

An Approach for Solving Fuzzy Transportation Problem using Ranking function

P.Sagaya Leeli, S.Jone Jayashree, J.Beny

PG and Research Department of Mathematics
Holy Cross College(Autonomous)
Trchirapalli-2

Abstract:

In this paper we shall study fuzzy transportation problem, and we introduce an approach for solving a wide range of such problem by using a method which apply it for ranking of the fuzzy numbers. Some of the quantities in a fuzzy transportation problem may be fuzzy or crisp quantities In many fuzzy decision problems, the quantities are represented in terms of fuzzy numbers Fuzzy numbers may , triangular or trapezoidal or any LR fuzzy number. Thus,some fuzzy numbers are not directly comparable. First, we transform the fuzzy quantities as the cost, coefficients, supply and demands, in to crisp quantities by using our method and then by using the classical algorithms we solve and obtain the solution of the problem. The new method is a systematic procedure, easy to apply and can be utilized for all types of transportation problem whether maximize or minimize objective function.At the end, this method is illustrated with a numerical example.

Keywords: Optimization, Transportation problem, ranking of fuzzy numbers

1. Introduction:

The theory of fuzzy set introduced by Zadeh in 1965 has achieved successful applications in various fields. The concept of fuzzy mathematical programming was introduced by Tanaka et al in 1947 the frame work of fuzzy decision of Bellman and Zadeh. Transportation models have wide applications in logistics and supply chain for reducing the cost. When the cost coefficients and the supply and demand quantities are known exactly, many algorithms have been developed for solving the transportation problem. But, in the real world, there are many cases that the cost coefficients, and the supply and demand quantities are fuzzy quantities

A fuzzy transportation problem is a transportation problem in which the transportation costs, supply and demand quantities are fuzzy quantities. Most of the existing techniques provide only crisp solutions for the fuzzy transportation problem. Shiang-Tai Liu and Chiang Kao Chanas et al Chanas and Kuchta, proposed a method for solving fuzzy transportation problem. In many fuzzy decision problems, the data are represented in terms of fuzzy numbers. In a fuzzy transportation problem, all parameters are fuzzy numbers. Fuzzy numbers may be triangular or trapezoidal.

2. Preliminaries:

2.1 Definition: A fuzzy set is characterized by a membership function mapping elements of a domain, space, or universe of discourse X to the unit interval $[0,1]$. (i,e) $A = \{(x, \mu_A(x) ; x \in X\}$, Here $\mu_A: X \rightarrow [0,1]$ is a mapping called the degree of membership function of the fuzzy set A and

$\mu_A(x)$ is called the membership value of $x \in X$ in the fuzzy set A . These membership grades are often represented by real numbers ranging from $[0,1]$.

2.2 Definition : A fuzzy set A of the universe of discourse X is called a *normal* fuzzy set implying that there exist at least one $x \in X$ such that $\mu_A(x) = 1$.

2.3 Definition: The fuzzy set A is *convex* if and only if, for any $x_1, x_2 \in X$, the membership function of A satisfies the inequality $\mu_A\{\lambda x_1 + (1-\lambda)x_2\} \geq \min\{\mu_A(x_1), \mu_A(x_2)\}$. $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$.

2.4 Definition (Triangular fuzzy number) : For a triangular fuzzy number $A(x)$, it can be represented by $A(a,b,c; 1)$ with membership function $\mu(x)$ given by

$$\mu(x) = \begin{cases} (x-a)/(b-a), & a \leq x < b \\ 1, & x=b \\ (c-x)/(c-b), & c \leq x \leq d \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

2.5 Definition: (Trapezoidal fuzzy number): For a trapezoidal number $A(x)$, it can be represented by $A(a,b,c,d;1)$ with membership function $\mu(x)$ given by

$$\mu(x) = \begin{cases} (x-a)/(b-a), & a \leq x \leq b \\ 1, & b \leq x \leq c \\ (d-x)/(d-c), & c \leq x \leq d \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

2.6 Definition: (α -cut of a trapezoidal fuzzy number): The α -cut of a fuzzy number $A(x)$ is defined as

$$A(\alpha) = \{x : \mu(x) \geq \alpha, \alpha \in [0,1]\}$$

Addition of two fuzzy numbers can be performed as $(a_1, b_1, c_1) + (a_2, b_2, c_2) = (a_1+a_2, b_1+b_2, c_1+c_2)$

Addition of two trapezoidal fuzzy numbers can be performed as

$$(a_1, b_1, c_1, d_1) + (a_2, b_2, c_2, d_2) = (a_1+a_2, b_1+b_2, c_1+c_2, d_1+d_2).$$

Robust Ranking Technique:

Roubast ranking technique which satisfy commens ratio, linearity, and additively properties and provides results which are consist human intuition. If \tilde{a} is a fuzzy number then the Roubast Ranking is defined by

$R(\tilde{a}) = \int_0^1 0.5 (a_\alpha^L a_\alpha^U) d\alpha$, where $a_\alpha^L a_\alpha^U$ is the α level cut of the fuzzy number \tilde{a} In this paper we use this method for ranking the objective values. The Roubast ranking index $R(\tilde{a})$ gives the representative value of fuzzy number \tilde{a} .

