated. To have a systematic comprehension of gastric carcinoma and to identify independent risk factors on gastric cancer patients, we conducted the current study.

### Material and methods

This study was carried out in the Department of General Surgery, Rajendra Institute of Medical Sciences, Ranchi, Jharkhand, India, for 3 years (1 July 2012, 30 June 2015) after taking the approval of the protocol review committee and institutional ethics committee.

### Methodology

After taking informed consent detailed history was taken from the patient or the relatives if the patient was not in good condition. Total 650 patients were included in this study. We analyzed the following clinicopathologic and surgical factors: age, sex, hemoglobin, operation manners, operation time, and amount of transfusion during operation, postoperative hospital stay, postoperative complications, positive proximal margin, location of tumor, tumor size, differentiation, depth of tumor invasion, lymph nodes and lymphatic metastasis rate.

Frequency of positive lymph nodes = numbers of metastatic lymph nodes / all lymph nodes excised × 100%.

### Results

Of these 650 patients, 460 (70.77%) male and 190 (29.23%) female, 395 (60.77%) underwent distal gastrectomy, 30 (4.62%) proximal gastrectomy via abdomen and 157 (24.15%) via thorax, and 68 (10.46%) underwent total gastrectomy. Distal and total gastrectomy had more numbers of clearances of lymph nodes than the other operational approaches. The postoperative complications occurred in 55 patients 55/600, 8.46%, including gastric retention in 14 (14/55, 25.45%), anastomotic leakage in 10 (10/55, 18.18%), infection of incision in 9 (9/55, 16.36%), disruption of wound in 5 (5/55, 9.09%), and thoracic cavity

effusion in 5(5/55,9.09%). The complication was most common in proximal gastrectomy via abdomen (12/55,21.81% patients) (Table1). The overall mortality was 0.77%(5/650).

The diameter of the neoplasm was positively correlated with the depth of infiltration and lymphatic metastasis rate while hemoglobin was the opposite. 95(14.61%) of 650 were early gastric carcinoma (EGC) with metastasis of lymph nodes in 14patients (14/95, 14.74%). The frequency of positive lymph nodes in these patients was 4%-5% less than in advanced gastric cancer (Table 2). In linear regression analysis, age and diameter of the tumor were negatively correlated with the preoperative hemoglobin ( $P<0.001$ ). The diameter of the tumor was positively correlated with age and the frequency of positive lymph nodes ( $P<0.01$ ).

The patients with tumor of bad differentiation were younger than the other groups, who had large tumor diameter and higher frequency of positive lymph nodes. The degree of differentiation was not related with the depth of tumor invasion on the gastric wall (Table 3). The tumor diameter on the corpus and fundus was larger than the others, which had higher frequency of positive lymph nodes (Table 4). The proximal gastric cancer, bad differentiation and frequency  $>35\%$  positive lymph nodes were more common in female than in male (Table5).

Multiple analysis demonstrated that sex, location of tumor, tumor diameter, depth of tumor invasion and differentiation play an important role in the metastasis of lymph nodes (Table 6).

**Table 1: Comparison of operation manner with numbers of lymph nodes, time for operation, amount of blood transfusion during operation, hospitalization days and complications ( $\bar{x} \pm s_x$ )**

Manners of operation	N(650)	Numbers lymph nodes	Time for operation (hours)	Amount of blood transfusion (mL)	Hospitalization stays (days)	Complication (%)
Distal gastrectomy	395	10.7 $\pm$ 0.3*	3.4 $\pm$ 0.03	422.3 $\pm$ 15.2*	16.1 $\pm$ 0.79	8.3
Proximal gastrectomy via abdomen	30	8.6 $\pm$ 0.42	4.12 $\pm$ 0.1*	626.4 $\pm$ 41.7*	18.1 $\pm$ 1.7	15*
Proximal gastrectomy via thorax	157	8.3 $\pm$ 0.7	3.14 $\pm$ 0.01	764.1 $\pm$ 18.7	15.2 $\pm$ 0.8	1.5
Total gastrectomy	68	12.8 $\pm$ 0.3*	4.4 $\pm$ 0.2*	753.2 $\pm$ 45.9	18.9 $\pm$ 1.5	11.7
<i>P-value</i>		$<0.0001$	$<0.0001$	$<0.0001$	$>0.05$	$<0.001$

