

Some Special Properties Of Ideals And Congruences In Lattice Ordered Commutative Loops

V.B.V.N.Prasad¹, Mudda Ramesh², Rama Devi Burri³, B. Mahaboob⁴,
T. Rama Rao⁵, G. Balaji Prakash⁶

^{1, 4, 6}Koneru Lakshmaiah Education Foundation, Vaddeswaram,
Guntur (District), A.P., India.

³Lakireddy Bali Reddy College of Engineering, Mylavaram, A.P., India.

²S.S & N College, Narasaraopet, Guntur
(District), A.P., India.

⁵Vikas College of Engineering and Technology,
Vijayawada, AP, India.

Abstract:

This manuscript illustrates the significance of a normal subloop, l-morphism, l-ideal of an l-loop also we have succeeded in determining a corresponding congruence relation on the l-loop and establishing a one-to-one correspondence between the l-ideals and congruence relations of an l-loop A.

Key words: Loops, partial order, lattices, ordered abelian groups, Ideals-congruence relations

A.M.S. Mathematics subject classification numbers (2020): 20N05, 06A06, 06Bxx, 06F20, 06B10

1. INTRODUCTION:

In 1967, G.Birkhoff in Lattice Theory, various properties of lattice ordered groups were established. In 1970, T.Evans described about lattice ordered loops and quasigroups. In 1990, Hala made a description on quasigroups and loops [1-3]. In view of this a lot of interest has been shown different authors develop these concepts in different algebraic systems. In 2014, V. B. V. N. Prasad and J. VenkateswaraRao, were gave Categorization of Normal Sub Loop and Ideal of Loops. In 2019, B.Sailaja, V.B.V.N.Prasad, developed exploring the axiom of excluded middle and axiom of contradiction in fuzzy sets. In 2020, R.Sunil Kumar and V.B.V.N.Prasad were giving some special characteristics of Atoms in Lattice ordered loops and in 2020, V.B.V.N.Prasad, T.Rama Rao and some authors were gave Some Basic Principles on Posets, Hasse diagrams and lattices. In 2020, V. B. V. N. Prasad1, K. Prasad, Mudda Ramesh, Rama Devi Burri and T. Rama Rao were established Some Special Characteristics of Lattice Ordered Commutative Loops. In 2020, Praveen VardhanKuppili, V.B.V.N. Prasad, Application of Translates of Vague Set on Suspected Cases of Corona Virus Disease-2019 (COVID-19), [4-9].

2. L-IDEALS:

Definition 2.1: Let A be an l-loop. For $a, b \in A$, we define $a*b = (a-b) \vee (b-a) = a \vee b - a \wedge b$.

Lemma 2.1: Let A be a l-loop. Then $\forall a, b \in A$

- 1 $a*b \geq 0$ with equality if and only if $a=b$.
- 2 $a*b = b*a$.
3. $(a \vee b) * (a \wedge b) = a*b$.

Proof: The proof follows easily.

Definition 2.2: A sub loop R of a loop A is called a normal sub loop of A [2], if and only if
 $(R+x) + y = R+(x+y), \forall x, y \in A.$

It is not hard to see that a normal sub loop R of a loop A partitions it.

Definition 2.3: A nonempty subset R of an l-loop A is called a l-ideal if and only if R is a normal sub loop of A in which $a \in R, b \in A, b * 0 \leq a * 0 \implies b \in R.$

Theorem 2.1: A nonempty subset R of a l-loop A is an l-ideal if and only if R is a convex normal l-sub loop of $A.$

Proof: Let R be an l-ideal and $a \leq x \leq b, a, b \in R.$
 Then $a \wedge b \leq x \leq a \vee b.$

Now $x * 0 \leq (a \vee b) \vee (0 - a \wedge b)$
 $= (a \vee b) \vee (0 - a) \vee (0 - b) = (a * 0) \vee (b * 0) \leq a * 0 + b * 0.$
 $\implies x \in R.$

Hence R is convex.

Also $(a \vee b) * 0 = (a \vee b) \vee (0 - a \vee b) \leq a * 0 \vee b * 0$
 $\leq a * 0 + b * 0$

$\implies a \vee b \in R,$

and $(a \wedge b) * 0 = (a \wedge b) \vee [0 - (a \wedge b)] \leq (a * 0) \vee (b * 0) \leq (a * 0) + (b * 0)$
 $\implies a \wedge b \in R.$

Thus, R is a convex sublattice of $A.$

Conversely let R be a convex normal l-subloop of $A.$

Let $a \in R, b * 0 \leq a * 0.$

Now $b \leq b * 0 \leq a * 0$

$\implies 0 - b \geq 0 - (a * 0)$ so that $0 - b \in R$ by conversity in R and hence $b = 0 - (0 - b) \in R.$

This completes the proof.

