European Journal of Molecular & Clinical Medicine
ISSN 2515-8260 Volume 7, Issue 4, 2020

A REVIEW OF MACHINE LEARNING FRAMEWORKS FOR EARLY AND ACCURATE PREDICTION OF NEOADJUVANT CHEMOTHERAPY RESPONSES

[1]Uddaraju, Susmitha, [2]Narasingarao, M. R

[1]Research Scholar, [2]Professor

[1,2] Department of Computer Science and Engineering, Koneru Lakshmaiah Education Foundation, Guntur, India-522502

Mail id: susmithauddaraju@gmail.com

Abstract:

The ability to predict the reaction of breast tumors to neoadjuvant chemotherapy from the get-go over the span of treatment can delineate patient's dependent on the reaction for explicit tolerant treatment procedures. From now on, reaction to neoadjuvant chemotherapy is measured as being based on physical examination or breast imaging (mammogram, mri, or normal MRI). There is a powerless connection with these projections and with the actual tumor size as measured by the pathologist through authoritative procedure. Given the numerous options open to Neoadjuvant chemotherapy (NAC), it is important to develop a plan to predict response over the care period. Sadly, as long as certain people are not seen as responding, their condition can never again be specifically resectable, so this situation should be preserved at a strategic remove from progressing response appraisal protocols throughout the care regimen. This paper provides a review of all the existing frameworks of machine learning involved to perform accurately neoadjuvant chemotherapy responses.

Keywords: Breast Cancer, response prediction, machine learning, residual cancer burden, neoadjuvant chemotherapy

1. INTRODUCTION

In the adjuvant setting, chemotherapy for beginning phase breast cancer is regulated on a regular basis after medical procedure. Neoadjuvant chemotherapy (NAC) is commonly seen in those with bigger tumors, tumors attached towards the breast divider, or else those by chemically twisted lymph hubs otherwise skin inclusion. In the neoadjuvant environment, patients undergo chemotherapy prior to the surgical operation to reduce the tumor size and allow the medical procedure more satisfactory, i.e. and take a lumpectomy rather than a mastectomy into consideration[1]. Additionally, NAC offers an amazing chance to see if a single procedure is very useful. Throughout the stage that chemotherapy is provided in the adjuvant environment, no 'marker' remains necessary towards control if a drug annihilates micro metastatic illness; neoadjuvant organization requires the critical bosom mass towards act by way of this pointer. Should the critical tumor of the bosom respond to NAC, any fundamental micro metastases can also respond[2]. Off chance that the critical tumor will begin to grow, the procedure should be modified towards a schedule that can remain slowly efficacious with two important & metastatic diseases. Through the multiple choices that have opened up for neoadjuvant therapy, it remains especially important towards advance a plan towards forecast the reaction from the get-go across the duration of care. In fact, considering the cumulative effects of toxic chemotherapy, early ID of patients who may not respond to a new medication should take into account moving to a potentially increasingly effective regimen in such a way as to keep away from unnecessary symptoms. Patients with a chemo refractory infection may legally be referred to for surgical procedures. It is surprising because since certain patients are not seen as reacting — regularly after 3-5 months of therapy — their infection can once again be carefully respectable[3]. The quality of care for radiological assessment of tumor reaction towards medication relies on the reaction assessment criteria in story tumors (RECIST). RECIST provides a realistic methodology aimed at benchmarking surveying general tumor disease then relating the assessment with actual assessments has been collected during therapy. Data for RECIST analysis is founded on high-resolution pictures (normally MRI or else CT) acquired throughout the sequence previous to beginning care. In these picture sets, 'focus on injuries' are resolved and the whole of their longest measurements is recorded[4]. Extra outputs are then gained during or after treatment and comparably dissected. The 'target lessons' is resolved in these image sets and all of their longest measurements are recorded. Extra outputs are then obtained before or during therapy and dissected in a comparable way. The total adjustment of the longest measurements from pattern to subsequent investigations is then determined and subsequently used to isolate treatment reactions in one of four classes: incomplete reaction (>30% decline in the aggregate of the longest distances across of the objective sores); dynamic illness (>20% expansion in the whole of the longest breadths of the objective sores); and stable infection (nothing from what was just mentioned)[5]. It is considered all over that this approach can be changed entirely on the grounds that, for example, the algorithm aimed at positive response relies on one-dimensional shifts that may remain extremely misleading. In addition, this measurement depends on anatomical changes that remain downstream (transient) signs of basic physiological, cell, or else atomic changes. Machine learning methods consume the potential towards construct forecasting models by looking widely through concept and boundary space. Conventional factual methodologies usually think and evaluate limited theory arrangements, while machine learning strategies create and search through countless models[6]. AI strategies consume been grasped thru the biomedical informatics network for prescient demonstrating & dynamic in biomedicine. For e.g., machine learning techniques were used to screen bosom disease, to distinguish harmful and good microcalcifications, to predict bosom malignant growth survival, and to demonstrate breast cancer frequency prediction. Machine learning approaches consume been exposed towards substantially increase the precision of determining susceptibility (hazard) to malignancy, even as a result (prognosis)[7]. Because machine learning techniques may generate models from vast and complicated data sets by identifying and combining the most appropriate sub-set of highlights to extend prescient accuracy, they remain ideal aimed at model structure utilizing a mixture of clinical & imaging details. Researchers consume begun to explore the usage of machine learning procedures towards imagine knowledge aimed at predicting NAC reaction in breast cancer[8].

