COMPARITIVE STUDY ON OPEN AND LAPAROSCOPIC VARICOCELECTOMY IN A TERTIARY CARE CENTRE

- 1. DR. D. SREEDHAR REDDY, ASSISTANT PROFESSOR OF SURGERY, GMC, GGH, KADAPA, DR YSRUHS, AP, INDIA
- 2. DR. N. NAGARAJU., MS, ASSISTANT PROFESSOR OF SURGERY, GMC, GGH, KADAPA, DR YSRUHS, AP, INDIA
- **3.** DR. GOPIKUMAR, MS, ASSISTANT PROFESSOR OF SURGERY, GMC, GGH, KADAPA, DR YSRUHS, AP, INDIA
 - 4. **DR. MAMATHA,** MS, REGISTRAR, COLORECTAL ONCOLOGY, APOLO HOSPITAL, BANGALORE

5. DR. M. SHYAM KUMAR, MS, MCH PLASTIC SURGERY, ASSISTANT PROFESSOR OF SURGERY, GMC, GGH, KADAPA, DR YSRUHS, AP, INDIA

CORRESPONDING AUTHOR ADDRESS: DR. M. SHYAM KUMAR., MS, MCH PLASTIC SURGERY, 43/10-1-2, PRAKASH NAGAR, KADAPA

ABSTRACT:

INTRODUCTION: Varicocele is defined as an abnormal dilatation and tortuous Pampiniform plexus of veins. Only mode of treatment is surgical correction. Surgical procedure can be performed by open scrotal approach, open inguinal approach microinguinal or subinguinal approach, laparoscopic ligation or embolization of testicular vein by intervention radiologist. Advantages of laparoscopic varicocelectomy includes, safe, increased magnification, facilitating more accurate identification of vessels, lymphatics and the internal spermatic artery. An additional incision can be avoided in bilateral cases. **AIM OF THE STUDY:** To Compare Laparoscopic Varicocelectomy Versus Open Varicocelectomy. **METHODOLOGY:** Single centre, prospective observational descriptive study, on 50 patients, in Government General Hospital, Kadapa from December 2019 to December 2021. **RESULTLS & CONCLUSION:** Varicocele was common in the 3rd and 4th decades and a significant cause of male infertility and common on left side. Laparascopic varicocelectomy is safe and effective procedure when compared to open varicocelectomy with significant reduction in operative time, minimal post operative complications and decreased hospital stay, increasing the patient satisfaction and comfort towards the procedure. There was no much significant in open and lap surgery over the pre operative and post operative semen parameters

KEYWORDS: Varicocele, Male Infertility, Open and Lap varicocelectomy

INTRODUCTION

Varicocele is defined as an abnormal dilatation and tortuous Pampiniform plexus of veins. The diseases is having left sided predominance. This is explained by turbulent venous flow related to the insertion of left testicular vein at right angle into the left renal vein.

The prevalence of varicocele is as high as $10 \sim 15\%$ in the general population, $30 \sim 35\%$ are men with primary infertility, and $69 \sim 81\%$ are with secondary infertility.

Classical description of varicocele is the consistency of "Bag of Worms" that can be decompressed when patient is in supine position. Some present with scrotal or inguinal aching discomfort or dragging pain.

In 95% cases no cause for varicocele could be found. This is called primary varicocele. Secondary varicocele is secondary to obstruction of testicular vein may be due to retroperitoneal tumour or kidney tumour.

Only mode of treatment is surgical correction. Indication of surgical intervention are for medical fitness, Grade II and above varicocele, symptomatic patient and treating infertile couple with male partner detected having varicocele with qualitatively poor semen examination findings.

Surgical procedure can be performed by open scrotal approach, open inguinal approach microinguinal or subinguinal approach, laparoscopic ligation or embolization of testicular vein by intervention radiologist. Open varicocelectomy can be sub

127

inguinal, inguinal or retroperitoneal. Commonly it is retroperitoneal approach through iliac incision. Laparoscopic approach can be performed using the intraperitoneal, preperitoneal approaches whereas embolization may antegrade or retrograde embolization.

