

# On Paranormed Difference $C_2$ -Sequence Spaces In 2-Normes Spaces

Sukhdev Singh<sup>1</sup>, Aman Kumar<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, School of Chemical Engineering and Physical Sciences,  
Lovely Professional University, Phagwara (Punjab), India.  
Email: singh.sukhdev01@gmail.com; amanwatts786@gmail.com

**Abstract.** In this paper, paranormed  $C_2$ -sequence spaces are defined in the 2-normed space. The topological properties of these sequence space are also studied. The solidness and the symmetry of the sequence space are also verified for the  $\ell$  and  $\ell_\infty$  base spaces.

**Keywords:** Bicomplex space,  $C_2$ Sequence spaces, paranormed space, 2-normed space.  
**2010 AMS Mathematics Classification:** Primary 40A05, Secondary 46A45.

## Introduction

In past times, a plenty of works have been done on various kinds of paranormed spaces. This concept of paranorm is related to linear metric space and the study of this on special type of spaces i.e. Sequence spaces was initiated by Maddox (1969) and then it is carried forward by many more researchers.

Before proceeding towards main results, we will firstly recall some of the useful notations and basic definitions.

**Definition 1.1:** A Vector space  $X$  having zero element  $\alpha$  along with a function  $P: S \rightarrow R^+$  (called a paranorm on  $S$ ) then  $(X, P)$  is called a paranormed space if  $P$  satisfies the following conditions:

- (i)  $P(\alpha) = 0$
- (ii)  $P(s) = P(-s)$
- (iii)  $P(s_1 + s_2) \leq P(s_1) + P(s_2)$
- (iv) Scalar multiplication is continuous i.e., if  $\{\gamma_n\} \rightarrow \gamma$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and  $\{s_n\}$  is a sequence of vectors with  $P(s_n - s) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , then  $P(\gamma_n s_n - \gamma s) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

If the function  $P$  satisfies an additional property i.e. if  $P(s) = 0 \Rightarrow s = \alpha$ , then the paranorm is called total paranorm. (cf. Wilansky (1978)).

The study on paranormed sequence spaces was done by Feyzi Basar and Medine Yesilkayagil(2019) [1-4] and many others and also studied various types of paranormed sequence spaces and function spaces.

**Definition 1.2:** Let  $X$  be a linear space with  $Dim(X)_K > 1$  over the field  $\mathbb{R}$ (or  $\mathbb{C}$ ). A 2-Norm on space  $X$  is real valued function  $\| \cdot, \cdot \|$  on  $X \times X$  satisfying the following conditions:

- (i)  $\| x, y \| \geq 0$  and  $\| x, y \| = 0$  iff  $x$  and  $y$  are linearly dependent
- (ii)  $\| x, y \| = \| y, x \| \forall x, y \in X$
- (iii)  $\| \beta x, y \| = |\beta| \| x, y \|$ , where  $\beta \in K$  and  $x, y \in X$
- (iv)  $\| x + y, z \| \leq \| x, z \| + \| y, z \|$ , for all  $x, y, z \in X$

Then the pair  $(X, \| \cdot, \cdot \|)$  is called a 2-normed space.

A sequence  $\{a_n\}$  in a linear 2-normed space  $X$  is said to be Cauchy sequence if  $\lim_{m, n \rightarrow \infty} \| a_n - a_m, t \| = 0 \forall t \in X$ .

The concept of 2-normed space was studied by Iseki (1976)[8], it is a generalization of normed linear space.

**Definition 1.3:** The notation used to denote the set of bicomplex numbers is  $C_2$  and the sets  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $\mathbb{C}$  are denoted by  $C_0, C_1$ , respectively. the set of bicomplex no. is defined as

$C_2 = \{ a_1 + a_2i_1 + a_3i_2 + a_4i_1i_2 : a_k \in C_0, k = 1, 2, 3, 4 \} = \{ w_1 + i_2w_2 : w_1, w_2 \in C_1 \}$   
where  $i_1^2 = i_2^2 = -1, i_1i_2 = i_2i_1$ .

There exist only two non-trivial idempotent elements in the set of bicomplex numbers  $C_2$  denoted by  $I_1$  and  $I_2$  given as  $I_1 = \frac{1+i_1i_2}{2}$  and  $I_2 = \frac{1-i_1i_2}{2}$ . Note that  $I_1 + I_2 = 1$  and  $I_1 \cdot I_2 = 0$ . The number  $\xi = w_1 + i_2w_2$  can be uniquely expressed as a complex combination of  $I_1$  and  $I_2$ .