Definition 2.4: An l-morphism of an l-loop A into a l-loop B is a mapping $f: A \rightarrow B$ such that
 $\forall a, b \in A,$

1. $f(a+b) = f(a) + f(b),$
2. $f(a-b) = f(a) - f(b),$
3. $f(a \vee b) = f(a) \vee f(b),$
4. $f(a \wedge b) = f(a) \wedge f(b).$

Corollary 2.1: If $f: A \rightarrow B$ is an l-morphism of an l-loop A into an l-loop $B,$ then $\forall a, b \in A, f(a * b) = f(a) * f(b).$

Definition 2.5: An equivalence relation θ on an l-loop A is a congruence relation if for $a, b \in A, a \equiv b(\theta) \implies a + x \equiv b + x(\theta), a - x \equiv b - x(\theta), x - a \equiv x - b(\theta), a \vee x \equiv b \vee x(\theta)$ and $a \wedge x \equiv b \wedge x(\theta), \forall x \in A.$

Since an l-loop A is equationally definable, we have the following:

Theorem 2.2: Let θ be a congruence relation on an l-loop $A.$ For any $a\theta, b\theta \in A/\theta,$ define $a\theta + b\theta = (a+b)\theta, a\theta - b\theta = (a-b)\theta$ and $a\theta$ is positive iff $a\theta$ contains a positive element. Then $(A/\theta, +, -, \leq)$ is an l-loop.

The following theorem establishes a one-one correspondence between the l-ideals and the congruence relations of an l-loop.

Theorem 2.3: There is one-to-one correspondence between the l-ideals and congruence relations of an l-loop A.

Proof: Let R be an l-ideal of A. Define

- (a) $a \equiv b(\theta_R)$ iff $a=b$ or $a, b \in R$.

Clearly θ_R is an equivalence relation on A.

Next define a relation θ'_R as follows:

- (b) $a \equiv b(\theta'_R)$ iff \exists elements $t, t', c, s, s' \in A$ such that $a = [tV(c+s)]\wedge t'$ and $b = [tV(c+s')]\wedge t'$ where $s \equiv s'(\theta_R)$.

We know show that θ'_R is reflexive, symmetric and satisfies the substitution property for $+, -, \vee, \wedge$.

1. Since $a = [(a \wedge b) \vee (0+a)] \wedge (a \vee b)$, it follows that $a \equiv a(\theta'_R)$.
2. Clearly θ'_R is symmetric.
3. Let $a \equiv b(\theta'_R)$. Then \exists elements $t, t', c, s, s' \in A$ such that $a = [tV(c+s)]\wedge t'$

and $b = [tV(c+s')]\wedge t'$ where $s \equiv s'(\theta_R)$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Now } x+a &= x+t' \wedge [tV(c+s)] \\ &= (x+t') \wedge [(t+x) \vee \{x+(c+s)\}] \\ &= (x+t') \wedge [(t+x) \vee \{(x+c)+s_1\}] \text{ for some } s_1 \in R. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, $x+b = (x+t') \wedge [(t+x) \vee \{(x+c)+s_1'\}]$ where $s_1' \in R$.

Thus $x+a \equiv x+b(\theta'_R)$.

In our subsequent paper of ideal theory, we have simplified the proof of this theorem. If S is an l-ideal of A then the $a \equiv b(\theta_s) \Leftrightarrow a-b \in S$ gives congruence relation.

Again

$$\begin{aligned} a-x &= t' \wedge [tV(c+s)]-x \\ &= (t'-x) \wedge [(t-x) \vee \{(c+s)-x\}] \\ &= (t'-x) \wedge [(t-x) \vee \{(c-s)+s_2\}] \text{ for some } s_2 \in R. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, $b-x = (t'-x) \wedge [(t-x) \vee \{(c-x)+s_2'\}]$ where $s_2' \in R$.

Thus $a-x \equiv b-x(\theta'_R)$.

Now

$$\begin{aligned} x-a &= x-[t' \vee \{tV(c+s)\}] \\ &= [x-(t' \wedge t)] \wedge [(x-t') \vee \{(x-(c+s))\}] \\ &= [x-(t' \wedge t)] \wedge [(x-t') \vee \{(x-c)+s_3\}] \text{ for some } s_3 \in R \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, $x-b = [x-(t' \wedge t)] \wedge [(x-t') \vee \{(x-c)+s_3'\}]$ where $s_3' \in R$

Thus $x-a \equiv x-b(\theta'_R)$.

Since A is a distributive lattice it is easy to show that $(x \vee a) \equiv x \vee b(\theta'_R)$ and also clearly $x \wedge a \equiv x \wedge b(\theta'_R)$.

Thus θ'_R satisfies substitution property for $+, -, \vee, \wedge$.

Now let θ''_R be the transitive extension of θ'_R .

It is easy to see that $\theta_R \leq \theta'_R \leq \theta''_R$

Hence an l-ideal R in A defines a congruence relation θ''_R in A.