Advantages of laparoscopic varicocelectomy includes, safe, increased magnification, facilitating more accurate identification of vessels, lymphatics and the internal spermatic artery. An additional incision can be avoided in bilateral cases.

In this study we compared Laparoscopic varicocelectomy versus open varicocelectomy for pros and cons.

AIMS AND OBJECTIVES

AIM OF THE STUDY:

To Compare Laparoscopic Varicocelectomy Versus Open Varicocelectomy

OBJECTIVES:

- To assess safety and efficacy of laparoscopic to open varicocelectomy.
- To compare laparoscopic versus open varicocelectomy in terms
 - 1. Duration of Surgery
 - 2. Hospital stay
 - 3. Postoperative analgesia requirement
 - 4. Postoperative recovery
 - 5. Complications

PATIENTS AND METHODS

Study Design : Single centre, prospective observational descriptive study

Sample Size: Study was conducted on 50 patients

Study Setting: Patients admitted in the Department of General Surgery with varicocele requiring surgical intervention, in Government General Hospital, Kadapa.

Study Period: December 2019 to December 2021.

Inclusion Criteria:

- 1. All patients with clinical or radiological evidence of varicocele.
- 2. Patients with Primary varicocele

Exclusion Criteria:

- 1. Patients with secondary Varicocele
- 2. Patients with recurrent Varicocele.

Methodology:

Institute Ethical Committee clearance was obtained before the start point of the study. Patients admitted to the Department of General Surgery with Clinical or Radiological evidence of Varicoele were selected for the study using Simple Random Technique.

Thorough History, clinical examination and investigations including semen analysis were obtained and the results were recorded in a proforma. Patients fitness for surgery was obtained and cases were randomly grouped in to two groups. Group A patients had Open Varicocelectomy and Group B had Laparoscopic Varicocelectomy.

Patients were managed with post operative analgesics and antibiotics. Post operative analgesic requirements, complications like hydrocele, pain, odema, Total hospital stay and improvement in the semen parameters were compared in both the Groups and were analysed.

129

Statistics: The collected data were analysed with IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, Version 23.0.(Armonk, NY: IBM Corp).To describe about the data descriptive statistics frequency analysis, percentage analysis were used for categorical variables and the mean & S.D were used for continuous variables. To find the significant difference between the bivariate samples in independent groups the

Age in Yrs	Group A (n=25)	Group B (n=25)
<20 yrs	3 (12%)	3 (12%)
21- 30 yrs	8 (32%)	8 (32%)
31- 40 yrs	9 (36%)	9 (36%)
>41 yrs	5 (20%)	5 (20%)

Unpaired sample t-test was used. To find the significance in categorical data Chi-Square test was used similarly if the expected cell frequency is less than 5 in 2×2 tables then the Fisher's Exact was used. In all the above statistical tools the probability value .05 is considered as significant level.

OBSERVATIONS AND RESULTS

In the present study comparing the advantages of Laparoscopic Varicocelectomy over Open varicocelectomy the following results were obtained.

Table No 1: Age Distribution in the Study Groups

Of the 50 patients of varicocele included in our study 6 patients belonged to age group less than 20 years, 16 patients in 21-30 years group, 18 patients in 31 to 40 years group and 10 patients in age group more than 41 years group. From the above, it has been observed that most of the patients presented in the 3rd and 4th decades. Mean age in Group A was 31.9 years and Mean age in Group B was 32.8 Years.

Complaints	Group A (n=25)	Group B (n=25)
Swelling	14 (56%)	18 (72%)
Pain	12 (48%)	13 (52%)
Infertility	6 (24%)	5 (20%)
Pearson	Chi-Square 3.350	P value 0.646

Table No 2: Complaints in the Study Groups

In our study we noted most common presentation of patient with varicocele as Swelling, which was noted in 32 patients, followed by pain in 25 patients. In 11 patients it was noted infertility as complaint.