$\xi = w_1 + i_2w_2 = {}^1\xi I_1 + {}^2\xi I_2$   
where  ${}^1\xi = w_1 - i_1w_2$  and  ${}^2\xi = w_1 + i_1w_2$ . The coefficients involved in the representation of  $\xi$  which are  ${}^1\xi$  and  ${}^2\xi$  are called idempotent components of  $\xi$  and  ${}^1\xi I_1 + {}^2\xi I_2$  is known as idempotent representation of bicomplex number  $\xi$ .

The collection of components of the elements of space is denoted by  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  defined as follows:

$$A_1 = \{ {}^1\xi : \xi \in C_2 \} \quad \text{and} \quad A_2 = \{ {}^2\xi : \xi \in C_2 \}$$

Both the spaces are known as auxiliary complex spaces.

The norm in  $C_2$  is defined as follows:

$$\|\xi\| = \sqrt{a_1^2 + a_2^2 + a_3^2 + a_4^2} = \sqrt{|w_1|^2 + |w_2|^2} = \sqrt{\frac{|{}^1\xi|^2 + |{}^2\xi|^2}{2}} \quad (1.1)$$

The inequality defined for the norm of product of two numbers of the space is given by :

$$\|\xi \times \eta\| \leq \sqrt{2} \|\xi\| \|\eta\|$$

The inequality given above is best possible relation. For this reason we call  $C_2$  as modified complex Banach algebra.

Throughout the paper, the  $\omega, c_0, c$  denote the space of all the bicomplex sequences, null sequences, convergent sequences and  $t_k = \{s_k^{-1}\}$ . (For details cf. Mursaleen [5]).

**Definition 1.4:** The function  $\mathcal{M}$  defined as  $\mathcal{M}: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is said to be orlicz function if it satisfies the following conditions:

- (a)  $\mathcal{M}$  is continuous.
- (b)  $\mathcal{M}$  is non-decreasing function satisfying  $\mathcal{M}(0) = 0, \mathcal{M}(x) > 0$  for  $x > 0$ .
- (c)  $\mathcal{M}(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y) \leq \lambda \mathcal{M}(x) + (1 - \lambda)\mathcal{M}(y)$  for  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ ;  
and if the condition of convexity is replaced by  $\mathcal{M}(x + y) \leq \mathcal{M}(x) + \mathcal{M}(y)$ ; then the Orlicz function  $\mathcal{M}$  is called Modulus function.

**Definition 1.5:** Let us now introduce a new concept of difference sequence spaces

$$\mathcal{B}(\Delta_m^n) = \{ x = (x_k) \in \omega : (\Delta_m^n x_k) \in \mathcal{B} \}$$

where  $\Delta_m^n x = \Delta_m^n(x_k) = (\Delta_m^{n-1} x_k - \Delta_m^{n-1} x_{k-1})$  and  $\Delta_m^0 x_k = x_k, \forall k \in \mathbb{N}$ , which is given by binomial expansion as:

$$\Delta_m^n x_k = \sum_{v=0}^n (-1)^v \binom{n}{v} x_{k+mv}$$

For more details of difference sequence space refer to book by M.Et. and Colak [4].

## 2. Paranormed $C_2$ -Sequence Spaces

In this section we give some result on the linearity, completeness of the paranormed difference  $C_2$  sequence space.

Let us define a double norm on  $C_2$  space:

$$\|\xi, \eta\| = \|\ ^1\xi e_1 + \ ^2\xi e_2, \ ^1\eta e_1 + \ ^2\eta e_2 \| = | \ ^1\xi \cdot \ ^2\eta - \ ^2\xi \cdot \ ^1\eta |$$

By using Orlicz function  $\mathcal{M}$ , we define sequence spaces on the bicomplex space as follows:

$$\ell(C_2, \|\cdot, \cdot\|, \Delta_m^n, s, \mathcal{M}) = \left\{ \{\xi_k\} \in \omega_4 : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left[ \mathcal{M} \left( \frac{\|\Delta_m^n(\xi_k), t\|}{K} \right) \right]^{s_k} < \infty, K > 0, \forall t \in C_2 \right\}$$

**Lemma 2.1:** The function  $\|\cdot, \cdot\|$  defined as:

$$\|\xi_k, t\|_{\mathcal{M}} = \inf \left\{ K > 0 : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left[ \mathcal{M} \left( \frac{\|\Delta_m^n(\xi_k), t\|}{K} \right) \right]^{s_k} \leq 1; \forall t \in C_2 \right\}$$

is a norm on  $\ell(C_2, \|\cdot, \cdot\|, \Delta_m^n, s, \mathcal{M})$ .