3. Algorithm to solve fuzzy transportation problem using IVAM

Step 1:

Convert the fuzzy values in the transportation problem into crisp values using robust ranking technique.

Step 2:

Check whether the transportation problem is balanced. If not, introduce dummy row (or column) to balance the problem.

Step 3:

Find the row opportunity cost and column opportunity cost. To find the row opportunity cost, for each row the smallest cost of that row is subtracted from each element of the same row. To find the column opportunity cost for each column of the original transportation cost matrix the smallest cost of that column is subtracted from each element of the same column.

Step 4:

Obtain the $R + C$ matrix, by adding the low row opportunity cost with the column opportunity cost.

Step 5:

In the $R + C$ matrix, determine the penalty cost for each row and column by finding the difference between the lowest cell cost in the row (or column) and the next lowest cell cost in the row (or column).

Step 6:

Now from this select the maximum penalty cost and allocate the minimum of supply (or demand) to the minimum element of the row (or column). Delete the row (or column) in which the corresponding supply (or demand) is exhausted.

Step 7:

Repeat steps 5 and 6 until satisfaction of all the supply and demand met (i.e. both demand and supply will be exhausted).

Step 8:

Compute the total transportation cost for the feasible allocations using the original balance transportation cost matrix.

Numerical Example

Example 3.1.1:

Consider the fuzzy transportation problem with destinations D_1, D_2, D_3, D_4 and sources S_1, S_2, S_3, S_4 . And the cost of shipping one unit of the product from the i^{th} source to the j^{th} destination is given in the following table in the form of triangular fuzzy numbers. Now let us find the minimum transportation cost for this transportation problem.

	D_1	D_2	D_3	D_4	Supply
S_1	(2,4,6)	(2,4,8)	(2,6,8)	(2,4,6)	(4,6,8)
S_2	(2,4,8)	(2,6,8)	(2,6,4)	(4,6,8)	(2,4,6)
S_3	(2,4,8)	(4,6,8)	(4,6,8)	(2,4,6)	(8,12,16)
S_4	(4,6,10)	(2,4,6)	(4,8,12)	(4,6,10)	(6,8,10)
Demand	(10,12,14)	(4,6,8)	(2,6,8)	(4,6,10)	

Solution:

Step 1:

Applying robust ranking technique to convert the fuzzy numbers into crisp numbers,
(i)(2,4,6)

$$\begin{aligned}
 (f^L, f^U) &= [2 + (4 - 2)\alpha, 6 - (6 - 4)\alpha] \\
 &= (2 + 2\alpha, 6 - 2\alpha)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$(a) = \int_0^1 0.5(0.8)d\alpha = 4$$

Proceeding similarly, the Robust's ranking indices for the fuzzy costs \tilde{a}_{ij} are calculated as:

$R(a_{12}) = 4.5, R(a_{13}) = 5.5, R(a_{14}) = 4, R(a_{21}) = 4.5,$

$R(a_{22}) = 5.5, R(a_{23}) = 4.5, R(a_{24}) = 6, R(a_{31}) = 4.5,$

$R(a_{32}) = 6, R(a_{33}) = 6, R(a_{34}) = 4, R(a_{41}) = 6.5,$

$R(a_{42}) = 4, R(a_{43}) = 8, R(a_{44}) = 6.5.$ we replace these

values for their corresponding \tilde{a}_{ij} in the above table we get as follows

	D_1	D_2	D_3	D_4	Supply
S_1	4	4.5	5.5	4	6
S_2	4.5	5.5	4.5	6	4

		5	5		
S_3	4.5	6	6	4	12
S_4	6.5	4	8	6. 5	8
Demand	12	6	5. 5	6. 5	

Step 2:

Sum of the supply = $6 + 4 + 12 + 8 = 30$

Sum of the demand = $12 + 6 + 5.5 + 6.5 = 30$

Thus the above transportation problem is balanced (or consistent) as

$$\sum_{i=1}^m a_i = \sum_{j=1}^n b_j$$

Step 3:

The following matrix is the row opportunity cost matrix and the column matrix found by subtracting the smallest cost of that row (or column) from each element of the same row (or column).

$$\text{Row opportunity cost matrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0.5 & 1.5 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1.5 \\ 0.5 & 2 & 2 & 0 \\ 2.5 & 0 & 4 & 2.5 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\text{Column opportunity cost matrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0.5 & 1 & 0 \\ 0.5 & 1.5 & 0 & 2 \\ 0.5 & 2 & 1.5 & 0 \\ 2 & 0 & 3.5 & 2.5 \end{bmatrix}$$

Step 4:

The $R + C$ matrix is obtained by adding the row opportunity matrix with the column opportunity matrix.

$R+C$ matrix = Row opportunity cost matrix + column opportunity cost matrix

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 2.5 & 0 \\ 0.5 & 2.5 & 0 & 3.5 \\ 1 & 4 & 3.5 & 0 \\ 4.5 & 0 & 7.5 & 5 \end{bmatrix}$$

Step 5:

In the table, the penalty cost for each row and column is obtained by finding the difference between the lowest cell cost in the row (or column) and the next lowest cell cost in the row (or column).