Side Involved	Group A (n=25)	Group B (n=25)
Right Side	2 (8%)	2 (8%)
Left Side	19(76%)	19(76%)
Bilateral	4(16%)	4(16%)
Pearso	on Chi-Square .000 P va	lue 1.000

 Table No 3: Side Involved in the Study Groups

We have observed in our study that left sided varicocele was the most familiar presentation, which was seen in 38 patients followed by bilateral varicocele in 8 patients and right sided varicocele in 4 patients.

USG Grading	Group A (n=25)	Group B (n=25)
Grade 1	3	3
Grade 2	9	10
Grade 3	13	12
Pearsor	Chi-Square 0.93 P valu	ue 0.955

 Table No 4: Ultrasound Grading in the Study Groups

We have observed in our study, in laparoscopic group- 3 patients had grade 1, 10 patients had grade 2 and 12 patient had grade 3 varicocele. In open group: 3 patients had grade 1, 9 patients had grade 9 and 13 patients had grade 13 varicocele.

Table No 5: Mean Operative time in the Study Groups

Mean Operative Time	Group A (n=25)	Group B (n=25)
in Min	93.3	58

In our study we noted, in laparoscopic varicocelectomy group mean operative time was 58 min and in open varicocelectomy group mean operative time was 93.3 min. The p value for mean operative time was significant (0.005)

Intraoperative Complications-

In both the groups, no vascular or intestinal complications are noted. Conversion from laparoscopic to open approach also didn't occur either.

Post Operative Complications

During post operative period, pain was not assessed with visual pain analogue scale. Patients were given analgesics on demand on the day of surgery.

Post operative pain	Group A	Group B
Mild	1	18
Moderate	22	4
Severe	3	2

Table No 6: Post Operative Pain in the Study Groups

In the study 1 in Group A and 18 in Group B had mild pain, 22 in Group A and 4 in Group B had moderate pain and 3 in Group A and 2 in Group B had severe pain according to the visual analogue scale.

Table No 7: Post Operative Complications in the Study Groups

Post Op Complications	Group A (n=25)	Group B (n=25)
Pain	7	4
Hydrocele	3	1
Scrotal Odema	4	2
Wound Infection	2	0

In our study of 50 patients, 3 patients from group A and 1 patient from group B developed hydrocele which was managed by rest, nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory

drugs and scrotal support. Scrotal oedema was noted in 4 patients from group A and 2 patients from group B. Wound infection was noted in 2 patients from group A and none developed any wound infection group B. Wound infection was managed with oral antibiotics and nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs. No recurrence noted in both the groups.

Post Operative Hospital Stay-

In our study, 22 patients from group B stayed for 2 days and 7 patients for 3 days, mean hospital stay in group B was 2.3 days. In group A zero patients for 2 days, 12 patients stayed for 3 days and 13 patients stayed for 4 days. Mean hospital stay in group A is 3.6 days. The p value is significant (0.0005)

Hospital Stay	Group A (n=25)	Group B (n=25)
2 days	0	22
3 days	12	7
4 days	13	0

 Table No 8: Post Operative Hospital Stay in the Study Groups

Semen	Grou	ıp A (n=25)	Group B (n=25)		
Parameters	Pre Op	Post Op (3months)	Pre Op	Post Op (3 months)	
Mean Sperm Count	34.9	39.9	37	41.9	
Mean % Motility	28	35	30	35.2	
P value for sperm count 0.27 p value for sperm motility 0.953					

Table No 9: Pre and Post Operative Semen Analysis in the Study Groups

In our study, we have analysed the mean sperm count and mean % motility of sperm in preoperative period and 3 months after the surgery. We have noted significant improvement in sperm count and motility in post operative period. The results were shown in the following table. It was noted no significant difference between group A and group B in sperm count and mean sperm motility.

Return to normal activities-

In the present study, duration to return to normal activity after surgery was 6-7 days in group A and 3-4 days in group B. Motivation to patients and reassurance was needed to get them to normal activity.