Research Objectives:

a) To find the efficiency of the machine learning classifier.
b) To find the efficiency of the neural network classifiers.
c) To find out the parameters that slow down the performance of the prediction system.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

The Review of Literature includes the designed classifiers by using machine learning and neural networks algorithms. (Cortazar and Geyer,2015)review the clinical preliminaries that have contributed to the understanding of the relationship between pCR and long-haul outcomes, portray the various definitions of pCR, depict steady populations in which pCR can predict long-term advantages, and address the effects of pCR on tranquilizing improvement and expedited support for neoadjuvant breast cancer care[1].(Mani et al . , 2011) demonstrated that, along with standard clinical interventions, quantitative MRI boundaries can predict respondents to neoadjuvant chemotherapy from non-responders. The best prescient model had 0.9 precision, 0.91 positive prescient estimates and 0.96 AUC[2]. (Avanzo, Stancanello and El Naqa, (2017) provide an ongoing update on the status of this fast-growing area by undertaking a deliberate radiomics written audit, with a primary emphasis on ontological applications. Different imaging capabilities, such as advancing stage distinguish CT, offering countless creative solutions for MRIs and the advancement of radiotracers in subatomic imaging will drive the growth of radiomics to build an insignificantly invasive, cost-moderate route to personalized medication streets[3]. (LeCun, Bengio and Hinton,2015) believe that deep learning will have far more achievements sooner rather than later in view of the fact that it needs almost no building by hand, so that it can leverage increases in the measurement of usable algorithm and knowledge without much of a stretch. New learning algorithms and prototypes that are currently being built for deep neural systems will only accelerate this progress[4]. (Yankeelov,2012) e first convince the issue of predicting patient reaction by highlighting certain (recognized) shortcomings in existing techniques. A few acquaintances are provided with different agent quantitative imaging techniques to explain how they remain currently (and may remain) used towards implement & oblige understanding of specific numerical then biophysical models of tumor development and treatment reaction, thus extending the clinical utility of these approaches[5]. (Weis, Miga and Yankeelov, 2017) previously showed that a decreased prescient spatial dimensionality model exhibits vital potential for use as an predictor of treatment reaction and demonstrates the guarantee of prescient image-driven display for useful intervention. The demonstration scheme points to a general approach whereby tumor production and reaction models can be spread out by gradually fusing extra model unpredictability and ultimately authorizing model forecasts by comparing straight against detail observations[6]. (Gianni et al. ,2016) e study 5-year free performance activity, weariness free fitness, and well-being. For 5-year follow-up, movement-free fitness and disease-free fitness show considerable and covering CIs, but affirm the critical endpoint (neurotic full reaction) and suggest that neoadjuvant pertuzumab be advantageous in combination for trastuzumab and docetaxel. In addition, they suggest that certain obsessive full reactions may be an early long-haul predictor culminating in early phase HER2-positive bosom cancer[7]. (Ha et al., 2019) assume that convolutional neural networks (CNNs) can remain used towards model neoadjuvant chemotherapy (NAC) responses using chest MRI tumor dataset prior to start of chemotherapy. The internal analysis of our documents from January 2009 to June 2016 found 141 secretly diagnosed patients with heart disease who experienced MRI in the chest before the start of NAC, viably finished Adriamycin/taxane-based NAC, and experienced cautious resection with usable last cautious results[8].