

Occupational Stressors, Burnout And Coping Behaviour Among Workers

Dr.S.Gangadharan¹, Dr.R.Murali², Mr.A.Fredrick³

¹Associate Professor, Department of Management Studies, Saveetha Engineering College (Autonomous), Affiliated to Anna University, Chennai, India

²Associate Professor, Department of Management Studies, St. Peter's College of Engineering and Technology, Affiliated to Anna University, Chennai, India

³Assistant Professor, Department of Management Studies, St. Peter's College of Engineering and Technology, Affiliated to Anna University, Chennai, India

¹sgangadharan80@gmail.com

²muralithireshh@gmail.com

³Fredrick.a@spect.ac.in

Abstract: We characterize it as our information on things that impact stress and the techniques to strip worry innocuously of our body framework. It is likewise a bunch of strategies that experts do, to assist us in adapting to different sorts of stress and burnout. There are a few factors that could contribute to an individual experiencing a wide range of stress. Frequently, workers wind up in a mess with regards to what their occupation involves, and they may even concern with respect to whether they may lose their positions during the current pandemic situation.

The point of the investigation is to examine the stress and burnout among the workers. The goal of the investigation is to identify the components causing stress among the workers. The investigation additionally incorporates discovering the degree of stress and sort of stress of workers on various age gathering.

Surveys were coursed among the representatives to have a genuine criticism to know territories of progress which the essential information and optional information was gathered through different sources like audits, books, diaries, magazines, and sites. The researcher used a convenient sampling technique and the sample size of the study was taken as 120. The study reveals the employee's opinion about the overall effectiveness of stress management was satisfactory and effective. A few areas of dissatisfaction are also identified and suggestions and recommendations are also given

Keywords: Stress, Workers, Coping behavior and Burnout

1. INTRODUCTION:

Stress and burnout are pretty common occurrences among employees. Because these workers have a fundamental role in the company, it is extremely important that they feel physically and mentally well to perform their organization's functions. In this way, it becomes important to behave in the relationship between the individual and the problem, enhancing the ability to promote the use of their social and personal resources to gain control over the stress.

2. OBJECTIVE OF THE STUDY

- To identify the factors causing stress and burnout among the employees.
- To find out the kind of stress among the employee in the different age groups.

- To study the effects of stress and burnout on employees.
- To identify the Coping behavior of the employees.

3. REVIEW OF LITERATURE

Coping is defined as the cognitive and problem-solving behaviors people employ to tolerate, minimize, or eliminate stress. It is a complex interaction of thoughts and behaviors. Coping permits individuals to control and reduce the negative penalty of a stressful situation. Coping resources can be derived from both personal and environmental. When coping resources, no matter the type, are sufficiently coordinated to the stressor, outcomes are successfully controlled (Millikan *et al.*, 2007).

Researchers agree that coping mechanisms can be generally divided into two broad categories; problem-focused coping and emotional-focused coping (Payne, 2001). Problem-focused coping seeks to change the stressful event, whereas emotional-focused coping is directed at changing the way one perceives the stressful situation.

Individuals will seek out all available information and begin to plan an appropriate course of action. In a cross-cultural comparison of stress and coping that included four countries, employees identified planning problem solving as one of three primary ways of coping when faced with a potentially stressful event (Lambert *et al.*, 2004).

4. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

The research instrument used for the survey is a structured closed-ended questionnaire with a total number of 24 questions. The information collected through the personal interview is also being used. Pre-testing was conducted to find if there was any inconsistency in the questionnaire designed and if any necessary changes needed, can be made after designing the questionnaire for which data was collected. A sample of 120 employees is taken out based on systematic simple random sampling.