		Levene's Equa	Test for lity of							
		Varia	inces	t-test for Equality of Means						
						p- value	Mean	Std. Error	95% Cor Interval Differ	ifidence of the ence
		F	Sig.	Т	df		Difference	Difference	Lower	Upper
Age	Equal variances assumed	.002	.966	351	48	.727	8400	2.3911	-5.6476	3.9676
Operative Time	Equal variances not assumed	13.030	.001	13.716	31	.0050	35.3600	2.5780	30.0999	40.6201
Hospital Stay	Equal variances not assumed	4.658	.036	8.954	46	.0005	1.3200	.1474	1.0232	1.6168
Pre Count	Equal variances assumed	.859	.359	-1.202	48	.235	-2.4000	1.9964	-6.4140	1.6140
Post 3 Count	Equal variances assumed	.677	.415	-2.287	48	.027	-1.9600	.8571	-3.6834	2366
Pre Motility in %	Equal variances assumed	.813	.372	-1.741	48	.088	-1.9600	1.1256	-4.2231	.3031
Post 3 Motility in %	Equal variances not assumed	4.701	.035	.059	38	.953	.0400	.6764	-1.3286	1.4086

Table No 10: Cross Tablation for statistics

Statistical analysis of the observations revealed that in the laparoscopic varicocelectomy group there was significant reduction in the operative time and hospital stay compared to open procedure. There was no statistically significance in the age, semen analysis results in the pre operative and in the post operative follow up between the two groups.



DISCUSSION

Figure No 1: Incision for Open Varicocelectomy



Figure No 2: Separation of Dilated Veins from the cord



Figure No 3: Ligation and division of the Veins



Figure No 4: Placement of Trochars in Varicocelectomy patient

European Journal of Molecular & Clinical Medicine ISSN2515-8260 Volume10, Issue 04,2023



Figure No 5: Incision of peritoneum



Figure No 6: Separation of the veins



Figure No 7: Division of the Veins and Clipping

Age

Table No 11: Comparison of Age Distribution in the Study Groups

Our Study	Dr. Vinod Atreya	Shaukat	Atif Naeem Raja	Siddarth Singh et
Our Study	et al ¹	Jeelani et al ²	et al ³	al ⁴
31-40	25-30 years	20-29years	15-45years	18years
years	(95%)	(54%)	(24.4%)	(45%)
(36%)				

Complaints

a) Swelling

Table No 12: Comparison of Swelling in the Study Groups

Our Otudu	Shaukat Jeelani et	Atif Naeem Raja et	Sunil Telkar et
Our Study	al ²	al ³	al ⁵
32 (64%)	51 (50%)	34 (87.1%)	8(26.66%)

b) Pain

Table No 13: Comparison of Pain in the Study Groups

Our Study	Dr.Vinod Atreya et al ^{1,6}	Atif Naeem Raja et al ³	Sunil Telkar et al ⁵	
25 (50%)	6 (26.4%)	33 (84.6%)	18 (60%)	

c) Infertility

Table No 14: Comparison of Infertility in the Study Groups

Our Study	Quail Talker at al 5	Atif Naeem Raja et	Dr.Vinod Atreya et	
		al ³	al ^{1,6}	
11 (22%)	7 (23.3%)	5 (12.8%)	9 (32.4%)	

Side Involved-

Table No 15: Comparison of Side involved in the Study Groups

	Our Study	Siddarth Singh	Dr. Vinod	Atif Naeem
	Our Study	et al ⁴	Atreya et al ^{1,6}	Raja et al ³
Right	4 (8%)	2 (5%)	2 (7.6%)	30 (76.9%)
Left	38 (76%)	29 (72.5%)	24 (92.3%)	2 (5.1%)
Bilateral	8 (16%)	9 (22.5%)	3 (10.2%)	7 (17.9%)

Grade

Table No 16: Comparison of Varicocele Grade in the Study Groups

Crada Our S		Siddarth Singh	Dr. Vineeth Choudary	Sunil Telkar et	
Grade	Our Study	et al ⁴	et al ⁷	al ⁵	
I	6 (12%)	-	3 (6%)	8 (26.66%)	
II	19 (38%)	21 (52.5%)	21 (42%)	11 (36.66%)	

	25 (50%)	19 (47.5%)	26 (52%)	11 (36.66%)