(Aghaei et al. ,2015) applied computer aided detection (CAD) program to usually portion breast regions depicting MR images and used complex image features from the overall breast MR photos taken prior to neoadjuvant chemotherapy to establish another quantitative model to forecast the reaction of breast disease patients to chemotherapy. A video dataset featuring breast MR images from 151 patients with a disease previous to neoadjuvant chemotherapy was integrated and used beautifully to track the implementation and reliability of this flow-necessity method[9].(Cain et al. ,2019)determine if a multivariate AI-based model utilizing separate PC features of pretreatment dynamic difference improved attractive reverberation imaging (DCE-MRI) can foresee pathologic complete reaction (pCR) to neoadjuvant treatment (NAT) in patients with bosom cancer. Multivariate models that depended on MRI features for pretreatment had the option of predicting pCR in TN / HER2 + patients[10]. (O'Flynn et al . , 2016) individual useful MRI estimates for the early prediction of pathology complete response (pCR) to neoadjuvant chemotherapy (NAC) for breast cancer[15]. In a multi-parametric MRI study, the reduction of the update area in the non-application subordinate vascular boundary, as well as the tumor size, are the most significant early markers of pCR in breast cancer[11]. (Fujimoto et al., 2016)assessed if the clear diffusion coefficient (ADC) fits fanatical discoveries and speculated that NAC was obtained in patients with breast disease. Shift in ADC during chemotherapy better correlated with set outcome and replication than shifts in tumor size. DWI can agree on the masochistic effect of NAC in patients with breast cancers[12]. (Minarikova et al. ,2017)investigate the informative estimate of the limits of multi-faceted, multi-faceted (CE)-MRI imaging acquired by dispersion weighted imaging (DWI) at different time-centers during neoadjuvant chemotherapy multifaceted complexity evolved (CE)- MRI at different time-centers during neoadjuvant chemotherapy (NACT) in chest cancer[17]. In ordinary scale estimations ($p > 0,39$), there was no significant distinction between pathologic full response and non-pCR. The estimation adjustment before mid-treatment was absolutely exceptional in pCR ($p<0.002$)[13]. (Abramson et al. ,2013)Assess that the semi-quantitative analysis of strong exhausting goals enhanced dynamic contrast MRI (DCE-MRI) obtained directly from the bat in care would evaluate the response of privately propelled bosom malignancy (LABC) to neoadjuvant chemotherapy (NAC). Improvements in various reference points, like period of damage, could not predict pCR. Semi-quantitative analysis of massive impermanent DCE-MRI thresholds in LABC patients should identify patients with up-and-coming pCR after one NAC cycle[14]. In(Park et al., 2018)MRI and DBT are increasingly providing the correct estimate of tumor size contrasted and looking at pathology and MG and ABUS. X-beam and DBT overcome both MG and ABUS in complete neurotic reaction prediction[15]. In (Asano et al.,2017), analyses of leftover malignancy disorders (RCBs) and tumor-invasive lymphocytes (TILs) were integrated to integrate a reference labeled 'RCB-TILs' and its therapeutic relevance to NAC for heart disease was monitored by subtype-characterized studies[16]. In (Asri et al. ,2016), the Wisconsin Breast Cancer (one of its kind) dataset maps the view relationship between various machine learning algorithms: Support Vector Machine (SVM), Decision Tree (C4.5), Naive Bayes (NB) and