5. DATA ANALYSIS

a) Demographic Analysis

Socio Demographic Profile of the Respondents

Socio Demographic Profile	Demographic	Categories	No. of respondents	Percentage
Gender		Male	83	69
		Female	37	31
Age		Below26	8	6
		26-35	25	21
		36-45	20	17
		46-55	38	32
		Above 55	29	24
Year of experience		Below 5years	11	9
		6-10 years	21	17
		11-15 years	26	23
		16-25 years	39	33
		Above 26 years	23	19
Education				

	UG	81	68
	PG	24	20
	Diploma	10	8
	Others	5	4
Income status			
	Below 20,000	13	11
	21,000-30,000	33	27
	31,000-40,000	28	23
	41,000-50,000	20	17
	Above 50,000	26	22

*N(Total) =120

From the above table, it is inferred that 60% of respondents are male, 32% of respondents are at the age of 46 to 55 years. Then 33% of respondent's experiences are from 16 to 25 years and 68% of respondents' education qualification is UG. It is inferred that 27% of the respondents said income level is 21,000-30,000 and 23% that their income level is from 31,000-40,000.

b) *b. Correlation between variables*

Further the scores on occupation stress, burnout and coping were obtained and analyzed by using product moment correlation method and the 'r' values were presented.

Factors	Occupation Stress	Burnout	Coping
Occupational Stress	0.086	0.072	0.57*
Burnout		-0.376	0.57*
Coping			0.12@

*significant at 0.01 level @ not significant at 0.05 level

The result in the above indicates that Occupation stress and burnout found are correlated but not significant. However, occupation stress was positively and significantly correlated with coping behavior. Burnout is negatively and significantly correlated with occupational stress. It can be explained that as Occupation stress and burnout increases coping behavior increases.

6. REGRESSION ANALYSIS

1. Occupation Stress and Burnout:

H₀: There is no significant relationship between Occupation Stress and Burnout.

H₁: There is a significant relationship between Occupation Stress and Burnout.

Model Summary

Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate	Change Statistics				
					R Square Change	F Change	df1	df2	Sig. Change
1	.238	.056	.053	0.66707	.056	14.588	1	244	.000

a. Predictors: (Constant), Burnout

Table 1.1. Showing the Model Summary of Occupation Stress and Burnout.

ANOVA

Model	Sum of Squares	Df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
Regression	6.491	1	6.491	14.588	.000 ^b
Residual	108.575	244	.445		
Total	115.066	245			

a. Dependent Variable: Occupation Stress.

b. Predictors: (Constant), Burnout.

Table 5.3.1.2. Showing the ANOVA Table of Occupation Stress and Burnout.

Coefficients

Model		Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients	T	Sig.
		B	Std. Error	Beta		
1	(Constant)	4.096	.410		9.991	.000
	Burnout	.267	.070	.238	3.819	.000

a. Dependent Variable: Occupation Stress.

Table 5.3.1.3. Showing the Coefficient Table of Occupation Stress and Burnout.

Burnout explains 5.6 % of the variance on the Occupation Stress and Burnout with 99% of Confidence Interval. Thus H_0 is rejected and Alternative Hypothesis is accepted and there is a Positive Significant Relationship between Occupation Stress and Burnout.

The equation of Occupation Stress in terms of Burnout is as follows:

$$\text{Occupation Stress} = 0.267 (\text{Burnout}) + 4.096$$

7. SUGGESTIONS

Even though representatives can oversee work pressure and uneasiness through stress adapting strategies and by making a solid work-life balance, at times stress turns out to be excessively overpowering and hard to oversee alone. On the off chance that stress and tension become a lot to deal with, it is significant not to disregard manifestations and connect for help. At the point when side effects go unaddressed, they can prompt burnout, wretchedness, or diminished actual well-being.

Representatives and the board ought to never feel embarrassed or humiliated to contact others. It's the ideal opportunity for a worker to look for help on the off chance that they are:

- Experiencing alarm assaults at work
- Self-sedating with medications, liquor, or food
- Not having accomplishment with adapting abilities
- Having self-destructive contemplation
- Experiencing stress or tension that is fundamentally affecting their lives and connections

- Being told by companions, family, or collaborators they ought to find support

Supportive assets include:

- Supporting teams or groups
- Mental well-being instructors
- Family and companions

A representative may require prescription or treatment to help beat nervousness and stress and to learn stress management methods that work for them.

8. CONCLUSIONS

With the present review article, it was inferred that the term stress, has consumed quite a with time and that is a phenomenon designer of malaise, between the individuals who present. All people have already experienced circumstances of stress, however, it is up to every one of them, and how they manage them.