Mean Operation Time

Table No 17: Comparison of Mean Operative Time in the Study Groups

	Our Study	Bharathid asan et al ⁸	Sunil Telkar et al⁵	Dr. Vineeth Choudary et al ⁷	Shauka t Jeelani et al ²	Atif Naeem Raja et al ³	Ali Shamsa et al ⁹
Group A (Open)	93.3 min	30 min	75 min	40 min	57 min		27 min
Group B (Lap)	58 min	20 min	37.5 min	56.3 min	48 min	48.4 min	30 min

Post OP Complications

a) Pain

Table No 18: Comparison of Post op Pain in the Open Groups

Pain		Open Varicocelectomy					
	Our ctudy	Sunil Telkar et Dr. Vine		Bharathidasan			
	Our study	al ⁵	Choudary et al ⁷	et al ⁸			
Mild	1 (4%)	5 (33.3%)	0	4 (11.11%)			
Moderate	22 (88%)	7 (46.6%)	14 (56%)	12 (33.33%)			
Severe	3 (12%)	3 (20%)	11 (44%)	20 (55.55%)			

Pain	Lap Varicocelectomy						
	Our Study	Dr. Vinod Atreya at al ^{1,6}	Atif Naeem Raja et al ³	Sunil Telkar et al ⁵	Dr. Vineet Choudary et al ⁷	R. Bharathid asan et al ⁸	
Mild	18 (72%)	15 (57.7%)	24 (61.5%)	8 (53.3%)	3 (12%)	29 (85%)	
Moderate	4 (16%)	7 (26.9%)	14 (35.9%)	4 (26.6%)	-	-	
Severe	2 (8%)	1 (3.8%)	1 (2.5%)	1 (6.6%)	-	-	

Table No 19: Comparison of Post op Pain in the Lap Groups

b) Hydrocele

Table No 20: Comparison of Post op Hydrocele in the Study Groups

	Our Study	Siddharth Singh et al ⁴	Ali Sharma et al ⁹	Sunil Telkar et al ⁵	Dr. Vinod atreya et al ^{1,6}	Dr. Vineet et al ⁷	Shaukat Jeelani et al ²
Group A(Open)	3 (12%)	3 (15%)	-	3 (20%)		1 (4%)	3 (12%)
Group B (Lap)	1 (4%)	1 (5%)	1 (3.3%)	-	1 (3.8%)	1 (4%)	5 (20%)

c) Scrotal Oedema-

Table No 21: Comparison of Post op Scrotal Odema in the Study Groups

Our Stu	ly Jeelani et al ²	R. Bharathidasan et al ⁸	Ali Sharma et al ⁹	Siddharth Singh et al ⁴
---------	-------------------------------	---	----------------------------------	---------------------------------------

Group A (Open)	4 (16%)	1 (4%)	3 (8.33%)	3 (10%)	1 (10%)
Group B (Lap)	2 (8%)	1 (4%)	1 (3%)	4 (13.3%)	2 (5%)

d) Wound Infection-

Table No 22: Comparison of Post op Wound Infection in the Study Groups

	Our Study	Shaukat Jeelani et al ²	R. Bharathid asan et al ⁸	Dr. Vinod atreya et al ^{1,6}	Dr. Vineet et al ⁷	Siddharth Singh et al ⁴
Group A (Open)	2 (18%)	6 (24%)	3 (8.53%)	1 (4%)	-	2 (10%)
Group B(Lap)	-	-	-	-	-	-

Post Operative Hospital Stay

Table No 23: Comparison of Hospital stay in the Study Groups

	Our Study		Shaukat Jeelani et		Dr. Vinod Atreya et		Siddharth Singh et	
			al ²		al ^{1,6}		al ⁴	
	Group A	Group B	Group A	Group B	Group A	Group B	Group A	Group B
2 days	0	22	41	47		23	2	8
		(88%)	(82%)	(94%)	-	(88%)	(10%)	(40%)
3days	12	3	6	3		2	10	12
	(48%)	(12%)	(12%)	(6%)	-	(7.7%)	(50%)	(60%)
4days	13	0	3	0		1	8	0
	(52%)		(6%)		-	(3.8%)	(40%)	0