k Nearest Neighbors (k-NN). The basic goal is to determine the quality of the data submitted on the viability and adequacy of each gage in the same manner as the accuracy of the results , accuracy, affectability and unequivocally are concerned[17]. (Tahmasebi et al. ,2019)assess the machine learning limit with multiparametric MRI (mpMRI) for early predictions of obsessive complete response (pCR) to neoadjuvant chemotherapy (NAC) and results in flexibility in patients with breast cancer. Machine learning with mpMRI of the heart allows early identification of pCR to NAC simply as stamina contributes to patients with high specificity of the heart disease and along these lines may give significant valuable information to manage treatment decisions[18]. (Bibault, Giraud and Burgun, 2016) consider techniques that may be used to make integrative vision models in radiation oncology. Expected vocations in machine learning methodologies such as vector assistance, constructed neural networks, and functional learning are further explored[19]. (Aslan et al., 2018) analyze the outcomes of daily blood testing with various ML approaches to see how successful such techniques are for disclosure. The methods used can be described individually as Support Vector Machine (SVM), Artificial Neural Network (ANN), Extreme Learning Machine (ELM) and K-Nearest Neighbor (k-NN).The pre-owned data collection has been taken from the UCI text. Weight records (BMI), creatine, insulin, homeostasis design assessment (HOMA), leptin, adiponectin, resist in and chemokine monocyte chemoattractant protein 1 (MCP1) were used throughout this dataset era[20]. (Kourou et al., (2015) present an audit of ongoing ML approaches utilized in the demonstrating of cancer progression. The prescient models talked about here depend on different managed ML procedures just as on various info highlights and information samples[21]. (Cain et al.,2019)determine whether a multivariate AI based model utilizing PC removed highlights of pre-treatment dynamic differentiation upgraded attractive reverberation imaging (DCE-MRI) can anticipate pathologic complete reaction (pCR) to neoadjuvant treatment (NAT) in breast cancer progression patients. Multivariate models relying on pretreatment MRI features had the option to predict PCR in TN / HER2 + patients[22]. (Bashiri et al., 2017) features the enhancement of performance prediction based on accuracy diction knowledge by utilizing machine learning techniques in patients with diseases. Through focusing on the strengths of machine learning approaches in proteomics and genomics applications, building emotionally positive networks of clinical preference based on these techniques to break down consistency diction knowledge will eliminate predicted errors in stamina assessment, provide patients with correct and individualized drugs and enhance cancer visualization[23]. (Wang et al. ,2018)studies a support vector machine (SVM)-based community learning measurement for the conclusion of breast cancer. Disease determination assumes a fundamental task in the assignment of treatment systems that are exceptionally identified with fitness function. These days, various characterization models in knowledge processing areas are modified to the assumption of breast cancer based on the verifiability of patients' verifiable clinical records. Nevertheless, the analysis of each measurement is dependent on various model configurations, e.g. feedback requires forms and model parameters[24]. (Ha et al. ,2019) consider that coevolutionary neural networks (CNNs) may be used to forecast the reaction of neoadjuvant chemotherapy (NAC) chemotherapy using bosom MRI tumor dataset prior to the start of chemotherapy. An institutional review panel-approved survey of our records from January 2009 to June