\*Compared with other operative approaches

**Table 2: Comparison of depth of infiltration with age, diameter, hemoglobin, and lymphatic metastasis rate ( $\bar{x} \pm s_x$ )**

Depth of invasion	N (650)	Age (yrs)	Diameter (cm)	Hemoglobin (g/L)	Lymphatic metastasis rate (%)
pT1(m)	68	51.7 $\pm$ 1.1	2.42 $\pm$ 0.3	12.21 $\pm$ 0.4	3.14 $\pm$ 0.6
pT1(ms)	37	55.9 $\pm$ 1.4*	2.61 $\pm$ 0.5	11.65 $\pm$ 0.5*	4.24 $\pm$ 1.1
pT2	40	56.7 $\pm$ 1.3*	2.87 $\pm$ 0.4	11.55 $\pm$ 0.2*	8.78 $\pm$ 1.4*
pT3	45	57.4 $\pm$ 1.2*	4.13 $\pm$ 0.4*	11.74 $\pm$ 0.2*	18.21 $\pm$ 2.6*

pT4	460	58.1± 0.2*	5.21 ± 0.2*	11.43 ± 0.2*	34.32 ± 1.3*
<i>P-value</i>		<0.003	<0.0001	<0.001	<0.0001

Compared with pT1 (m).

**Table 3: Comparison of differentiation with age, diameter, hemoglobin and lymphatic metastasis rate ( $\bar{x} \pm s_x$ )**

Differentiation	N (650)	Age (yrs)	Diameter (cm)	Hemoglobin (g/L)	Lymphatic metastasis rate (%)
I	54	61.2± 1.2	3.32± 0.3	10.8 ± 0.5	10.2 ± 3.1*
II	96	59.1 ± 0.6	3.92 ± 0.4	11.5 ± 0.2	24.7 ± 2.1
III	1160	59.8 ± 0.4	4.19 ± 0.1	11.2 ± 0.4	20.8 ± 1.6
IV	335	53.7 ± 0.2*	4.77 ± 0.1*	11.7 ± 0.1*	30.7 ± 1.1*
<i>P-value</i>		< 0.0001	= 0.003	= 0.01	< 0.0001

\*Compared with other groups

**Table 4: Comparison of tumor site with age, diameter, hemoglobin and positive lymph node rate ( $\bar{x} \pm s_x$ )**

Location of tumor	N (650)	Age (yrs)	Diameter (cm)	Hemoglobin (g / L)	Lymphatic metastasis rate (%)
Pylorus	23	53.7± 2.7	3.8 ± 0.5	12.4 ± 0.9	13.55± 3.1
Antrum	212	56.8 ± 0.3*	4.7 ± 0.3	12.2 ± 0.4	25.7 ± 1.3
Incisura	191	55.8 ± 0.3	3.2± 0.2	12.3± 0.1	20.8 ± 1.6
Corpus	45	56.9 ± 1.3	5.8 ± 0.4*	11.5 ± 0.2	35.7 ± 3.9*
Fundus	179	59.3 ± 0.4*	5.2 ± 0.3*	12.7 ± 0.1	33.5 ± 1.6*
<i>P</i>		< 0.0001	< 0.0001	> 0.005	< 0.001

\*Compared with other locations.

**Table 5: Comparison of sex with tumor location, differentiation, depth of invasion and positive lymph node rate ( $\bar{x} \pm s_x$ )**

Gender	Location (%)			Differentiation (%)			Depth of invasion (%)			Frequency of metastatic lymph node (%)	
	Proximal	Middle	Distal	Well	Middle	bad	pT1	pT2	pT3	<35	>35
Male	39	25	47	23	28	59	15	9	83	69	38
Female	56	12	43	15	20	73	15	10	82	62	45
		<0.001	<0.001					>0.05	=0.01	<0.001	