**Theorem 2.1.** The space  $\ell(C_2, \|\cdot, \cdot\|, \Delta_m^n, s, \mathcal{M})$  is a banach space in the norm  $\|\cdot, \cdot\|_{\mathcal{M}}$ .

**Remark 2.1:** We know that  $(C_2, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$  is a normed space by the norm  $\|\cdot, \cdot\|$  defined in (1.1). Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be an Orlicz functions. We define the following Orlicz  $C_2$ -sequence spaces for all  $t \in C_2$ :

$$\ell(C_2, \|\cdot, \cdot\|, \Delta_m^n, s, \mathcal{M}) = \left\{ \{\xi_k\} \in \omega_4 : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left[ \mathcal{M} \left( \frac{\|\Delta_m^n(\xi_k), t\|}{K} \right) \right]^{s_k} < \infty, K > 0 \right\}$$

$$c(C_2, \|\cdot, \cdot\|, \Delta_m^n, s, \mathcal{M}) = \left\{ \{\xi_k\} \in \omega_4 : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left[ \mathcal{M} \left( \frac{\|\Delta_m^n(\xi_k) - L, t\|}{K} \right) \right]^{s_k} \rightarrow 0, L \in C_2, K > 0 \right\}$$

$$c_0(C_2, \|\cdot, \cdot\|, \Delta_m^n, s, \mathcal{M}) = \left\{ \{\xi_k\} \in \omega_4 : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left[ \mathcal{M} \left( \frac{\|\Delta_m^n(\xi_k), t\|}{K} \right) \right]^{s_k} \rightarrow 0, K > 0 \right\}$$

$$\ell^\infty(C_2, \|\cdot, \cdot\|, \Delta_m^n, s, \mathcal{M}) = \left\{ \{\xi_k\} \in \omega_4 : \sup_k \left[ \mathcal{M} \left( \frac{\|\Delta_m^n(\xi_k), t\|}{K} \right) \right]^{s_k} < \infty, K > 0 \right\}$$

**Proposition 2.1.** Any  $C_2$ - sequence  $\{\eta_k\}$  belongs to  $X(C_2, \|\cdot, \cdot\|, \Delta_m^n, s, \mathcal{M})$  if and only if  $\{\ ^1\eta_k\} \in S(A_1, \|\cdot, \cdot\|, \Delta_m^n, s, \mathcal{M})$  and  $\{\ ^2\eta_k\} \in S(A_2, \|\cdot, \cdot\|, \Delta_m^n, s, \mathcal{M})$ , where  $S = \ell, c, c_0$  and  $\ell^\infty$ .

**Theorem 2.2.** The set  $\ell^\infty(C_2, \|\cdot, \cdot\|, \Delta_m^n, s, \mathcal{M})$  is linear space over  $C_1$  for any sequence  $s = \{s_k\} \in R^+$ .

**Proof :** Let  $\{\xi_k\}$  and  $\{\eta_k\}$  be the two sequences of the space  $\ell^\infty(C_2, \|\cdot, \cdot\|, \Delta_m^n, s, \mathcal{M})$ . then let us suppose  $\exists K_1 > 0, K_2 > 0$  such that

$$\sup_k \left[ \mathcal{M} \left( \frac{\|\Delta_m^n(\xi_k), t\|}{K_1} \right) \right]^{s_k} < \infty \text{ and } \sup_k \left[ \mathcal{M} \left( \frac{\|\Delta_m^n(\eta_k), t\|}{K_2} \right) \right]^{s_k} < \infty$$

Let us consider  $\beta, \gamma \in C_1, K = \max\{2|\beta|K_1, 2|\gamma|K_2\}$ . So that