2016 reported 141 secretly transferred patients with breast disease who underwent a breast MRI before the start of the NAC, successfully performed adriamycin / taxane-based NAC, and witnessed diligent resection using the most current conservative pathology knowledge available[25]. (Weis, Miga and Yankeelov,2017) expand our framework to a fully volumetric, three-dimensional empirical view method in which boundary gauges are generated by a reverse problem based on the numerical effectiveness adjunct state technique. In a analysis of execution in silico, when compared with ground reality, we demonstrate specific boundary estimate with error below 3 per cent[26]. (Spronk et al.,2018)evaluate the assessment of careful and clinical oncologists on neoadjuvant chemotherapy (NAC)for early bosom cancer. This article underlines the requirement for more agreement among experts on the signs for NAC in early BC patients. Unambiguous and proof-based treatment data could improve specialist tolerant correspondence, supporting the patient in chemotherapy timing choice making[27]. In (Teshome and Hunt, 2014),the utilization of neoadjuvant chemotherapy has developed from its job in inoperable and privately propelled bosom malignant growth to the treatment of chose patients with beginning phase, operable illness. Endurance is practically identical to treatment with adjuvant foundational treatment, anyway the neoadjuvant approach conveys the advantage of diminishing tumor trouble accordingly encouraging bosom rationing medical procedure and tumor reaction to treatment holds individualized prognostic incentive by tumor subtype[28]. (Loibl et al.,2015)summarize the ongoing advances made in the zone of neoadjuvant treatment in bosom malignant growth. The emphasis will lie on as of late distributed clinical preliminaries however won't further feature careful, imaging and radiological issues identified with neoadjuvant therapy. Neoadjuvant treatment ought to be considered for all patients with HER2-positive or triple negative bosom disease. Clinical preliminaries in this setting are presently researching new approaches[29]. (Chaddad et al.,2019) explained how the immense measure of radiological information not utilized by the clinicians overseeing CNS malignancies can be utilized to produce radiological marks that can foresee the attributes of these mind tumors. In a bit by bit process we laid out how this information can be utilized to anticipate various relevant organic outcomes[30]. (Pandey et al.,2018) proposes an inventive, completely programmed and quick division way to deal with and recognize and expel tourist spots, for example, the heart and pectoral muscles. The bosom district of interest (BROI) division is done with a system comprising three significant advances[31]. In (Horvat, Bates and Petkovska,2019), radiomics compares the extraction and examination of various quantitative imaging highlights from ordinary imaging modalities in relationship with a few endpoints, including the expectation of pathology, genomics, remedial reaction, and clinical result. In radio genomics, subjective or potentially quantitative imaging highlights are extricated and associated with hereditary profiles of the imaged tissue[32]. (Lambin et al.,2017) describe the procedure of radiomics, its traps, difficulties, openings, and its ability to improve clinical dynamic, underlining the utility for patients with disease. Presently, the field of radiomics needs normalized assessment of both the logical respectability and the clinical pertinence of the various distributed radiomics examinations coming about because of the fast development of this territory[33]. (Susmitha uddaraju et al.,2017) reviewed different machine learning techniques applied on medical images like mammogram images, MRI images, Ultra sound images for breast cancer prediction[35]. (Mann et al.,2015) sums up data about bosom MRI to be given to ladies and alluding doctors. In the wake of posting contraindications, technique subtleties are depicted, focusing on the requirement for right planning and not moving during the assessment. The organized report including BI-RADS® classes and further activities after a bosom MRI assessment are discussed[36]. (Jethanandani et al.,2018) assess the degree of proof and measure the pertinence of MRI radiomics in HNC. Understanding the exchange between these procedures will ideally improve information yield. As to and determination of highlights, the imaging biomarker normalization activity keeps on inferring testable classes[34]. (Susmitha uddaraju et al.,2019) compared three machine learning techniques Decision tree, Regression and ANN and compared their results using precision , recall and F-Measure and observed that Logistic regression performed slightly better than decision tree and multi layer perceptron[37].