**Table 6: Multi-factors analysis of lymphatic metastasis in gastric patients**

Related factors	Regression coefficient	Standard error	Standard regression coefficient	<i>P-value</i>
Constant	-23.4	7.3		0.001
Age	-0.007131	0.075	-0.22	0.442
Sex	-6.542	2.041	-0.081	0.001
Tumor location	2.315	0.712	0.083	0.002
Diameter of tumor	2.345	0.488	0.148	0.0001

Depth of invasion	7.121	0.875	0.284	0.0001
Differentiation	3.745	1.152	0.091	0.001

## Discussion

Gastric cancer remains one of most common causes of death. Although the etiology of gastric cancer is still unclear, but studies have shown that many factors are associated with the development, metastasis of gastric cancer, and recurrence after operation.<sup>16-18</sup> Recent studies suggest that infection with *Helicobacter pylori* may play an important role in the development of gastric cancer.<sup>19,20</sup> It has been proposed that *Helicobacter pylori* infection may produce acute and chronic gastritis, intestinal metaplasia, dysplasia, and eventually resulting in gastric cancer. Some abnormal expression.<sup>21,22</sup> in gene is involved in carcinogenesis of gastric cancer such as matrix metalloproteinase gene, p53 gene and dinucleotide repeat sequence gene. Abnormal contents of some trace elements may also be one of the risk factors in gastric cancer.<sup>23,24</sup> Early gastric cancer (EGC) has been considered to be a form of gastric malignancy with a relatively good long-term prognosis compared to that of advanced gastric cancer because of rare metastasis in lymph nodes.<sup>25,26</sup> In Japan, EGC is diagnosed in 30%-50%, due to partly at least the extensive use of endoscopy and mass screening programs.<sup>27,28</sup> In this study, the proportion of EGC diagnosed in all patients is 95 (14.61%) similar to the proportion in the United States and Europe.<sup>29,30</sup> In recent years, endoscopic treatment has become increasingly popular as an alternative to surgical treatment of patients with EGA in hope of offering superior quality of life (QOL).<sup>31</sup> However, because of presence of metastasis in 10%-20% and skip metastasis of lymph nodes, whether the rationale for a standard resection with systematic lymphadenectomy is necessary is still a controversial issue.<sup>32</sup> Different operative approaches were carried out according to the different locations of the tumor. In our study, the number of lymph nodes excised was the largest in total gastrectomy, followed by distal gastrectomy which may be related to the resection of all or most parts of omentum. The number of lymph nodes excised in proximal gastrectomy via a trans abdomen was similar to via transthorax. There was shorter time for operation and lower frequency of complication in proximal gastrectomy via transthorax while lower blood transfusion in proximal gastrectomy via trans abdomen. The postoperative hospitalization stay and the positive resection margin were same between them. The complications varied among different operations: gastric retention was common in distal gastrectomy while thorax effusion and infection of lung were mainly found in total gastrectomy.

Although the overall incidence of gastric cancer has remained stable in the West, there is well- documented shift from distal to proximal lesion. The clinical relevance of this shift is that the overall prognosis for patients with proximal gastric cancer is worse than for those with distal tumor. This difference in survival may be attributed to a variety of factors, ranging from an increased biologic aggressiveness of proximal tumors to an advanced stage of presentation.<sup>33,34</sup> In study, a higher frequency of positive lymph nodes was found in gastric cancer located on corpus and the fundus which may be associated with the larger diameter of the tumor in corpus and the fundus. In tumors with larger diameters there were worse differentiation, deeper infiltration, and higher frequency of positive lymph nodes. Apparently, the prognosis will be worse in these patients. The present results also show that the more proximal lesions, bad differentiation, and the higher >35% frequency of positive lymph nodes can be found in female than in male. The numbers of metastatic lymph nodes play an important role in the long-term outcome after curative resection.<sup>35,36</sup> Thus it is suggested that extended lymphadenectomy should be performed in advanced gastric cancer.<sup>37</sup> Our multivariate analysis indicated that among six clinicopathologic variables (age, sex, location

of tumor, tumor diameter, depth of invasion and differentiation), the depth of invasion was the most important factor influencing metastasis of lymph node.