Stress can emerge because of a few factors that may go from individual and individual elements, up to factors identified with work. In the last case, could be significant word-related pressure. This is described as similar to a disquietude enthusiastic coming about because of work difficulties. In this arrangement, when the subject presents three qualities as the passionate weariness, depersonalization, and the absence of expert achievement, is confronted with a circumstance of Burnout Syndrome. The pressure includes, likewise an error between the necessities of a given circumstance and the assets that every individual need to react to these requests. Along these lines, the components of adapting emerge. This help at that point, to deal with these inconsistencies, to diminish the pressure and all the results that it includes.

While the well-being experts have immediate contact with the wiped out and their misery, it is fundamental that these present a decent personal satisfaction to give a decent climate both at the medical clinic or network for that is given a decent consideration. Consequently, it is fundamental that wellbeing experts keep a decent state of being and a decent command over the elements of nerve-wracking the flight that involves, to emphatically impact its presentation. The ceaseless assessment of the emotional wellness experts may add to the foundation of techniques of care looking toward the counteraction and minimization of the event of circumstances that create stress.

9. REFERENCES

- [1] Khamisa N et al (2015) Work related stress, burnout, job satisfaction and general health of nurses. *Int J Environ Res Public Health* 12: 652-666.
- [2] R Burbeck, et al (2002) Occupational stress in consultants in accident and emergency medicine: a national survey of levels of stress at work. *Emerg Med J* 19: 234-238.
- [3] Greenglass ER and Burke RJ (2001) Stress and the effects of hospital restructuring in nurses. *Can J Nurs Res* 33: 93-108.
- [4] Nayomi W (2016) Workplace stress in nursing: a literature review. *Journal of Social Statistics* 3: 47-53.
- [5] Se Hyang Kim and Mi-Aie Lee (2014) Effects of emotional labor and communication competence on turnover intention in nurses. *J Korean Acad Nurs Adm* 20: 332-341.
- [6] Richard A White (2006) Perceived stressors, coping strategies, and burnout pertaining to psychiatric nurses working on locked psychiatric units. *Master's Theses and Doctoral Dissertations* 84.
- [7] Hironori Yada et al (2009) Stressor among nurses in a psychiatric department--comparison between acute and recuperation wards. *Journal of UOEH* 31: 293-303.
- [8] Fagin L, et al. (2010) Stress, coping and burnout in mental health nurses: findings from three research studies. *International Journal of Social Psychiatry* 42: 102-111.
- [9] Bannai A and Tamakoshi A (2014) The association between long working hours and health: a systematic review of epidemiological evidence. *Scand J Work Environ Health* 40: 5-18.
- [10] Blonna R (2006) *Coping with stress in a changing world*: McGraw-Hill Humanities/Social Sciences/Languages.
- [11] Cheng C and Cheung MW (2005) Cognitive processes underlying coping flexibility: differentiation and integration. *J Pers* 73: 859-886.

- [12] Richard S Lazarus and Susan Folkman (1984) *Stress, appraisal and coping*. Springer Publishing company, Newyork.
- [13] Miriam Schiff, et al (2002) Psychological distress and intimate physical and sexual abuse among women in methadone maintenance treatment programs. *Social Service Review* 76: 302-320.
- [14] JT Ptacek, Gregory R Pierce and John J Ptacek (2002) The social context of coping with prostate cancer. *Journal of Psychosocial Oncology* 20: 61-80.
- [15] F Ariff, A Suthahar and M Ramli (2011) Coping styles and lifestyle factors among hypertensive and non-hypertensive subjects. *Singapore Medical Journal* 52: 29-34.
- [16] Vitaliano PP, et al (1985) The ways of coping checklist: Revision and psychometric properties. *Multivariate Behav Res* 20: 3-26.
- [17] Shirazi M, Khan MA and Khan RA (2011) Coping strategies: a cross-cultural study. *Romanian Journal of Psychology, Psychotherapy and Neuroscience* 1: 284-302.
- [18] Uchino BN (2004) *Social support and physical health: Understanding the health consequences of relationships*: Yale University Press.
- [19] Robin L Walton, and Dennis P Prisk (2002) A comparison of perceived stress levels and coping styles of junior and senior students in nursing and social work programs. *CiteSeer*.
- [20] Tully A (2004) Stress, sources of stress and ways of coping among psychiatric nursing students. *J Psychiatr Ment Health Nurs* 11: 43-47.