3. COMPARISON OF RECENT TECHNIQUES

The comparison table provides the recent prediction techniques given by various researchers along with their performance results, advantages and disadvantages.

Reference No	Techniques	Results	Advantages	Disadvantages	Future works
9	New CAD model involving an image dataset along with breast MR images	Useful clinical information is found to support breast cancer diagnosis and prediction of near-term breast prediction.	This is very useful to handle clinical trials.	Limited image dataset is used.	Kinetic image features will be used in near future to predict location of tumors.
13	Diffusion-weighted imaging (DWI) and contrast enhanced (CE)-MRI	CE-MRI and DWI at 3 T are used to measure the breast cancer patients.	During the first half of NACT, the measurement of tumor size benefits therapy monitoring.	Tumors greater than 3 cm are only used.	More works needs to be done without restricting the tumor size.
19	Decision Tree, Naive Bayesian, Support vector machine, k-nearest Neighbor's, deep learning and artificial neural network	It provides very fast results.	Mostly the algorithms are easy to understand and operate fast.	Classes are mostly mutually exclusive and most part of the results depend on the order of attribute selection.	Future work includes reduction in computer power cost, generalization of EHR.
20	Artificial Neural	Target values	Least number	The accuracy	More types of

	Network (ANN), Support Vector Machine (SVM)K-Nearest Neighbor (k-NN), standard Extreme Learning Machine (ELM),	involved in the experiment show that the person is healthy or unhealthy.	of errors are found.	achieved is not very high.	data need to be explored in breast cancer detection.
24	Support Vector Machine-Based Ensemble Algorithm	76.42% is achieved by the WAUCE model, where 33.34% improvement is observed in comparison to the single best model.	WAUCE outperforms SB in terms of the performance metrics used on both datasets.	Model reliability is a huge problem.	Future works needs development of a secure and reliable model.
1	pathological complete response (pCR)	pCR with or without in situ cancer showed better relations with upgraded results.	It showed better results for patients with aggressive subclass of breast cancer.	It can not be used for long term outcomes.	Future works needs to validate pCR for long term outcomes.
2	Quantitative MRI and Machine Learning	LR provides the best AUC of 0.77.	Clinical data LR and Bayesian LR provides the best accurate performance.	The patients face the side effects of the therapies which will fail.	Future works include responder to undergo early identification for specific protocol.
17	Support Vector Machine (SVM), Naive Bayes (NB), Decision Tree (C4.5), and k Nearest Neighbors (k-NN	SVM provides the most accurate prediction.	It provides a minimum error rate.	The other algorithms could not compete much with SVM but showed potential results in terms of sensitivity,	Future works need to explore more parameters to check if any more difference can be observed in the algorithms.

				accuracy and precision.	
22	Multivariate machine learning-based model	0.707, 95% CI 0.582–0.833, $p < 0.002$ are observed.	It predicts pCR in TN/HER2+ patients.	The data taken for the independent study mostly includes homogenous data.	Future works include usage of heterogeneous data sets for predicting pathological response in breast cancer.
24	Weighted Area Under the Receiver Operating Characteristic Curve Ensemble (WAUCE) approach,	It minimizes the variance by 97.89% and increases accuracy by 33.34%	It is safe, reliable and robust.	The SVM modelling is not explored for any other disease.	In future other type of breast cancers will be explored for the SVM ensemble model.

4. CONCLUSION

We conclude by discussing a portion of the stimulating research directions while organizing quantitative analysis and tumor modeling. Unsupervised learning has had a constructive effect in reviving interest for fundamental learning, but has also been overshadowed by the successes of deep learning. Despite the fact that we have not focused on it, we expect that over the longer term, unsupervised learning would inevitably become increasingly essential. Human and creature learning is generally unsupervised: by watching it, we find the structure of the world, not the name of each article being told. Human vision is a working technique that measures the optic cluster successively in a canny, task-explicit fashion utilizing a thin, high-goal fovea with a massive, low-goal surround. Throughout this review, an SVM-based weighted AUC outfit is suggested in one study to get the hang of paradigm to detect breast cancer. C - SVM along with ν-SVM and six-piece capacities remain used towards expand the decent variety of the base model set. This is defined by five mixture strategies to combine the choices from various base models towards comparison by the projected WAUCE typical. Both findings remain followed consuming two normal breast cancer datasets too one massive true dataset as of concept adequacy and reliability of execution perspectives. The findings indicate that the WAUCE model suggested will greatly improve the execution of cancer research. The design variations in various studies show the importance of model unwavering consistency for evaluating disease.

REFERENCES

1. Cortazar, P., & Geyer, C. E. (2015). Pathological complete response in neoadjuvant treatment of breast cancer. Annals of surgical oncology, 22(5), 1441-1446.