### Conclusion

This study has shown that clinicopathological characters in gastric cancer varied with sex, location, and diameter of the tumor. The depth of invasion plays a very important role in metastasis of lymph node. The prognosis in female with gastric cancer may be worse than in man. Because metastasis of lymph nodes may occur even in patients with EGC, radical gastrectomy wait lymphadenectomy may be necessary in all stages of gastric cancer.

### Reference

1. Rivera F, Vega-Villegas ME and López-Brea MF: Chemotherapy of advanced gastric cancer. *Cancer Treat Rev* 33: 315-324, 2007.
2. Kelley JR and Duggan JM: Gastric cancer epidemiology and risk factors. *J ClinEpidemiol* 56: 1-9, 2003
3. Kamangar F, Dores GM, Anderson WF. Patterns of cancer incidence, mortality, and prevalence across five continents: defining priorities to reduce cancer disparities in different geographic regions of the world. *JCO* 2006;24:2137–50.
4. Guggenheim DE, Shah MA. Gastric cancer epidemiology and risk factors. *J SurgOncol* 2013;107:230–6
5. Lindblad M, Rodríguez LAG, Lagergren J. Body mass, tobacco and alcohol and risk of esophageal, gastric cardia, and gastric non-cardia adenocarcinoma among men and women in a nested case-control study. *Cancer Causes Control* 2005;16:285–94.
6. Strumylaite L, Zickute J, Dudzevicius J, et al. Salt-preserved foods and risk of gastric cancer. *Medicina* 2006;42:164–70.
7. Karimi P, Islami F, Anandasabapathy S, et al. Gastric cancer: descriptive epidemiology, risk factors, screening, and prevention. *Cancer Epidemiology Biomarkers & Prevention* 2014;23:700–13.
8. HowladerNJhscgc. Seer cancer statistics review, 1975-2008, 2011.
9. Bray F, Ferlay J, Soerjomataram I, et al. GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries. *CA Cancer J Clin* 2018;2018.
10. Magalhães H, Fontes-Sousa M, Machado M. Immunotherapy in advanced gastric cancer: an overview of the emerging strategies. *Canadian Journal of Gastroenterology and Hepatology* 2018;2018:1–8.
11. Ajani JA. Is the addition of cisplatin to S-1 better than S-1 alone for patients with advanced gastroesophageal cancer? *Nat ClinPractOncol* 2008;5:508–9.
12. Penson DF. Re: variation in surgical-readmission rates and quality of hospital care. *J Urol* 2014;191:1363–4.
13. Lee K-G, Lee H-J, Yang J-Y, et al. Risk factors associated with complication following gastrectomy for gastric cancer: retrospective analysis of prospectively collected data based on the Clavien-Dindo system. *J GastrointestSurg* 2014;18:1269–77.
14. Qiu M-zhen, Cai M-yan, Zhang D-sheng, et al. Clinicopathological characteristics and prognostic analysis of Lauren classification in gastric adenocarcinoma in China. *J Transl Med* 2013;11:58.
15. Smith DD, Schwarz RR, Schwarz RE. Impact of total lymph node count on staging and survival after gastrectomy for gastric cancer: data from a large US-population database. *JCO* 2005;23:7114–24.
16. Sun GY,LiuWW,Zhou ZQ, Fang DC, Men RP, Luo YH. Free radicals in development of experimental gastric carcinoma and precancerous lesions induced by Nmethyl-N’nitro-Nnitrosoguanidine in rats. *HuarenXiaohuaZazhi*, 1998;6:219-221