	D_1	D_2	D_3	D_4	Supply
S_1	4.5 0	1	1.5 2.5	0	6
S_2	0.5	2.5	4 0	3.5	4
S_3	5.5 1	4	3.5	6.5 0	12
S_4	2 4.5	6 0	7.5	5	8
Demand	12	6	5.5	6.5	

Here the maximum penalty cost is 4.5 and the corresponding minimum cost is 0 present in the cell (4,2).

$$x_{42} = \min(6,8) = 6$$

So the cell (4,2) gets allotted with the demand 6 and the supply reduces to $8 - 6 = 2$. And the column D_2 gets deleted.

Step 7:

Repeating the above step, we find that the maximum penalty cost is 2.5 and the corresponding minimum cost is 0 in the cell (2,3).

$$x_{23} = \min(4,5.5) = 4$$

So the supply 4 gets allotted in the cell (2,3) and the demand becomes $5.5 - 4 = 1.5$ and row S_2 gets deleted

Again finding the maximum penalty cost, we get 1 and the minimum cost as 2.5 in the cell (1,3).

$$x_{13} = \min(1.5,6) = 1.5$$

Thus the column D_3 gets deleted and the supply becomes $6 - 1.5 = 4.5$

Repeating we get the maximum penalty cost as 1 and the minimum cost as 0 corresponding to the cell (1,1).

$$x_{11} = \min(4.5,12) = 4.5$$

So the supply in row S_1 gets exhausted and the supply reduces to $12 - 4.5 = 7.5$

Again finding the penalty cost, we get 5 and the minimum cost as 0 corresponding to the cell (3,4).

$$x_{34} = \min(12,6.5) = 6.5$$

So the demand in the row D_4 gets depleted and supply becomes $12 - 6.5 = 5.5$

The next allocation is made in the cell (3,1) to which the supply 5.5 gets allotted and the demand reduces to $7.5 - 5.5 = 2$

$$x_{31} = \min(7.5, 5.5) = 5.5$$

The next allocation is made in the final cell (4,1) to which the supply/demand 2 gets allocated.

$$x_{41} = \min(2, 2) = 2$$

Therefore we have the following allocations

$$x_{11} = 4.5, x_{13} = 1.5, x_{23} = 4, x_{31} = 5.5, x_{34} = 6.5, x_{41} = 2, x_{42} = 6$$

Step 8:

The total transportation cost is found using the original balanced transportation cost matrix.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Transportation cost} &= (4.5 \times 4) + (1.5 \times 5.5) + (4 \times 4.5) + (5.5 \times 4.5) + \\ &\quad (6.5 \times 4) + (2 \times 6.5) + (6 \times 4) \end{aligned}$$

$$= 132$$

Conclusions:

In this paper new approach is proposed for finding the IFBFS and the fuzzy optimal solution of fuzzy transportation problems in which the transportation cost availability and demand of the product are represented as generalized trapezoidal fuzzy numbers. The advantages of the proposed methods are discussed and a numerical example is solved to illustrate the proposed methods. The proposed method is very easy to understand and to apply for solving the fuzzy transportation.

References:

- 1) Fegade. M.R, Jadav. V.A and Muley. A.A, Solving fuzzy transportation problem using zero suffix and Robust Ranking Methodology, IOSR Journal of Engineering, Volume 2, No. 7, 2012.
- 2) Hadi Basirzadeh, An approach for solving fuzzy transportation problem, Applied Mathematical Sciences, Volume 5, No. 32, 2011.
- 3) Malini .P and Ananthanarayanan. M, Solving fuzzy transportation problem using ranking of fuzzy numbers, International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics, Volume 110, No. 2, 2016.
- 4) Nagoor Gani. A, Baskaran. R and Mohammed Assarudeen. S.N, Improved Vogel's Approximation method to solve fuzzy transshipment problem, International Journal of Fuzzy Mathematical Archive, Volume 4, No. 2, 2014.
- 5) Poonam Shugani, Abbas. S.H and Vijay Gupta, Unbalanced fuzzy transportation problem with Robust Ranking Technique, Asian Journal of Current Engineering and Mathematics, Volume 1, No. 3, 2012.
- 6) Priyanka. A Pathade and Kirtiwant. P Ghadle, Feasible solution of balanced and unbalanced fuzzy transportation problem using fuzzy numbers, International Journal of

Pure and Applied Mathematics, Volume 119, No. 9,2018.

- 7) Sahaya Sudha. A and Karunambigai. S, Solving a transportation problem using a trapezoidal fuzzy number, International Journal of Advance Research in Science, Engineering and Technology, Volume 4, No. 1,2017.
- 8) Surjeet Singh Chauhan and Nidhi Joshi, Solution of fuzzy transportation problem using VAM with Robust Ranking Technique, International Journal of Computer Applications, Volume 82, No. 15,2013.