Conversely let θ be a congruence relation on A and R be the set of all $x \equiv (0) \theta$

Clearly $a, b \in R \Rightarrow a+b \in R$.

Let $x \in R, y^*0 \leq x^*0$.

Now $x \equiv 0(\square) \Rightarrow x^*0 \equiv 0(\square) \Rightarrow (y^*0) \vee (x^*0) \equiv 0(\square)$
 $\Rightarrow y^*0 \equiv 0(\square) \Rightarrow y \vee (0-y) \equiv 0(\square) \Rightarrow y \wedge 0 \equiv y \equiv y \vee 0(\square)$
 $\Rightarrow y \vee 0 \equiv (y \wedge 0) \vee 0 = 0(\square) \Rightarrow \square \equiv 0(\square) \Rightarrow y \in R$.

Hence R is an l -ideal.

Now let \square''_{\square} be the congruence relation defined by R .

Then $a \equiv b (\square''_{\square}) \Rightarrow \exists$ a sequence $a = z_0, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n = b$ in A such that $z_i \equiv z_{i+1} (\square'_{\square})$.

Then $z_i = [t \vee (c+s)] \wedge t'$,

$z_{i+1} = [t \vee (c+s')] \wedge t'$ where $s \equiv s' (\square_R)$ that is $s, s' \in R = 0[\square]$.

Since \square is a congruence relation and $s \equiv s' (\square), z_i \equiv z_{i+1} (\square)$ and by transitivity of \square , it follows that $a \equiv b (\square)$ showing $\square''_{\square} \subseteq \square$.

Again $a \equiv b (\square) \Rightarrow a - \square \equiv 0(\square) \Rightarrow a-b \in R$.

$\Rightarrow a-b \equiv 0(\square_R) \Rightarrow a \equiv b (\square_R) \Rightarrow a \equiv b (\square''_{\square}) \Rightarrow \square \leq \square''_{\square}$.

$\square''_{\square} = \square$. This completes the proof

Corollary 2.2: The congruence relation on any l -loop A are the partitions of A into the cosets of its different l -ideals.

Theorem 2.4: The congruence relations on any l -loop A is a complete Algebraic Brouwerian lattice.

Proof: Follows in the same lines as in l -groups[1].

Corollary 2.3: The l -ideals of an l -loop A forms a complete Algebraic Brouwerian lattice.

5. CONCLUSION:

This research work initiates some important aspects in lattice ordered algebraic structures and especially some important properties of l -ideals and congruences in lattice ordered loops were established. Further there is so much scope for the remaining algebraic structures in lattice ordered loops.

6. REFERENCES

- [1] G. Birkhoff, Lattice Theory, 3rd edition, American Mathematical Society, colloquium Publication, pp.160-163, 1967.
- [2] T. Evans, Lattice ordered loops and quasi groups, Journal of Algebra, 16, pp.218-226, 1970.
- [3] Hala O. Pflugfeldeer, Quasigroups and loops, Heldermann Verlag, Sigma series in pure Mathematics, 7, pp.28-59, 1990.
- [4] V. B. V. N. Prasad and J. Venkateswara Rao, Categorization of Normal Sub Loop and Ideal of Loops, ARPN Journal of Engineering and Applied Sciences, VOL. 9, NO. 7, JULY 2014, ISSN 1819-6608, Page no.1076-1079.
- [5] Sailaja B and V.B.V.N.Prasad, (2019), 'Exploring the axiom of excluded middle and axiom of contradiction in fuzzy sets', International Journal of Engineering and Advanced Technology, 9(1), PP.1572-1574.
- [6] R. Sunil Kumar, V.B.V.N.Prasad and B.Rama Devi, 'Some Special Characteristics of Atoms in Lattice ordered loops', Test Engineering & Management, Issue 2, Volume 83, pp.5872-5877, 2020.
- [7] V. B. V.N. Prasad, Dr.T.Ramarao, T S Rao, T.Nageswara Rao and K.Prasad, 'Some Basic Principles on Posets, Hasse Diagrams and Lattices', Test Engineering & Management, Issue 2, Volume 83, pp.10771-10775, 2020.

- [8] V. B. V. N. Prasad, K. Prasad, Mudda Ramesh, Rama Devi Burri and T. Rama Rao, Some Special Characteristics of Lattice Ordered Commutative Loops, *Advances in Mathematics: Scientific Journal*, Volume **9** (2020), Issue no.11, Page no. 9161–9169.
- [9] Praveen VardhanKuppili, V.B.V.N. Prasad, Application of Translates of Vague Sets on Suspected Cases of Corana Virus Disease-2019 (COVID-19), *International Journal of Advanced Research in Engineering and Technology (IJARET)*, Volume 11, Issue 10, October 2020, pp. 1339-1345.