17. Liu HF, Liu WW, Fang DC. Study of the relationship between apoptosis and proliferation in gastric carcinoma and its precancerous lesion. *ShijieHuarenXiaohuaZazhi*, 1999;7:649-651
18. Xiong MM, Jiang JR, Liang WL, Meng XL, Zhang CL, Peng C. A study on vasoactive intestinal peptide in serum, carcinomatous tissue and its surrounding mucosa in patients with gastric cancer. *HuarenXiaohuaZazhi*, 1998;6:121-122
19. He XX, Wang JL, Wu JL, Yuan SY, Ai L. Telomerase expression, Hp infection and gastric mucosal carcinogenesis. *ShijieHuarenXiaohuaZazhi*, 2000;8:505-508
20. Zhang L, Jiang J, Pan KF, Liu WD, Ma JL, Zhou T, Perez-Perez GI, Blaser MJ, Chang YS, You WC. Infection of H.pylori with cagA+ strain in a high-risk area of gastric cancer. *HuarenXiaohuaZazhi*, 1998;6:40-41
21. Li N, Xu CP, Song P, Fang DC, Yang SM, Meng RP. Overpression of matrix metalloproteinases gene in human gastric carcinoma. *HuarenXiaohuaZazhi*, 1998;6:118-120
22. Zhang QX, Dou YL, Shi XY, Ding Y. Expression of somatostatin mRNA in various differentiated types of gastric carcinoma. *World J Gastroenterol*, 1998;4:48-51
23. Lu HD, Wang ZQ, Pan YR, Zhou TS, Xu XZ, Ke TW. Comparison of serum Zn, Cu and Se contents between healthy people and patients in high, middle and low incidence areas of gastric cancer of Fujian Province. *World J Gastroenterol*, 1999;5:84-86
24. Cao GH, Yan SM, Yuan ZK, Wu L, Liu YF. A study of the relationship between trace element Mo and gastric cancer. *World J Gastroenterol*, 1998;4:55-56
25. Yu W, Whang I, Suh I, Averbach A, Chang D, Sugarbaker PH. Prospective randomized trial of early postoperative intraperitoneal chemotherapy as an adjuvant to resectable gastric cancer. *Ann Surg*, 1998;228:347-354
26. Isozaki H, Okajima K, Momura E, Ichinona T, Fujii K, Izumi N, Takeda Y. Postoperative evaluation of pylorus preserving gastrectomy for early gastric cancer. *Br J Surg*, 1996;83:266-269
27. Endo M, Habu H. Clinical studies of early gastric cancer. *Hepato Gastroenterology*, 1990;37:408-410
28. Sano T, Sasako M, Kinoshita T, Maruyama K. Recurrence of early gastric cancer: follow up of 1475 patients and review of the Japanese literature. *Cancer*, 1993;72:3174-3178
29. Hioki K, Nakane Y, Yamamoto M. Surgical strategy for early gastric cancer. *Br J Surg*, 1990;77:1330-1334
30. Mendes de Almeida JC, Bettencourt A, Costa CS, Mendes de Almeida JM. Curative surgery for gastric cancer: study of 166 consecutive patients. *World J Surg*, 1994;18:889-895
31. Takeshita K, Tani M, Inoue H, Saeki I, Hayashi S, Honda T, Kando F, Saito N, Endo M. Endoscopic treatment of early oesophageal or gastric cancer. *Gut*, 1997;40:123-127
32. Sowa M, Kato Y, Nishimura M, Kubo T, Maekawa H, Umeyama K. Surgical approach to early gastric cancer with lymph node metastasis. *World J Surg*, 1989;13:630-636
33. Blot WJ, Devesa SS, Kneller RW, Fraumeni JF. Rising incidence of adenocarcinoma of the esophagus and gastric cardia. *JAMA*, 1991; 265:1287-1289
34. Salvon-Harman JC, Cady B, Nikulasson S, Khettry U, Stone MD, Lavin P. Shifting proportions of gastric adenocarcinomas. *Arch Surg*, 1994;129:381-389
35. Yoo CH, Noh SH, Shin DW, Choi SH, Min JS. Recurrence following curative resection for gastric carcinoma. *Br J Surg*, 2000;87: 236-242
36. Tong ZM. Relationship between lymph node metastasis and postoperative survival in gastric cancer. *HuarenXiaohuaZazhi*, 1998; 6:224-226

37. Siewert JR, Kestlmeier R, Busch R, Bottcher K, Roder JD, Muller J, Fellbaum C, Hfler H. Benefits of D2 lymph node dissection for patients with gastric cancer and pN0 and pN1 lymph node metastases. *Br J Surg*, 1996;83:1144-47

Received: 10-08-2020 || Revised: 06-09-2020 || Accepted: 26-09-2020