Sperm count and sperm motility

Table No 24: Comparison of Semen parameters in Open Group Study Groups

Semen	Our study		Shaukat .	Jeelani et al ²	Ali shamsa et al ⁹	
parameters	Pre Op	Post op (3 months)	Pre Op	Post op (3 months)	Pre Op	Post op (3 months)
Mean Count	34.9	39.9	46+/- 33	40+/-36	40+/-36	34+/-20
Mean % Motility	28%	35%	47+/-33	60+/-42	35+/-20	35+/-20

Table No 25: Comparison of Semen parameters in Lap Group Study Groups

	Our study		Shaukat Jeelani et		Ali Shamsa et al ⁹		Dr.Vinod Atreya et	
Semen	Our study		al ²				al ^{1,6}	
parameters	Pre	Post op	Pre	Post op	Pre	Post op	Pre	Post op
	Ор	(3 months)	Ор	(3 months)	Ор	(3 months)	Ор	(3 months)
Mean	37	/1 0	52+/-	44+/-30	58+/-	54+/-33	61 1	76 1
Count	57	41.9	36	5	42	J4T/-33	01.1	70.1
Mean %	30%	35.2%	70+/-	88+/-80	73+/-	02+/-100	12 20/	50 5%
Motility	50 %	55.2 /0	50	00+/-00	49	327/-100	42.270	59.570

Return to normal activity

Table No 26: Comparison of return to normal activity in the Study Groups

	Our study	R.Bharathidasan et al ⁸	Atif Naeem Raja et al ³
Group A (Open)	6-7 days	6-7 days	
Group B (Lap)	3-4 days	3-4 days	3-5 days

CONCLUSION

From the present study comparing the advantages of Laparoscopic Varicocelectomy over Open varicocelectomy the following conclusions were made

Varicocele was common in the 3rd and 4th decades and a significant cause of male infertility and common on left side.

Laparascopic varicocelectomy is safe and effective procedure when compared to open varicocelectomy with significant reduction in operative time, minimal post operative complications and decreased hospital stay, increasing the patient satisfaction and comfort towards the procedure

There was no much significant in open and lap surgery over the pre operative and post operative semen parameters

REFERENCES:

- Dr. Vinod Atreya, Dr. Ashok Kumar, Dr. Sanjay Satarwal, Dr. Shashi Rai. Laparoscopic ligation of testicular veins for the treatment of varicocele indication, technique and surgical results. Int J Surg Sci 2019;3(4):405-409.
- Jeelani S, Naeem A, Gilkar I, Peer J, Mushtaq U. Laparoscopic Versus Open Varicocelectomy: An Observational Study. World. 2018;11(2):76-80.
- Raja AN, Ahmad MM, Nadeem R, Mir IN, Awani H. Laparoscopic Varicocelectomy-Initial Experience at Our Tertiary Care Centre. Infertility.;33:84-6.
- Mishra, Vikrant. (2020). comparison of laparoscopic vs open varicocelectomy,, volume9-issue11(4)-2020 IJMER.
- 5. Sunil Telkar, et al., Laproscopic Versus Open Varicocelectomy Journal of Clinical and Diagnostic Research. 2012 April, Vol-6(2): 271-273

145

- Vinod Atreya et.al. Clinical Study of Laparoscopic Management of Varicocele International Journal of Research & Review (www.ijrrjournal.com) 415 Vol.6; Issue: 11; November 2019
- Choudhary V, Mathur RK, Sharma BK. Comparative study of laparoscopic varicocele ligation versus inguinal varicocelectomy. Int.j.med.sci.educ. Oct-December 2017; 4(4):323-327
- 8. R. Bharathidasan, Reny Jayaprakash, Subith P. Bhaskar, G. Ambujam.
 Laparoscopic varicocelectomy now the gold standard procedure for varicocele
 A comparative study with open technique based on our experience. IAIM, 2017; 4(7): 218-221.
- Shamsa A, MOHAMMADI L, ABOU ALBASHARI ME, Shakeri MT, Shamsa S. Comparison of open and laparoscopic varicocelectomies in terms of operative time, sperm parameters, and complications.