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_k \left[ \mathcal{M} \left( \frac{\|\Delta_m^n(\beta\xi_k + \gamma\eta_k), t\|}{K} \right) \right]^{s_k} \\ = \sup_k \left[ \mathcal{M} \left( \frac{\|\beta \Delta_m^n \xi_k + \gamma \Delta_m^n \eta_k, t\|}{K} \right) \right]^{s_k} \\ \leq K \sup_k \left[ \mathcal{M} \left( \frac{\|\Delta_m^n(\xi_k), t\|}{K_1} \right) \right]^{s_k} + K \sup_k \left[ \mathcal{M} \left( \frac{\|\Delta_m^n(\eta_k), t\|}{K_2} \right) \right]^{s_k} < \infty \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $\{\beta\xi_k + \gamma\eta_k\} \in \ell^\infty(C_2, \|\cdot, \cdot\|, \Delta_m^n, s, \mathcal{M})$ . Hence,  $\ell^\infty(C_2, \|\cdot, \cdot\|, \Delta_m^n, s, \mathcal{M})$  is a linear space over  $C_1$ .

**Theorem 2.3.** For every sequence  $s = \{s_k\}$  of strictly positive real numbers, the sets  $\ell(C_2, \|\cdot, \cdot\|, \Delta_m^n, s, \mathcal{M}), c(C_2, \|\cdot, \cdot\|, \Delta_m^n, s, \mathcal{M})$  and  $c_0(C_2, \|\cdot, \cdot\|, \Delta_m^n, s, \mathcal{M})$  are linear spaces over  $C_1$ .

**Theorem 2.4.** The space  $\ell^\infty(C_2, \|\cdot, \cdot\|, \Delta_m^n, s, \mathcal{M})$  is paranormed by

$$p(\eta) = \|\eta_1, t\| + \inf \left\{ K^{s_k/p} : \sup \left\{ \mathcal{M} \left( \frac{\|\Delta_m^n(\eta_k), t\|}{K} \right) (x_k)^{\frac{1}{s_k}} \right\} \leq 1, K > 0 \right\}$$

where  $P = \max\{1, \sup s_k\}$ .

**Proof:** For the null  $C_2$ -sequence  $\theta$ ,  $q(\theta_1) = 0$  and  $\mathcal{M} \left( \frac{\|\Delta_m^n(\theta_k), t\|}{K} \right) = 0$  for any  $K > 0$ .

Therefore,  $p(\theta) = 0$ .

Also,  $p(-\eta) = p(\eta)$ ,  $\forall \eta \in \ell^\infty(C_2, \|\cdot, \cdot\|, \Delta_m^n, s, \mathcal{M})$ .

Now, let  $\eta, \xi \in \ell^\infty(C_2, \|\cdot, \cdot\|, \Delta_m^n, s, \mathcal{M})$ . Then there exist  $K_1, K_2 > 0$  such that

$$\mathcal{M} \left( \frac{\|\Delta_m^n(\eta_k), t\|}{K_1} \right) (x_k)^{\frac{1}{s_k}} \leq 1 \text{ and } \mathcal{M} \left( \frac{\|\Delta_m^n(\xi_k), t\|}{K_2} \right) (x_k)^{\frac{1}{s_k}} \leq 1$$

Suppose  $K = K_1 + K_2$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_k \left\{ \mathcal{M} \left( \frac{\|\Delta_m^n(\eta_k + \xi_k), t\|}{K} \right) (x_k)^{\frac{1}{s_k}} \right\} \\ \leq \frac{K_1}{K} \sup_k \left\{ \mathcal{M} \left( \frac{\|\Delta_m^n(\eta_k), t\|}{K} \right) (x_k)^{\frac{1}{s_k}} \right\} + \frac{K_2}{K} \sup_k \left\{ \mathcal{M} \left( \frac{\|\Delta_m^n(\xi_k), t\|}{K} \right) (x_k)^{\frac{1}{s_k}} \right\} \\ \leq 1 \end{aligned}$$