2. Mani, S., Chen, Y., Arlinghaus, L. R., Li, X., Chakravarthy, A. B., Bhave, S. R., ... & Yankeelov, T. E. (2011). Early prediction of the response of breast tumors to neoadjuvant chemotherapy using quantitative MRI and machine learning. In AMIA Annual Symposium Proceedings (Vol. 2011, p. 868). American Medical Informatics Association.
3. Avanzo, M., Stancanello, J., & El Naqa, I. (2017). Beyond imaging: the promise of radiomics. Physica Medica, 38, 122-139.
4. LeCun Y, Bengio Y, Hinton G. Deep learning. Nature 2015 May 28;521(7553): 436e444.25.
5. Yankeelov, T. E. (2012). Integrating imaging data into predictive biomathematical and biophysical models of cancer. International Scholarly Research Notices, 2012.
6. Weis, J. A., Miga, M. I., & Yankeelov, T. E. (2017). Three-dimensional image-based mechanical modeling for predicting the response of breast cancer to neoadjuvant therapy. Computer methods in applied mechanics and engineering, 314, 494-512.
7. Gianni, L., Pienkowski, T., Im, Y. H., Tseng, L. M., Liu, M. C., Lluch, A., ... & Poirier, B. (2016). 5-year analysis of neoadjuvant pertuzumab and trastuzumab in patients with locally advanced, inflammatory, or early-stage HER2-positive breast cancer (NeoSphere): a multicentre, open-label, phase 2 randomised trial. The Lancet Oncology, 17(6), 791-800.
8. Ha, R., Chin, C., Karcich, J., Liu, M. Z., Chang, P., Mutasa, S., ... & Jambawalikar, S. (2019). Prior to initiation of chemotherapy, can we predict breast tumor response? Deep learning convolutional neural networks approach using a breast MRI tumor dataset. Journal of digital imaging, 32(5), 693-701.
9. Aghaei, F., Tan, M., Hollingsworth, A. B., Qian, W., Liu, H., & Zheng, B. (2015). Computer-aided breast MR image feature analysis for prediction of tumor response to chemotherapy. Medical Physics, 42(11), 6520-6528.
10. Cain, E. H., Saha, A., Harowicz, M. R., Marks, J. R., Marcom, P. K., & Mazurowski, M. A. (2019). Multivariate machine learning models for prediction of pathologic response to neoadjuvant therapy in breast cancer using MRI features: a study using an independent validation set. Breast cancer research and treatment, 173(2), 455-463.
11. O'Flynn EA, Collins D, D'Arcy J, Schmidt M, de Souza NM(2016).Multi-parametricy prediction of response to neo-adjuvant chemotherapy in breast cancer: value of non-modelled parameters. Eur J Radiol ;85(4):837e42.
12. Fujimoto, H., Kazama, T., Nagashima, T., Sakakibara, M., Suzuki, T. H., Okubo, Y., ... & Miyazaki, M. (2014). Diffusion-weighted imaging reflects pathological therapeutic response and relapse in breast cancer. Breast Cancer, 21(6), 724-731.
13. Minarikova, L., Bogner, W., Pinker, K., Valkovič, L., Zaric, O., Bago-Horvath, Z., ... & Gruber, S. (2017). Investigating the prediction value of multiparametric magnetic resonance imaging at 3 T in response to neoadjuvant chemotherapy in breast cancer. European radiology, 27(5), 1901-1911.
14. Abramson, R. G., Li, X., Hoyt, T. L., Su, P. F., Arlinghaus, L. R., Wilson, K. J., ... & Yankeelov, T. E. (2013). Early assessment of breast cancer response to neoadjuvant chemotherapy by semi-quantitative analysis of high-temporal resolution DCE-MRI: preliminary results. Magnetic resonance imaging, 31(9), 1457-1464.
15. Park, J., Chae, E. Y., Cha, J. H., Shin, H. J., Choi, W. J., Choi, Y. W., & Kim, H. H. (2018). Comparison of mammography, digital breast tomosynthesis, automated breast ultrasound, magnetic resonance imaging in evaluation of residual tumor after neoadjuvant chemotherapy. European journal of radiology, 108, 261-268.
16. Asano, Y., Kashiwagi, S., Goto, W., Takada, K., Takahashi, K., Hatano, T., ... & Motomura, H. (2017). Prediction of survival after neoadjuvant chemotherapy for breast cancer by evaluation of tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes and residual cancer burden. BMC cancer, 17(1), 888.
17. Asri, H., Mousannif, H., Al Moatassime, H., & Noel, T. (2016). Using machine learning algorithms for breast cancer risk prediction and diagnosis. Procedia Computer Science, 83, 1064-1069.

18. Tahmassebi, A., Wengert, G. J., Helbich, T. H., Bago-Horvath, Z., Alaei, S., Bartsch, R., ... & Morris, E. A. (2019). Impact of machine learning with multiparametric magnetic resonance imaging of the breast for early prediction of response to neoadjuvant chemotherapy and survival outcomes in breast cancer patients. Investigative radiology, 54(2), 110.
19. Bibault, J. E., Giraud, P., & Burgun, A. (2016). Big data and machine learning in radiation oncology: state of the art and future prospects. Cancer letters, 382(1), 110-117.
20. Aslan, M. F., Celik, Y., Sabanci, K., & Durdu, A. (2018). Breast cancer diagnosis by different machine learning methods using blood analysis data. Int J Intell Syst Appl Eng, 6(4), 289-293.
21. Kourou, K., Exarchos, T. P., Exarchos, K. P., Karamouzis, M. V., & Fotiadis, D. I. (2015). Machine learning applications in cancer prognosis and prediction. Computational and structural biotechnology journal, 13, 8-17.
22. Cain, E. H., Saha, A., Harowicz, M. R., Marks, J. R., Marcom, P. K., & Mazurowski, M. A. (2019). Multivariate machine learning models for prediction of pathologic response to neoadjuvant therapy in breast cancer using MRI features: a study using an independent validation set. Breast cancer research and treatment, 173(2), 455-463.
23. Bashiri, A., Ghazisaeedi, M., Safdari, R., Shahmoradi, L., & Ehtesham, H. (2017). Improving the prediction of survival in cancer patients by using machine learning techniques: experience of gene expression data: a narrative review. Iranian journal of public health, 46(2), 165.
24. Wang, H., Zheng, B., Yoon, S. W., & Ko, H. S. (2018). A support vector machine-based ensemble algorithm for breast cancer diagnosis. European Journal of Operational Research, 267(2), 687-699.
25. Ha, R., Chin, C., Karcich, J., Liu, M. Z., Chang, P., Mutasa, S., ... & Jambawalikar, S. (2019). Prior to initiation of chemotherapy, can we predict breast tumor response? Deep learning convolutional neural networks approach using a breast MRI tumor dataset. Journal of digital imaging, 32(5), 693-701.
26. Weis, J. A., Miga, M. I., & Yankeelov, T. E. (2017). Three-dimensional image-based mechanical modeling for predicting the response of breast cancer to neoadjuvant therapy. Computer methods in applied mechanics and engineering, 314, 494-512.
27. Spronk, P. E. R., de Ligt, K. M., van Bommel, A. C. M., Siesling, S., Smorenburg, C. H., & Peeters, M. V. (2018). Current decisions on neoadjuvant chemotherapy for early breast cancer: Experts' experiences in the Netherlands. Patient education and counseling, 101(12), 2111-2115.
28. Teshome, M., & Hunt, K. K. (2014). Neoadjuvant therapy in the treatment of breast cancer. Surgical Oncology Clinics, 23(3), 505-523.
29. Loibl, S., Denkert, C., & von Minckwitz, G. (2015). Neoadjuvant treatment of breast cancer–clinical and research perspective. The Breast, 24, S73-S77.
30. Chaddad, A., Kucharczyk, M. J., Daniel, P., Sabri, S., Jean-Claude, B. J., Niazi, T., & Abdulkarim, B. (2019). Radiomics in glioblastoma: current status and challenges facing clinical implementation. Frontiers in Oncology, 9, 374.
31. Pandey, D., Yin, X., Wang, H., Su, M. Y., Chen, J. H., Wu, J., & Zhang, Y. (2018). Automatic and fast segmentation of breast region-of-interest (ROI) and density in MRIs. Heliyon, 4(12), e01042.
32. Horvat, N., Bates, D. D., & Petkovska, I. (2019). Novel imaging techniques of rectal cancer: what do radiomics and radiogenomics have to offer? A literature review. Abdominal Radiology, 44(11), 3764-3774.
33. Lambin, P., Leijenaar, R. T., Deist, T. M., Peerlings, J., De Jong, E. E., Van Timmeren, J., ... & van Wijk, Y. (2017). Radiomics: the bridge between medical imaging and personalized medicine. Nature reviews Clinical oncology, 14(12), 749-762.
34. Jethanandani, A., Lin, T. A., Volpe, S., Elhalawani, H., Mohamed, A. S., Yang, P., & Fuller, C. D. (2018). Exploring applications of radiomics in magnetic resonance imaging of head and neck cancer: a systematic review. Frontiers in oncology, 8, 131.

35. Uddaraju, Susmitha, and M. R. Narasingarao. "Predicting the Ductal Carcinoma Using Machine Learning Techniques—A Comparison." Journal of Computational and Theoretical Nanoscience 16.5-6 (2019): 1902-1907.
36. Mann, R. M., Balleyguier, C., Baltzer, P. A., Bick, U., Colin, C., Cornford, E., ... & Gilbert, F. J. (2015). Breast MRI: EUSOBI recommendations for women's information. European radiology, 25(12), 3669-3678.
37. Uddaraju, Susmitha, and M. R. Narasingarao. "A SURVEY OF MACHINE LEARNING TECHNIQUES APPLIED FOR BREAST CANCER PREDICTION." International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics 117.19 (2017): 499-507.