Also,

$$p(\xi + \eta) =$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|\xi_1 + \eta_1, t\| + \inf \left\{ K^{\frac{s_k}{p}} : \sup_k \left[ \mathcal{M} \left( \frac{\|\Delta_m^n(\xi_k + \eta_k), t\|}{K} \right) (x_k)^{\frac{1}{s_k}} \right] \leq 1, K > 0, \forall t \in C_2 \right\} \\ \leq \|\eta_1, t\| + \inf \left\{ K_1^{\frac{s_k}{p}} : \sup \left\{ \mathcal{M} \left( \frac{\|\Delta_m^n(\eta_k), t\|}{K_1} \right) (x_k)^{\frac{1}{s_k}} \right\} \leq 1 \right\} \\ + \|\xi_1, t\| + \inf \left\{ K_2^{\frac{s_k}{p}} : \sup \left\{ \mathcal{M} \left( \frac{\|\Delta_m^n(\xi_k), t\|}{K_2} \right) (x_k)^{\frac{1}{s_k}} \right\} \leq 1 \right\} \leq p(\xi) + p(\eta) \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\alpha \in C_0$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} p(\alpha\eta) = \|\alpha\eta_1, t\| + \inf \left\{ K^{\frac{s_k}{p}} : \sup \left\{ \mathcal{M} \left( \frac{\|\Delta_m^n(\eta_k), t\|}{K} \right) (x_k)^{\frac{1}{s_k}} \right\} \leq 1, K > 0, \forall t \in C_2 \right\} \\ \leq \sqrt{2} \|\alpha\| \|\eta_1, t\| + \inf \left\{ (\|\alpha\|H)^{\frac{s_k}{p}} : \sup_k \left\{ \mathcal{M} \left( \frac{\|\Delta_m^n(\eta_k), t\|}{K} \right) (x_k)^{\frac{1}{s_k}} \right\} \leq 1, H > 0 \right\} \end{aligned}$$

where  $H = K \|\alpha\|$ .

**Theorem 2.5.**  $\ell^\infty(C_2, \|\cdot, \cdot\|, \Delta_m^n, s, \mathcal{M})$  is a complete paranormed space for  $s \in \ell^\infty(C_0)$ .

**Proof.** Let  $\{\eta_k^m\}$  be a Cauchy sequence in  $\ell^\infty(C_2, \|\cdot, \cdot\|, \Delta_m^n, s, \mathcal{M})$ . Then for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$p(\eta_k^i - \eta_k^j) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } i, j \rightarrow \infty.$$

Let for the given  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exist a  $H > 0$  and some  $x > 0$  such that  $\frac{\epsilon}{xH} > 0$  and

$$\sup_n (s_n)^{t_n} \leq \mathcal{M} \left( \frac{xH}{2} \right).$$

Now for  $p(\eta_k^i - \eta_k^j) \rightarrow 0$  as  $i, j \rightarrow \infty$ , there exists some  $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$p(\eta_k^i - \eta_k^j) < \frac{\epsilon}{xH}, \forall i, j \geq k_0, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_{r=1}^{m-1} \eta_r^i - \sum_{r=1}^{m-1} \eta_r^j, t \right\| + \inf \left\{ K^{\frac{s_n}{p}} : \sup \left\{ \mathcal{M} \left( \frac{\|\Delta_m^n \eta_k^i - \Delta_m^n \eta_k^j, t\|}{K} \right) (x_k)^{\frac{1}{s_k}} \right\} \leq 1, K > 0 \right\} < \frac{\epsilon}{xH}, \\ \Rightarrow \left\| \sum_{r=1}^{m-1} \eta_r^i - \sum_{r=1}^{m-1} \eta_r^j, t \right\| < \frac{\epsilon}{xH} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\inf \left\{ K^{\frac{s_n}{p}} : \sup \left\{ \mathcal{M} \left( \frac{\|\Delta_m^n \eta_k^i - \Delta_m^n \eta_k^j, t\|}{K} \right) (x_k)^{\frac{1}{s_k}} \right\} \leq 1, K > 0, \forall t \in C_2 \right\} < \epsilon \tag{2.1}$$

This implies that  $\{\Delta_m^n \eta_1^i\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $C_2$ . Since  $C_2$  is a modified Banach algebra, then  $\{\eta_1^i\}$  converges in  $C_2$ . Let

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{r=1}^{m-1} \eta_r^i = \sum_{r=1}^{m-1} \eta_r$$

Thus

$$\left\| \sum_{r=1}^{m-1} \eta_r^i - \sum_{r=1}^{m-1} \eta_r, t \right\| < \frac{\epsilon}{xH} \quad \text{as } i \rightarrow \infty, \forall t \in C_2.$$

Now from equation (2.1), we have

$$\mathcal{M} \left( \frac{\|\Delta_m^n \eta_k^i - \Delta_m^n \eta_k^j, t\|}{p(\eta_k^i - \eta_k^j)} \right) (x_k)^{1/s_k} \leq 1$$

This implies that

$$\mathcal{M} \left( \frac{\|\Delta_m^n \eta_k^i - \Delta_m^n \eta_k^j, t\|}{p(\eta_k^i - \eta_k^j)} \right) \leq (p_k)^{1/t_n} \leq \mathcal{M} \left( \frac{xH}{2} \right)$$

Therefore,

$$\|\Delta_m^n \eta_k^i - \Delta_m^n \eta_k^j, t\| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$$

Therefore,  $\{\Delta_m^n \eta_k^i\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $C_2$ , for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Hence,  $\{\Delta_m^n \eta_k^i\}$  converges in  $C_2$ . Suppose  $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} (\Delta_m^n \eta_k^i) = \Delta_m^n \xi_k, \forall k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Thus,  $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \Delta_m^n \eta_2^i = \Delta_m^n \xi_1 - \Delta_m^n \eta_1$  and in general, we have  $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \Delta_m^n \eta_{k+1}^i = \Delta_m^n \xi_k - \Delta_m^n \eta_k, \forall k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Hence, by the continuity of the Orlicz function  $\mathcal{M}$ , we have

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \sup_k \left\{ \mathcal{M} \left( \frac{\|\Delta_m^n \eta_k^i - \Delta_m^n \eta_k^j, t\|}{K} \right) (x_k)^{\frac{1}{s_k}} \right\} \leq 1,$$

This implies that

$$\sup_k \left\{ \mathcal{M} \left( \frac{\|\Delta_m^n \eta_k^i - \Delta_m^n \eta_k^j, t\|}{K} \right) (x_k)^{\frac{1}{s_k}} \right\} \leq 1,$$

Let  $i \geq k_0$  and by taking infimum for the values of  $K > 0$ , we obtain

$p(\eta^i - \eta) < \epsilon$ . So,  $\{\eta^i - \eta\} \in \ell^\infty(C_2, \|\cdot, \cdot\|, \Delta_m^n, s, \mathcal{M})$ . Hence,  $\eta \in \ell^\infty(C_2, \|\cdot, \cdot\|, \Delta_m^n, s, \mathcal{M})$ .

Therefore,  $\ell^\infty(C_2, \|\cdot, \cdot\|, \Delta_m^n, s, \mathcal{M})$  is complete.

**Corollary 2.1:**  $\ell^\infty(C_2, \|\cdot, \cdot\|, \Delta_m^n, s, \mathcal{M})$  is a Banach space for  $s \in \ell^\infty(C_0)$ .

**Theorem 2.6:**  $\ell(C_2, \|\cdot, \cdot\|, \Delta_m^n, s, \mathcal{M})$ ,  $c(C_2, \|\cdot, \cdot\|, \Delta_m^n, s, \mathcal{M})$  and  $c_0(C_2, \|\cdot, \cdot\|, \Delta_m^n, s, \mathcal{M})$  are Banach spaces

### References

[1] Feyzi Basar and Medine Yesilkayagil *A survey for paranormed sequence spaces generated by infinite matrices* **10**, 2019  
 [2] H.Gunawan and Mashadi *Soochow J. of Math.* 27 631-639, 2001.  
 [3] B.C. Tripathy, A.Esi and B.K. Tripathy 2005 *Soochow J.Math.* **31** 33-340.  
 [4] M. Mursaleen. *Applied Summability Methods*, Springer Cham Heidelberg New York, 2014  
 [5] G.B. Price 1991 *An Introduction to Multicomplex Space and Functions* (Marcel Dekker)  
 [6] Rajiv K Srivastava. *Bicomplex numbers: analysis and applications*, Math. Student, **72** (1-4) 63-87, 2006.

- [7] Srivastava, R.K., *Bicomplex Analysis: A prospective generalization of the theory of special functions*, Proc. of Soc. for Special Functions and their Applications (SSFA), **4-5**, (2005), 55--68.
- [8] Srivastava, R.K., *Certain topological aspects of bicomplex space*, Bull. Pure and Appl. Math., **2**, (2008), 222—234.
- [9] Rochon, D. and Shapiro, M., *On algebraic properties of bicomplex and hyperbolic numbers*, Anal. Univ. Oradea, fasc. math., **11**, \mbox{(2004), 70--110.
- [10] Segre, C., *The real representation of complex elements and the hyperalgebraic entities*, Math. Ann., **40**, (1892), 413--467.